

the national horseshoe pitchers

NEWS DIGEST



OCTOBER, 1988

Official Publication of

THE NATIONAL HORSESHOE PITCHER'S ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA



Both backyard pitchers and pros catch and hold the stake better with DIAMOND's new advanced design "Tournament" Shoe. It features a grip positioner, hardened tips, an increased radius, a dead soft center, and a wider, deeper calk. Same dropforged solid steel construction as DIAMOND's popular Double Ringer and Super Ringer Regulation Shoes. All American made.

Contact your nearest NHPA club or sporting goods store for DIAMOND pitching horseshoes.

For the latest pitching horseshoe catalog write:



DIAMOND PITCHING SHOES

▲ The Triangle Tool Group, Inc.
Subsidiary of The Triangle Corporation
Cameron Road, Orangeburg, SC 29115
DISTRIBUTOR ORDER PLACEMENT
Call toll-free: 800-346-0740
For all inquiries call: 803-534-7010

"DIAMOND Pitching Horseshoes are Recognized as Official in Tournaments Sanctioned by the National Horseshoe Pitchers Association"



THE HORSESHOE PITCHER'S NEWS DIGEST is published on the 5th of each month at Aurora, Illinois, U.S.A. by the National Horseshoe Pitcher's Association of America. Editorial office, P.O. Box 1606, Aurora, Illinois 60507. Phone: 312-898-3974. Subscription rates—1st Class \$12.00 per year in advance. NHPA membership cards are available through each state secretary for \$7.00 plus any state association dues. Forms close on the 10th day of each month preceding date of issue. Advertising rates on request. F. Ellis Cobb, Editor.

NATIONAL OFFICERS

Dave Loucks, 13656 Thoroughbred Loop, Grass Valley, CA 95949 (916) 477-7060	President
Earl Winston, Rte. 2, Lamonte, MO 65337 (816) 563-3536	ce-President
Barry Chapelle, 2716 S.E. 61st Ave., Portland, OR 97206 (503) 775-7876	ce-President
Vincent Yannetti, 322 Longwood Ave., Bound Brook, NJ 08805 (201) 356-38623rd Vic	
Bonnie Seibold, 1043 Grayson Ave., Huntington, IN 46750 (219) 356-34894th Vic	
Mary VanSant, P.O. Box 270, Sun City, CA 92381 (714) 679-2578	
Donnie Roberts, Box 278, Munroe Falls, OH 44262 (216) 688-6522	. Sec./Treas.

Volume 32 October, 1988 No. 10

FROM YOUR PRESIDENT... DAVE LOUCKS

The 1988 Convention of Delegates in Pleasanton approved some very significant changes to our Constitution and By-Laws. It will be some time before the long range effect is felt for all but, on the surface, it appears that some good decisions were made. Let's talk about a few that most directly affect the pitchers.

1. It was approved by a vote of 187-115 that "all participants registered for an adult class in the World Tournament must have completed a minimum of 3 NHPA sanctioned tournaments in the time span starting with the prior June 1st and ending with the mailing of their registration form."

2. By a vote of 240-62, it was decided to remove all the playing rules from the Constitution and Bylaws and put them under the direction of a "Rules Committee" which shall be appointed by the NHPA President. This committee of 12-15 pitchers will ahve the power to add or delete items to the rules in a much quicker and more effective manner than now allowed by the Constitution.

3. By a vote of 176-125 it was approved that a new rule be added that prevents the material around the stake from being shoveled or stepped upon during a game unless approved by a judge or to repair damage done by the measuring of a shoe.

4. It was approved by a vote of 238-64 that all 30 foot class pitchers remain three feet behind their opponent on the opposite side when the opponent is pitching. There is no need to walk to the far rear of the court if both players are 30 foot pitchers.

5. By an overwhelming vote of 288-14, it was decided to change the score call method of "two ringers each, no score," to FOUR DEAD.

A couple of the 37 proposals that were voted on were approved or rejected by very close margins. However, one in particular bears mentioning not only because some two-thirds of the delegates were in favor of it but, because of how it failed.

The subject was proposal #36, how to measure a ringer. It had been proposed to change the rule that, "a ringer is declared when a shoe encircles the stake far enough to allow the touching of both heel caulks simultaneously with a straight edge even if the edge also touches the stake." This proposal would have had just the required 204 votes to pass but the Charter of Northern New Mexico who attended the morning session failed to return for the afternoon voting and their ballot which had been turned in, had to be rejected. The reason is that the NHPA Constitution says a State with no appointed delegate in convention will have no vote. However, it is possible that the new Rules Committee may take this proposal under reconsideration since it was apparent that the two-thirds majority favored it.

Printed elsewhere in this Digest are the complete State by State voting results on all the issues. You will have to refer back to the May 1988 Digest to review the wording of the proposals.

All incumbent NHPA officers up for reelection were returned to their office for another 2 year term.

DAVE'S COURT REPORT

Cooler than normal temepratures prevailed during the second week of pitching in Pleasanton bringing a lot of words of thanks from the Eastern and Mid-West pitchers who were reluctant to go home to their HOT, HOT conditions. . . . For the second year in a row, not a single stake was broken in the W.T. Looks like the portable mounting method started in Eau Claire is a winner 18 hours after the last shoe was pitched, there was not a sign of a horseshoe court or World Tournament ever being held in Pleasanton. All 36 courts had been removed along with 30 tons of concrete walkways. Talk about turning the clay when you're through pitching, wow, the 1988 W.T. work crew did an amazing job. . . . Shortly after Pleasanton, I was in Spearfish, South Dakota, visiting with Gene and Yvonne Ficek who are directing activities for next year's event. Met with the press, radio and community leaders who all seem excited about the 1989 W.T. Thirty-six

MESSAGE—(Continued)

courts are in with new lighting just about ready for installation. The park, campground, river and surrounding areas will really be enjoyed by the tournament go'ers. Make your plans early and don't forget, you need 3 NHPA sanctioned tournaments to register. . . . Had the opportunity to visit with many of the members of the Minnesota State HPA and attend their annual state meeting and banquet. Gave an overview of the NHPA Liability Insurance and how State and National membership need, and are supported by, one another. While some were seeking change, when the meeting votes were counted, the membership had overwhelmingly voiced their support of the National and more NHPA sanctioned events.

1988—NHPA CONVENTION RESULTS

INSURANCE:After a discussion and a question & answer period, the delegates were asked to vote on whether or not the NHPA should continue the insurance program for 1989.

Forty-two (42) Charters and Canada were represented with a total of three hundred and six (306) votes.

All votes were cast in favor of continuing the insurance program. 1989 NHPA cards will show insurance as an addition and no cards will be sold without it. The Charters will forward the amount to the NHPA Sec/Treas, along with the regular NHPA dues.

1990 WORLD TOURNAMENT: Bids were submitted by Iowa and Georgia. Georgia won the bid with a vote of 180 to 126. (306 total votes)

CONSTITUTION & BY-LAW CHANGES & ADDITIONS: Forty-one Charters & Canada were represented for a total vote of three-hundred and two (302).

A change required a two-thirds majority vote (202).

An addition required a simple majority vote (152).

The May, 1988 issue of the NHPA News Digest listed all proposals as numbers 1 thru 37. The delegates voted on these numbers and the results are as shown on the chart.

Numbers 2, 6, 10, 26, 27, 29, 34 & 36 FAILED. The balance PASSED and will go into effect on January 1, 1989. Numbers 7, 32 & 33 were amended slightly on the floor and passed AS AMENDED.

WALTER RAY WILLIAMS JR. ELECTED TO HALL OF FAME

The achievements of this amazing young athlete are already legendary—there is no doubt why he was elected at such an early age.

Walt won the NHPA Junior boys crown three times—1971, 1972 and 1975 with averages of i6.3, 89.2 and 86.6, and did not lose a game in any of those three years. In 1976 he was defeated by his brother Jeff. They played three games during the tournament (one in the round robin and two in the playoff) and for the three games (50 points) they averaged 154 shoes a game. Only 8 single points were counted for the entire three games. Walt was already pitching 40 feet at this time.

Walter pitched his first adult World Tournament in 1977 and it didn't take him long to reach the top. He won the event in 1978 and again in 1980, 1981 and 1985 with averages of 84.1, 85.7, 88.1 and 84.9. The 88.1% in Genola, Minn. was the second highest World Tournament average ever by an adult male. Although he finished third in Pleasanton this year (one game behind Jim Knisley and Mark Seibold) he was the only man to average over 80%.

Walter has several other noteworthy achievements. Through 1986, Walter had already won the California State Championship seven times. He has pitched several perfect games, including the longest one on record, 56 shoes, which he pitched at the Oregon Open in 1977. He also has a 50 shoe perfect game. He has also pitched several 90% tournaments, including a 13 game event at the Heritage Open in 1982 in which he averaged 91.0%.

Besides being an outstanding pitcher, Walter has done a lot for our game in the promotional field. His outstanding success in bowling (he was *Bowler of the Year* in 1986) has brought him a great deal of TV exposure and the sport of horseshoes always gets favorable mention when he's on. He has pitched numerous exhibitions, including one in Japan, and has been featured in several leading newspapers across the nation and also in the Bowlers Digest, Bowlers Journal and Sports Illustrated. He has also worked in organizing and promoting several special tournaments including the current big one at the Ohio State Fair.

Also, we'd like to mention Walter's contributions behind the scene at the last two World Tournaments. Walter has devised a computer program to do (and print) the statistics for a horseshoe tournament and he has been designated as the official statistician at our last two events. The results at Pleasanton were the quickest in several years because of the success of his program. Finally, it is noted that Walter has the official title of NHPA Statistician.

With credentials like these that are mentioned in this article, there is no doubt that Walter has earned his award. We congratulate him on his election to the NHPA Hall of Fame.

ERSON VOTES YEES—NO YE	HARTER	SPOKESPERSON											
H. Perry Second H. Perry		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	VOTES	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	YES-NO	
Secretary Property Secretary Secre	-Tucson	H.Perry	5	5- 0	0- 5	5-0	5- 0	5 0	0- 5	5-0	5-0	5-0	
Steriers N. Hararey ucheen N. Hararey ucheen N. Hararey ucheen N. Schneider 1. Schn	-Yuma	L. Taylor	3	0- 3	3-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	
Technical Structure 14 1-13 14-0 1-13 14-0 1-13 14-0 1-14-0 14-0 1-13 14-0 1-14-0	-E.Sierra	B.Perry	2	2-0	0- 2	2-0	2-0	0- 2	0- 2	2-0	2-0	0- 2	
ucherol J. Schneider 11 11-0 0-11 11-0 0-11 0-11 0-11 0-11 0-11 0-11 0-12 0-13 0-14 0-15 0-16	-Northern	M. Hawley	14	14- 0	1-13	14-0	14- 0	1-13	1-13	14- 0	14- 0	1-13	
add K. Thompson 7 7 7 0 1 0 <	-Southern	J. Schneider	11	11-0	0-11	11-0	11-0	0-11	0-11	9- 2	11-0	0-11	
da juscate 10 0-10 10-0	lorado	K. Thompson	7	2- 5	1- 6	7-0	7-0		1- 6	6- 1	7-0	7-0	
Travelen	orida	J.West	10	0-10	0-10	10-0	10-0	10-0	10-0	8- 2	10-0	10-0	
## S. F. F. F. E.	orgia	T.Lawson	3	0- 3	0- 3	3-0	3-0	3-0	0- 3	0- 3	3-0	3-0	
Second State	Waii	B.Fields	1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	0- 1	1-0	1-0	1-0	
ods R.Switzer 15 15-0 15-0 15-0 15-0 15-0 15-0 15-0 1	aho	G. Stutzman	5	5-0	5-0	5-0	5- 0	5-0	0- 5	5- 0	5- 0	5-0	
## G.Hoppes 12	linois	R. Switzer	15	15-0	15- 0	15- 0	15- 0	15- 0	0-15	5-10	15- 0	15- 0	
Sample S	diana	G. Hoppes	1.2	9 -9		12- 0	12- 0	12- 0	0-12	12- 0	12-0	12- 0	
Septemberts Michaelts 8 0-8 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8	Wa	B.Walters	11	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0	
cky cky cky chusetts Michaud A	insas	M.Potts	80	0- 8	0- 8	8-0	8-0	0 - 8	0-8	0 - 8	8-0	8- 0	
Chusetts Michaud *!- 2 0- 4 4- 0 4- 0 3- 1 0- 4 4- 0 San R.Gyorkos 8 0- 8 1- 8 1- 0 1- 0 1- 0 1- 0 8- 0	ntucky	O. Blacketer	00	4 - 4	8-0	8-0	8-0	6- 2	1	8-0	8-0	8-0	
gan R.Gyorkos 8 0-8 8-0 9-0	ssachusett	s Michaud	4	*1- 2	1	0 -4	0 - 4	4-0	3- 1	7 -0	0 -4	0 -4	
seing G.Nick state G.Nick state G.Nick state J.Carvalho s	chigan	R. Gyorkos	80	0- 8		8-0	8-0		0 - 8	8-0	8-0	0- 8	
## Stappi J.Carvalho	nnesota	G.Nick	11	11-0		11-0	11-0		0-11	0-11	11-0	11-0	
urit V.Winston 11 11-0 11-0 11-0 11-0 11-0 11-0 11-0	ssissippi	J. Carvalho	3	3-0	0- 3	3-0	3-0		0-3	3 0	3-0	3-0	
## Ska—West B.Barth 1	ssouri	V.Winston	11	11-0	11-0	11-0	11-0		0-11	8- 3	11-0	11 0	
Ska-East Koso ska-East Koso ska-East Koso ska-West Guttler G.Wilfon creey	ntana-West		7	7 0	7-0	7-0	7-0	7-0	0- 7	0- 7	7-0	7-0	
resey Guettler 4 4-0 0-4 0-4	braska-Eas		6	0 -6		0 -6	0 -6	6 -0	6 -0	0 -6	0 -6	0 -6	
ersey P. Quigley Formula P. C. Wilfon Formula P. C. Wilfon Formula P. C. Wilfon Formula P. Schardine Formula P. Schardine Formula P. Schardine Formula P. Schardine Formula P. Wilfon Formula P.	braska-Wes		4	0 -4		0 -4	0 - 4	7 -0	7 -0	0 - 4	0 -4	0 - 7	
Progressy P. Quiggley 4 0-4 4-0 4-0 4-0 0-4 0-4	vada	G.Wilfon	2	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	0- 2	2-0	2-0	
lexico-No. Mineer (4) (No delegates in attendance to vote on Constitution & By-Laws) ork J. Loughery 13 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 1	w Jersey	P. Quigley	7	7 -0	0 - 4	0 - 4	0 - 5	0 - 4	7 -0	0 - 4	0 - 4	0 - 4	
ork J.Loughery 13 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 13-0 1	w Mexico-N		(4)	(No del	egates in	attend	ance to	vote on	Constitu	w	y-Laws)		
Olima C.Southern 3 3-0 3-0 0-3 3-0 0-3 3-0 0-3 3-0 0-3 3-0 0-3 3-0 0-3 0-3	w York	J. Loughery	13	13-0			13-0	13-0			13-0	13-0	
J.Myers 16 0-16 0-16 16-0 16-0 0-16 0-16 16-0 0-16 0-16	Carolina	C.Southern	3	3-0	3-0	0- 3	3-0	0- 3		0- 3	3-0	3-0	
E.Borns 5 1-4 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 0-5 2-3 5-0 3-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5	io	J. Myers	16	91-0	0-16	16-0	16-0	0-16	0-16	0-16	16-0	16-0	
E.Otnes B.Otnes T. State Sta	lahoma	F.Born	5	1- 4	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	0- 5	2- 3	5-0	5-0	
est D.Beshore 6 6-0 6-0 6-0 6-0 6-0 6-0 6-0 6-0 6-0 6	egon	E.Otnes	7	1 -9	7-0	7-0	7-0	1-0	1	1-0	1-0	7-0	
est D. Carrahan 11 10 7-4 11-0 11-0 0-11 11-0	nnsyl, East	D.Beshore	9	0 -9	0 -9		0 -9	0 -9	1	9 -0	0 -9	0 -9	
G.Ficek 5 5-0 0-5 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5-0 5	nnsyl.West	D. Carnahan	11	11-0	7- 4		11-0	0-11	0-11	11-0		11-0	
S.Fry S. Argueratine	. Dakota	G.Ficek	5	5-0	0- 5		5-0		0- 5	5-0		2-0	
trh B.Schardine 4 3-1 0-4 4-0 4	xas	S.Fry	10	10-0	10-0		10-0		7	10-0	10-0	10-0	
uth D.Ohms 3 3-0 0-3 3-0 <td>ah-North</td> <td>B. Schardine</td> <td>4</td> <td>3- 1</td> <td>7 -0</td> <td></td> <td>0 - 4</td> <td></td> <td>0 - 4</td> <td>3- 1</td> <td>0 - 4</td> <td>3- 1</td> <td></td>	ah-North	B. Schardine	4	3- 1	7 -0		0 - 4		0 - 4	3- 1	0 - 4	3- 1	
B. Vasquez 3 0-3 0-3 3-0 3-0 3-0 3-0 3-0 3-0 3-0 3	ah-South	D.Ohms	3	3-0	0-3		3-0	3-0	3-0	1- 2	3-0	3-0	
## F.Hix 8 0-8 8-0	rmont	B. Vasquez	3	0- 3	0- 3		3-0	3-0	1	0- 3	3-0	3-0	
ton M.Thorniley 9 0-9 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9-0 9	rginia	F.Hix	00	0-8	8 -0		8-0	8-0	ï	0-8	8-0	8-0	
in D.Hansen 12 0-12 0-12 12-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 0-12 12-0 12-0	shington	M. Thorniley	6	6 -0	0 -6	0 -6	0 -6	0 -6		0 -6	0 -6	0 -6	
B. Wyant 8 8-0 0-8 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 0-8 8-0	sconsin	D. Hansen	12	0-12	-	12-0	12- 0	12-0		0-12	12- 0	12- 0	
	oming	B. Wyant	80	8- 0	0- 8	8-0	8-0	8-0	8-0	0 - 8	8-0	8-0	
1 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0	nada	J. Adams	1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	

		1710
5-30	5-0 113-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 11-0	13-0 0-16 0-16 0-16 0-17 0-18 0-18 0-19 0-19 0-10
NO YE		
21. YES-	1	
20. YES-NO	5-0 3-0 3-0 3-0 1-1 10-1	13-0-16-0-16-0-16-0-16-0-16-0-16-0-16-0-
19. (ES-NO	5-0 1114-0 1117-0 1	13- 3- 3- 5- 5- 6- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11-
18. (ES-NO	5-0 3-0 1-13 1-13 2-10 2-10 2-10 11-13 11-10 11-	13-0 0-16 5-0 7-0 7-0 7-0 7-0 10-0
17. ES-NO 1	5-0 11-1-0 11-0	13-0 0-16-0 0-16-0 10-0 10-0 10-0 10-0 10-
16. ES-NO Y	5-0 114-0 10-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 11-0	13-0 0-16 0-16 0-16 0-16 0-16 0-17 0-16 0-17 0-18 0-19
15. ES-NO Y	5-0 14-0 10-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 10-1 11-0 1	13-0 0-16 0-16 0-16 10-0 10-0 10-0 10-0 11-0 11
14. YES-NO Y	5-0 1,4-0 1,6-0 1,0-1 1,0-1 1,0-0 1,0-0 1,1-0 1,1-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 1,1-0	13-0 3-0 0-16 0-16 0-16 10-0 1
13. YES-NO Y	5-0 11-0 1	13-0 3-0 5-0 7-0 7-0 10-1 10-0 10-0 3-0 8-0 9-0 112-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 12-0 13-0 13-0 14-0 14-0 15-0 16-0
12. ES-NO Y	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	13-0 16-0 16-0 11-0 11-0 11-0 11-0 11-0 11
977		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
11. YES-NO	5- 0 - 12 2 - 12 2 - 12 2 - 12 2 - 11 1 0 - 11 1 1 - 0 1 1 5 - 0 1 1	13-0 0-16 5-0 7-0 6-0 10-1 10-0 3-0 10-0
10. YES-NO	0 - 3 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7	13- 0-16 0-16 0-16 0-16 0-4 0-9 0-9 0-12 0-12 0-12
VOLES	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110
CHARIEN	AZ-Tucson AZ-Tucson AZ-Tucson CA-Sotthern	New York N. Carolina Ohloma Tregon Tregon Pennsyl. East Pennsyl. East Pe

33. YES-NO	0	1																					7-0		0 0	1 0	0 -7	4-0																	8-0		278-24
32. YES-NO		0 - 0						10-0										P	1	4	1	1	7- 0					0 - 4					2-0		9 -0				0 - 5			4	1	12-0	8-0	1-0	288-14
31. YES-NO																							7- 0				0 - 7	0 - 4		13-0	3-0	0-16	2-0	7-0	0 -9	11-0	2-0	10-0	0 - 4	3-0	3-0	0 - 8	0 -6	12-0	8-0	1-0	261-41
30. YES-NO		0 - 0	3-0	7 -0	14- 0	10-1	1 -0	7- 3	0- 3	1-0	0- 5	15-0	0 -01	0-12	0-11	7 - 7	0-0	1- 3	8 -0	11-0	0- 3	3-	7- 0	0 - 0	0 0	0 - 0	0 -7	0 - 4		13-0	0-3	0-16	0- 5	0- 7	0 -9	11-0	5-0	10-0	5 -0	1- 2	3-0	0 - 8	6 -0	0-12	8-0	1-0	153-149
29. YES-NO		0 - 0	3-0	7 -0	14-0	0-11	0- 7	0-10	0- 3	1-0	0-10	0 0	0 - 0 - 0	71-0	11-0	0 - 8	8-0	7 -0	0 - 8	11-0	3-0	0-11	0- 7	0-0	00	0 0	0 -7	0 - 5		13-0		0-16	0 - 5	7-0	0 -9		1- 4	1	7 -0	2- 1	1	0-8	6 -0	1	0- 8	0- 1	149-153
28. YES-NO	0	0 - 0	3-0	0 -7	14- 0			10-0									1	0 - 4	0 - 8		3-0		7- 0	0 - 0	00	0 - 4	1 -1	0 - 4		13-0	3-0	2-14	5-0		0 -9		5-0	10-0	0 - 4	3-0	3-0	8-0	0 -6	12- 0	8-0	1-0	258-44
27. YES-NO		0-0	3-0	7 -0	0-14	0-11	0- 7	10-0,	1- 2	1-1	0 - 5	0 0	0 - 07	0-12	0-11	8-0	0 - 8	0 -4	0 - 8	0-11	3- 0	0-11	7- 0		000	0 - 4	7 -0	5 -0		0-13	1- 2	0-16	0- 5	0- 7	9 -0	8- 3	2- 3	0-10	0 - 4	3-0	0- 3	0 - 8	6 -0	0-12	0-8	1-0	90-212
26. YES-NO		0-0	1- 2	2-0	0-14	11-0	0- 7	0-10	0-3	0-10			3-12	0-12	0-11						0- 3	-	1 -0		0 0					13-0		7	0- 5	7-0	9 -0	2- 9	0- 5	10-0	0 -4	0- 3	0- 3	0-8	6 -0	0-12	0 - 8	0- 1	72-230
25. YES-NO		5-0	3-0	0- 2	0-14	1-10	7-0	0-10												-	4		7-0																							1-0	238-64
24. YES-NO		7	3-0	2-0				0 0		3-0		2-0		12-0	11-0	0 -8	8 0						11-0		0 -6	1	2-0	1		13-0	3-0	16-0	5-0	7-0	0 -9								7 1			1-0	9 302-0
23. YES-NO		5-0						101						200	11-0	-5		0 - 1	10	0 0 0	11-0	3-0	11-0	0 -1	0 -6	0 - 7	2-0	0 - 7		13-0	3-0	0-16	5- 0	7-0	0 -9	11- 0	5-0	10-0	0 -7	3-	0 0	000	000	200	0 -21	1-0	283-1
VOTES		0	m	7	14	11	7	10	3	1 -	4 W	0 .	15	12	11	00	00	7 8	00		1 6		7 7	-	6	4	7	4	(4)	13	3	16	5	7	9	11	S	10	7	cr	. ~	0	0 0	, ,	7 0	0 -	302
CHARTER		AZ-Iucson	AZ-Yuma	CA-E.Sierra	CA-Northern	CA-Southern	Colorado	Florida	Georgia	Hawaii	14000	Tario	TITIOIS	Indiana	Iowa	Kansas	Kentucky	Massachusetts	Michigan	Minnesota	Mississiani	Minor to the total	***	Montana-west	Nebraska-Eas	Nebraska-West	Nevada	New Jersey	New Mexico-No	New York	N. Carolina	Ohio	Oklahoma	Oregon	Pennsyl. East	Pennsyl.West	So. Dakota	Texas	Utah-North	Utah-South	Vermont	Viroinia	Washington	Wieconsin	Myomino	Canada	TOTALS

CHARTER	VOTES	34. YES-NO	35. YES-NO	36. YES-NO	37. YES-NO	1990 IA	W.T.
		0- 5	5- 0	5- 0	5- 0	5	0
AZ-Tucson	5	0-3	3- 0	3- 0	3- 0	0	3
AZ-Yuma	3	0- 3	0- 2	0- 2		0	2
CA-E.Sierra	2	-				2	12
CA-Northern	14	0-14	12- 2	10- 4	14- 0	3	8
CA-Southern	11	0-11	1-10	1-10	11- 0	2	5
Colorado	7	7- 0	7- 0	7- 0	7- 0		9
Florida	10	10- 0	0-10	1- 9	8- 2	1	
Georgia	3	0-3	3 - 0	1- 2	2- 1	0	3
Hawaii	1	1- 0	1- 0	1- 0	1- 0	1	0
Idaho	5	3- 2	5- 0	5- 0	5- 0	5	0
Illinois	15	12- 3	15- 0	15- 0	15- 0	15	0
Indiana	12	12- 0	10- 2	12- 0	12- 0	0	12
Iowa	11	11- 0	11- 0	11- 0	11- 0	11	0
Kansas	8	0- 8	8- 0	0- 8	8- 0	8	0
Kentucky	8	6- 2	8- 0	5- 3	8- 0	0	8
Massachusetts	4	2- 2	3- 1	0- 4	3- 1	0	4
Michigan	8	0-8	8- 0	8- 0	8- 0	0	8
Minnesota	11	0-11	0-11	11- 0	11- 0	11	0
Mississippi	3	3- 0	3- 0	3- 0	3- 0	0	3
Missouri	11	0-11	11- 0	8- 3	11- 0	4	7
Montana-West	7	0- 7	7- 0	7- 0	7- 0	7	0
Nebraska-East		9- 0	9- 0	0-9	9- 0	9	0
Nebraska-West		4- 0	4- 0	0- 4	4- 0	4	0
Nevada	2	0- 2	2- 0	1- 1	1- 1	0	2
New Jersey	4	3- 1	1- 3	4- 0	4- 0	1	3
New Mexico-No						4	0
New York	13	13- 0	13- 0	13- 0	13- 0	0	13
N. Carolina	3	0-3	3- 0	0-3	2- 1	0	3
Ohio	16	0-16	0-16	3-13	16- 0	0	16
Oklahoma	5	0- 5	5- 0	5- 0	4- 1	0	5
Oregon	7	0 - 7	0- 7	0- 7	7- 0	1	6
Pennsyl.East	6	0- 6	6- 0	6- 0	6- 0	0	6
Pennsyl.West	11	8- 3	8- 3	4- 7	11- 0	6	5
So. Dakota	5	0- 5	5- 0	5- 0	5- 0	5	0
Texas	10	10- 0	10- 0	10- 0	10- 0	0	10
Utah-North	4	4- 0	4- 0	4- 0	3- 1	2	2
Utah-South	3	1- 2	3- 0	3- 0	0- 3	1	2
	3	3- 0	3- 0	0-3	0- 3	0	3
Vermont	8	0-8	0- 8	8- 0	8- 0	0	8
Virginia	9	0- 9	9- 0	0- 9	9- 0	9	0
Washington	12	0-12	12- 0	12- 0	12- 0	0	12
Wisconsin		0- 8	8- 0	8- 0	8- 0	8	0
Wyoming	8	1- 0	1- 0	0- 1	1- 0	1	0
Canada	1		007 75	200 120	200 1/	100	100
TOTALS	302	123-179	227-75	200-102	288-14	126	180

COVER PHOTO . . . This story is not about the greatest horseshoe game ever pitched. It is not about 85% or 90% games, the most thrilling game, or the longest game ever pitched. This letter is about guts, courage and the attitude that you can do anything if you try hard enough.

The hero in this story is 72 years young. In February, 1982, our hero had his right leg amputated below the knee. In November, 1982, our hero had open heart surgery. In May of 1983 he began pitching horseshoes again, as he had previously, but this time on one good leg and an artificial one. In October, 1986 our hero had his left leg amputated below the knee. As in the previous case, he went through rehabilitation at a local rehab center. In June of 1987, a special hand rail support was put together by the members of his doubles league. During that summer, our hero pitched at least twice a week in this league.

This story was featured by a local television station in Pittsburgh. The name of our hero is Mr. Frank Bellan, 1608 Chartiers Avenue, McKees Rocks, PA, 15136, and the league is the Kennedy Township Horseshoe League, Kennedy Township, Pennsylvania.

THE 1988 W. T. CONVENTION

by GLENN PORTT

Not a lot to say... overall it ran fairly smooth in contrast to some during the past 15 years or so. President Dave did a good job even tho' there were a few comments that "he beat the insurance problem to death." However, in defense, and to be fair, the delegates needed a detailed explanation of this important step the NHPA has taken ... as the answers given to the many questions proved.

The Convention opened on an admittance of failure . . . which was to me the headlight of the entire assembly. Dave Loucks' first words were . . . "I am apologizing right now to the Junior Boys and Girls. I dropped the ball in not appointing junior representatives. I alone am to blame. I take full responsibility." Later, Mary Van Sant said . . . "I dropped the ball on the patches for the W.T. I alone am to blame. I apologize for the inconvenience I have caused." How many times in your life have you heard people admit to their own mistakes? How many times have you heard . . . "I didn't do it. I didn't do it. I am in no way responsible . . . etc., etc.?" So, when people come along like these two NHPA officers, they deserve to be recognized.

There were 37 changes proposed to our Constitution and By-Laws . . . 29 passed. The representatives of one charter failed to return to vote after the break. Why? Their 4 votes could have made a difference. Did these delegates represent their state fairly? It shouldn't have happened!

lowa lost its bid for the 1990 W.T. to Georgia. Its representative, Leo Buell, deserves to be mentioned. Leo, a gentleman of the first water, graciously congratulated the Georgia delegation led by Al Sandham, the chief engineer in getting the W.T. for Georgia . . . which just proves the nice things in life have not all passed us by. They are still with us.

WEBB TOPS SHELDON, IL. RINGERS OPEN—SAN. 11-88-05

By RAY MAYHEW

The Sheldon, Ill., Ringers first tournament of the season was a resounding success with champions from three states competing in class A. They were Karl Van Sant, previous Indiana champion; Ron Powell, Kentucky State Champion; Charlie Webb, 1987 Illinois champion; 1985 & 86 Ill. champ Cliff Baker; and Tari Powell, previous Ladies World Champion and presently the Ladies Illinois Champion, a title she has held for several years.

Class A provided a lot of entertainment for spectators and we were proud to have these champions participate in our tournament. After a 8 man round robin we had a three way tie with Van Sant, Baker & Charlie Webb having all won 5 games and losing 2. The resulting play-off found Charlie Webb placing first with Baker and Van Sant taking second and third place respectably.

CLASS A—Charles Webb, Mascoutah, IL, 5-2-80.3; Cliff Baker, Sheldon, IL, 5-2-81.4; Karl Van Sant, Cayuga, IN, 5-2-79.1; Ron Powell, Reid, KY, 4-3-72.8; Don Peters, Chenoa, IL, 4-3-70.0; Mike Knop, Verona, IL, 3-4-63.7; Tari Powell, Rankin, IL, 2-5-67.4; Larry Knop, Verona, IL, 0-7-43.7.

CLASS B—Booty Lange, Elgin, IL, 6-1-53.4; Grant Hintz, Batavia, IL, 5-2-52.0; Will Bruens, Hoopeston, IL, 4-3-54.0; Eldon Bryant, Chenoa, IL, 4-3-48.9; Don Natschke, Milford, IL, 2-4-41.1; Harold Pierson, Whiting, IN, 2-5-42.9; Loren Gillespie, Tremont, IL, 2-5-42.6; Ron Stoubaugh, Rantoul, IL, 2-5-37.1.


CLASS C—Don West, Martinton, IL, 7-0-52.6; Jerome Christensen, Kankakee, IL, 5-2-49.1; Burl Taylor, Greencastle, IN, 4-3-33.1; Gordon Belanger, Kankakee, IL, 3-4-46.6; Larry Farris, Whiting, IN,

WEBB—(Continued)

3-4-42.0; Biff Ames, Manteno, IL, 3-4-37.4; Jr. Simoneau, Martinton, IL, 2-5-40.3; Tom Reid, Whiting, IN, 1-6-23.4.

CLASS D—Bob Anderson, Rensselaer, IN, 7-0-40.7; Louis Gschwendtner, Gardner, IL, 5-2-44.0; Jack Newberry, Momence, IL, 4-3-40.3; Clifford Marsh, Shelby, IN, 4-3-37.7; Ollie Clementz, Potomac, IL, 4-3-32.8; Larry Kleinert, St. Anne, IL, 2-5-32.9; Ray Mayhew, Sheldon, IL, 4-6-27.7; Gene Poyner, Collison, IL, 1-6-24.0.

CLASS E—Harry Rosenberger, Lexington, IL, 7-0-27.3; Gary Howe, Kentland, IN, 5-2-27.3; Dan LaFond, St. Anne, IL, 5-2-25.3; Harold Risley, Kentland, IN, 4-3-26.0; Jackie Ames, Manteno, IL, 4-3-17.0; Scott Carlson, Shelby, IN, 4-3-16.6; Delmar Lindahl, DeMotte, IN, 1-6-12.0.



ELDERS 40 FT. CLASS C FINALS

		0.0			W	L	R	SP		%
Robert McDonald, FL					5	1	87	240		36.3
Fred Jones, CA					4	2	84	240		35.0
Arthur Yount, CA					3	2	57	200		28.5
Ralph Clark, CA					2	3	52	200		26.0
Luke Braun, CA					2	3	45	200		22.5
Reuben Zeller, ND					0	5	31	200		15.5
1. ROBERT McDONALD					2 EDE	D JONES—CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponer		Score	R	SP	%
Ralph Clark	28-13	13	40	32.5		Zeller		16	40	40.0
Luke Braun	49-17	21	40	52.5	Arthur yo	unt	25-19	13	40	32.5
Arthur Yount	17-26	8	40	20.0	Ralph Cla	ark	25-23	12	40	30.0
Fred Jones	27-22	16	40	40.0	Robert M	cDonald	22-27	14	40	35.0
Reuben Zeller	33-21	15	40	37.5	Luke Bra	un	41-6	17	40	42.5
Fred Jones	27-19	14	40	35.0	Robert M	cDonald	19-27	12	40	30.0
WINS-5 LOSSES-1		87	240	36.3	WINS-4	LOSSES-2		84	240	35.0
3. ARTHUR YOUNT-CA					4. RAL	PH CLARK—CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponer	nt	Score	R	SP	%
Luke Braun	15-30	10	40	25.0	Robert M	cDonald	13-28	9	40	22.5
red Jones	19-25	13	40	32.5		Zeller	36-20	9	40	22.5
Robert McDonald	26-17	10	40	25.0		es		12	40	30.0
Reuben Zeller	28-10	9	40	22.5		un		11	40	27.5
Raiph Clark	29-18	15	40	37.5		unt	18-29	11	40	27.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		57	200	28.5	WINS-2	LOSSES-3		52	200	26.0
5. LUKE BRAUN—CA					6. REU	BEN ZELLER-N	ND .			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponen	it	Score	R	SP	%
Arthur Yount	30-15	12	40	30.0		9S		6	40	15.0
Robert McDonald	17-49	9	40	22.5	Ralph Cla	ark	20-36	4	40	10.0
Reuben Zeller	24-12	7	40	17.5		un	12-24	5	40	12.5
Ralph Clark	23-24	10	40	25.0	Arthur Yo	unt	10-28	5	40	12.5
red Jones	6-41	7	40	17.5	Robert M	cDonald	21-33	11	40	27.5
		45	200	22.5	WINS-0	LOSSES-5		31	200	15.5
WINS-2 LOSSES-3										
WINS-2 LOSSES-3			ELD	ERS	40 FT.	A1				
WINS-2 LOSSES-3			ELD	ERS	40 FT.	A1 L	R	SP		%
					Allerton III	2.77	R 206	SP 360		57.2
Boyd Walker, CA					W 7	L 2				57.2
Boyd Walker, CAloe Colt, CA					W 7 7 7	2 2	206 171	360		57.2 47.5
Boyd Walker, CAloe Colt, CA					W 7 7 7 6½	2 2 2 2 ¹ / ₂	206	360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9
Boyd Walker, CA loe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA					7 7 7 6½ 5½	2 2 2 2 ¹ / ₂ 3 ¹ / ₂	206 171 187 208	360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH				•	7 7 6½ 5½ 5½	2 2 2 2 ¹ / ₂ 3 ¹ / ₂ 3 ¹ / ₂	206 171 187 208 190	360 360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH -tank Guettler, NE				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 7 6½ 5½ 5½ 4	2 2 2 2 ¹ / ₂ 3 ¹ / ₂ 3 ¹ / ₂ 5	206 171 187 208 190 163	360 360 360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Joan's Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH					7 7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4	2 2 2/2 3/2 3/2 5 5	206 171 187 208 190 163 156	360 360 360 360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.3
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH -lank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA					7 7 6½ 5½ 5½ 4 4 2½	L 2 2 2½2 3½2 3½2 5 5 6½2	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.3
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH					7 7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4	2 2 2/2 3/2 3/2 5 5	206 171 187 208 190 163 156	360 360 360 360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH Al Crabtree					7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2	2 2 2/2 3/2 3/2 3/2 5 6/2 7 8	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360		
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riiffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH AI Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA					7 7 6½2 5½2 5½ 4 4 2½2 1	2 2 2/2 31/2 31/2 5 5 61/2 7 8	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	SP	57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riiffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH Al Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Dpponent	Score		SP	%	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer	L 2 2 2/2 3/2 3/2 3/2 5 6/2 7 8 COLT—CA	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360		57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4
Boyd Walker, CA loe Colt, CA Alate McBride, CA Jobie Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Jank Guettler, NE Jeverett Buehner, OH Jenn Riffle, CA Trank Hiendlmayr, OH Al Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Deponent Al Crabtree	Score 26-23	R 23	SP 40	% 57.5	7 7 6½ 5½ 5½ 4 4 2½ 2 1 2. JOE Opponer	L 2 2 2 2 1/2 3 1/2 3 1/2 5 5 6 1/2 7 8 COLT—CA	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	40	57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe	Score 26-23 44-18	R 23 25	SP 40 40	% 57.5 62.5	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Frank Hie	2 2 2½ 3½ 3½ 5 6½ 7 8 COLT—CA tt aan	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	40 40	57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH Al Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Deponent Al Crabtree Hank Guettler	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17	R 23 25 26	SP 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0	7 7 6½ 5½ 5½ 4 4 2½ 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Sol Berm Frank Hie Boyd Wa	2 2 21/2 31/2 31/2 5 61/2 7 8 COLT—CA It an	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 37 17	40 40 40	57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH -lank Guettler, NE Severett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA -Frank Hiendlmayr, OH AI Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Deponent AI Crabtree -lank Guettler Joe Colt Everett Buehner	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17 19-40	R 23 25 26 15	SP 40 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0 37.5	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Sol Berm Frank Hie Boyd Wa Marls Go	2 2 21/2 31/2 31/2 5 5 61/2 7 8 COLT—CA	206 171 187 208 190 163 155 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50 33-32	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 17	40 40 40 40	57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 45.3 43.1 44.7 46.4 42.5 40.0 42.5
Boyd Walker, CA loe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Mark McBride, CA Mark Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH Al Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Deponent Al Crabtree Hank Guettler loe Colt Everett Buehner Mate McBride	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17 19-40 10-34	R 23 25 26 16 16	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0 37.5 40.0	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Sol Berm Frank Hie Boyd Wa Marls Go Al Crabbtr	2 2 2½ 3½ 3½ 5 6½ 7 8 COLT—CA tt aan andimayr liker odrich eee	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50 33-32 39-25	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 37 17 17 17 16 17 23	40 40 40 40 40	57.2 47.5 51.9 57.8 43.3 43.1 44.7 46.4 42.5 57.5
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH -lank Guettler, NE Severett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA -rank Hiendlmayr, OH AI Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Doponent AI Crabtree -lank Guettler Joe Colt Everett Buehner Mate McBride Joenn Riffle	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17 19-40 10-34 46-11	R 23 25 26 15 16 24	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0 37.5 40.0 60.0	7 7 6½ 5½ 5½ 4 4 2½ 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Sol Berm Frank Hie Boyd Wa Marls Go Al Crabh	2 2/2 3/2 3/2 3/2 5 6/2 7 8 COLT—CA It an	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50 33-32 39-25 34-18	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	40 40 40 40 40 40	57.24 47.55 51.95 57.8 52.52 43.3 43.1 41.7 46.4 42.5 40.0 57.5 50.0
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH -lank Guettler, NE Severett Buehner, OH Glenn Riiffle, CA -Frank Hiendlmayr, OH AI Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Dipponent AI Crabtree -lank Guettler Joe Colt Everett Buehner Mate McBride Glenn Riiffle Sol Berman	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17 19-40 10-34 46-11 29-20	R 23 25 26 15 16 24 28	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0 37.5 40.0 60.0 70.0	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Sol Berm Frank Hie Boy Wa Marls Go Al Crabtn Hank Gu Glenn Ril	L 2 2 2 2 1/2 31/2 31/2 5 5 6 1/2 7 8 COLT—CA at an	206 171 187 208 190 163 155 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50 33-32 39-25 34-18 36-21	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	40 40 40 40 40 40 40	57.24 47.55 51.95 57.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4 42.5 40.0 57.5 50.0 50.0
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH AI Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Opponent AI Crabtree Hank Guettler Joe Colt Everett Buehner Mate McBride Glenn Riffle Sol Berman Frank Hiendlmayr	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17 19-40 10-34 46-11 29-20 24-18	R 23 25 26 15 16 24 28 22	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0 37.5 40.0 60.0 70.0 55.0	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Frank Hie Boyd Wa Marls Go Al Crabth Hank Gu Glenn Rii Everett B	2 2 2½ 3½ 3½ 5 6½ 7 8 COLT—CA tt aan andimayr liker odrich ee ettler ffle uehner	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50 33-32 39-25 34-18 36-21 37-20	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	57.22 47.55 51.9 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4 42.5 57.5 50.0 60.0 42.5
Boyd Walker, CA Joe Colt, CA Mate McBride, CA Sol Berman, FL Marls Goodrich, OH Hank Guettler, NE Everett Buehner, OH Glenn Riffle, CA Frank Hiendlmayr, OH Al Crabtree 1. BOYD WALKER—CA Dopponent Al Crabtree Hank Guettler Joe Colt Everett Buehner Mate McBride Glenn Riffle Sol Berman	Score 26-23 44-18 50-17 19-40 10-34 46-11 29-20	R 23 25 26 15 16 24 28	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 62.5 65.0 37.5 40.0 60.0 70.0	7 7 61/2 51/2 51/2 4 4 21/2 2 1 2. JOE Opponer Frank Hie Boyd Wa Marls Go Al Crabth Hank Gu Glenn Rii Everett B	L 2 2 2 2 1/2 31/2 31/2 5 5 6 1/2 7 8 COLT—CA at an	206 171 187 208 190 163 156 155 150 167 Score 13-35 24-22 17-50 33-32 39-25 34-18 36-21 37-20	360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 360	40 40 40 40 40 40 40	57.2.2 47.5.5 51.9 52.8 45.3 43.1 41.7 46.4 42.5 50.0 50.0

ELDERS—(Continued)

3. MATE McBRIDE—CA

OT INITIA MODITION OF				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Marls Goodrich	26-26	20	40	50.0
Everett Buehner	31-26	23	40	57.5
Glenn Riffle	30-11	19	40	47.5
Frank Heindlmayr	37-18	19	40	47.5
Boyd Walker	34-10	23	40	57.5
Sol Berman	14-35	16	40	50.0
Al Crabtree	37-17	25	40	62.5
Hank Guettler	44-31	22	40	55.0
Joe Colt	24-34	20	40	50.0
WINS-61/2 LOSSES-21/2		187	360	51.9

4. SOL BERMAN-FL

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Joe Colt	35-13	25	40	62.5
Al Crabtree	38-22	22	40	55.0
Hank Guettler	17-25	20	40	50.0
Glenn Riffle	23-23	22	40	55.0
Everett Buehner	33-23	23	40	57.5
Mate McBride	35-14	23	40	57.5
Boyd Walker	20-29	25	40	62.5
Marls Goodrich	29-38	20	40	50.0
Frank Hiendlmayr	55-14	28	40	70.0
WINS-51/2 LOSSES-31/2	P He se	208	360	57.8

5. MARLS GOODRICH-OH

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Mate McBride	26-26	20	40	50.0
Glenn Riffle	39-4	24	40	60.0
Everett Buehner	26-29	19	40	47.5
Joe Colt	32-33	18	40	45.0
Hank Gutttler	37-24	18	40	45.0
Al Crabtree	44-17	26	40	65.0
Frank Hiendlmayr	44-18	21	40	52.5
Sol Berman	38-19	25	40	62.5
Boyd Walker	19-46	19	40	47.5
WINS-51/2 LOSSES-31/2	THE LEE	190	360	52.8

6. HANK GUETTLER-NE

0	Score	R	SP	0/
Opponent	Score	н	SP	%
Frank Hiendlmayr	29-23	18	40	45.0
Boyd Walker	18-44	16	40	40.0
Sol Berman	25-17	21	40	52.5
Al Crabtree	23-24	16	40	40.0
Marls Goodrich	24-37	15	40	37.5
Joe Colt	18-34	15	40	37.5
Everett Buehner	51-12	24	40	60.0
Mate McBride	31-44	17	40	42.5
Glenn Riffle	30-21	21	40	52.5
WINS-4 LOSSES-5		163	360	45.3

7. EVERETT BUEHNER-OH

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Glenn Riffle	36-12	19	40	47.5
Mate McBride	26-31	21	40	52.5
Marls Goodrich	29-26	18	40	45.0
Boyd Walker	40-19	21	40	52.5
Sol Berman	23-33	20	40	50.0
Frank Hiendlmayr	19-33	13	40	32.5
Hank Guettler	12-51	13	40	32.5
Joe Colt	20-37	13	40	32.5
Al Crabtree	31-28	18	40	45.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-5	370,392	156	360	43.3

8. GLENN RIFFLE—CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Everett Buehner	12-36	13	40	32.5
Marls Goodrich	4-39	12	40	30.0
Mate McBride	11-30	15	40	37.5
Sol Berman	23-23	23	40	57.5
Frank Hiendlmayr	42-32	24	40	60.0
Boyd Walker	11-46	13	40	32.5
Joe Colt	21-36	17	40	42.5
Al Crabtree	30-26	19	40	47.5
Hank Guettler	21-30	19	40	47.5
WINS-21/2 LOSSES-61/2	30. 11.59	155	360	43.1

9. FRANK HIENDLMAYR-OH

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Hank Guettler	23-29	16	40	40.0
Joe Colt	22-24	17	40	42.5
Al Crabtree	23-14	21	40	52.5
Mate McBride	18-37	13	40	32.5
Glenn Riffle	32-42	21	40	52.5
Everett Buehner	33-19	16	40	40.0
Marls Goodrich	18-44	13	40	32.5
Boyd Walker	18-24	19	40	47.5
Sol Berman	14-55	14	40	35.0
WINS-2 LOSSES-7		150	360	41.7

10. AL CRABTREE-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Boyd Walker	23-26	22	40	55.0
Sol Berman	22-38	19	40	47.5
Frankk Hiendlmayr	14-23	20	40	50.0
Hank Guettler	24-23	17	40	42.5
Joe Colt	25-39	19	40	47.5
Marls Goodrich	17-44	18	40	45.0
Mate McBride	17-37	18	40	45.0
Glenn Riffle	26-30	17	40	42.5
Everett Buehner	28-31	17	40	42.5
WINS-1 LOSSES-8		167	360	46.4

ELDERS 40 FT. A2

					W	L	R	SP		%
Bob Proctor, CA					8	1	197	360		54.7
Newell Flann, CA					8	1	188	360		52.2
Norm Cone, CA					7	2	202	360		56.1
					4	5	184	360		51.1
Charles Wendling, OR					4	5	160	360		44.4
Harvey Robbins, CN					4	5	154	360		42.8
Bob Hughes, WA					3	6	161	360		44.7
Verdun Nicholas, UT										42.2
Leonard Christensen, OR .					21/2	61/2	152	360		
Verl Webb, WA					21/2	61/2	145	360		40.3
George Abrams, CO					2	7	157	360		43.6
1. BOB PROCTOR—CA					2. NEWELI	L FLANN—CA	1			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Bob Hughes	49-16	25	40	62.5	George Abra	ms	43-8	25	40	62.5
Verl Webb	36-32	20	40	50.0	Harvey Robb	ins	45-9	27	40	67.5
Leonard Christensen	40-23	22	40	55.0	Charles Wen	dling	31-29	22	40	55.0
Charles Wendling	24-12	23	40	57.5		stensen	31-16	19	40	47.5
Harvey Robbins	29-26	24	40	60.0	Bob Hughes		24-17	20	40	50.0
George Abrams	38-13	23	40	57.5			34-25	18	40	45.0
Verdun Nicholas	28-14	23	40	57.5	Norm Cone		6-43	17	40	42.5
Norm Cone	32-25	20	40	50.0	Verdun Nicho	olas	27-25	16	40	40.0
Newell Flann	12-35	17	40	42.5	Bob Proctor		35-12	24	40	60.0
WINS-8 LOSSES-1		197	360	54.7	WINS-8 LO	SSES-1		188	360	52.2
3. NORM CONE—CA					4. CHARLE	S WENDLING	G—OR			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Charles Wendling	20-13	28	40	70.0	Norm Cone		13-20	25	40	62.5
George Abrams	36-27	21	40	52.5		olas	33-35	19	40	47.5
Harvey Robbins	29-31	20	40	50.0	Newell Flann		29-31	21	40	52.5
Verl Webb	34-28	20	40	50.0	Bob Proctor		12-24	19	40	47.5
Leonard Christensen	47-19	25	40	62.5	George Abra	ms	30-31	20	40	50.0
Bob Hughes	27-18	21	40	52.5	Harvey Robb	ins	35-23	18	40	45.0
Newell Flann	43-6	29	40	72.5	Verl Webb .		39-14	20	40	50.0
Bob Proctor	25-32	17	40	42.5	Leonard Chri	stensen	26-17	24	40	60.0
Verdun Nicholas	36-33	21	40	52.5	Bob Hughes		33-30	18	40	45.0
WINS-7 LOSSES-2		202	360	56.1	WINS-4 LO	SSES-5		184	360	51.1
5. HARVEY ROBBINS—	CN				6. BOB HU	GHES-WA		15216		
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Verdun Nicholas	22-45	12	40	30.0	Bob Proctor		16-49	16	40	40.0
Newell Flann	9-45	17	40	42.5		stensen	25-36	13	40	32.5
Norm Cone	31-29	18	40	45.0	Verl Webb .		35-27	18	40	45.0
George Abrams	32-12	24	40	60.0		olas	38-17	23	40	57.5
Bob Proctor	26-29	23	40	57.5	Newell Flann		17-24	17	40	42.5
Charles Wendling	23-35	14	40	35.0			18-27	19	40	47.5
Leonard Christensen	31-26	20	40	50.0	George Abra	ms	34-25	14	40	35.0
Bob Hughes	19-31	14	40	35.0		ins	31-19	17	40	42.5
Verl Webb	34-21	18	40	45.0		dling	30-33	17	40	42.5
WINS-4 LOSSES-5		160	360	44.4	WINS-4 LO	SSES-5		154	360	42.8
7. VERDUN NICHOLAS-	-UT				8. LEONAF	RD CHRISTEN	SEN-OF	3		
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Harvey Robbins	45-22	20	40	50.0			22-22	18	40	45.0
Charles Wendling	35-33	21	40	52.5			36-25	15	40	37.5
George Abrams	13-32	15	40	37.5	Bob Proctor		23-40	17	40	42.5
Bob Hughes	17-38	16	40	40.0	Newelol Flan	n	16-31	15	40	37.5
Verl Webb	23-37	12	40	30.0	Norm Cone		19-47	15	40	37.5
Leonard Christensen	29-15	19	40	47.5	Verdun Nicho		15-29	16	40	40.0
Bob Proctor	14-28	19	40	47.5		ins	16-31	15	40	37.5
Newell Flann	25-27	18	40	45.0	Charles Wen	dling	17-26	20	40	50.0
Norm Cone	33-36	21	40	52.5	George Abra	ms	22-17	21	40	52.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-6		161	360	44.7	WINS-21/2 L			152	360	42.2

ELDERS—(Continued)

					10. GEORG	GE ABRAMS—	CO	- 1-5		
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Leonard Christensen	22-22	17	40	42.5	Newell Flan	ın	8-43	15	40	37.5
Bob Proctor	32-36	18	40	45.0			27-36	18	40	45.0
Bob Hughes	27-35	14	40	35.0		holas	32-13	20	40	50.0
Norm Cone	28-34	16	40	40.0	Harvey Rot	obins	12-32 31-30	18	40	45.0
Verdun Nicholas	37-23 25-34	16	40	42.5	Rob Proctor	endling	13-38	16	40	40.0
Newell Flann Charles Wendling	14-39	11	40	27.5	Bob Hughe	r s	25-34	13	40	32.5
George Abrams	30-19	21	40	52.5			19-30	16	40	40.0
Harvey Robbins	21-34	15	40	37.5		ristensen	17-22	21	40	52.5
WINS-21/2 LOSSES-61/2		145	360	40.3	WINS-2 L	OSSES-7		157	360	43.6
	ELI	DER	S 30	FT.	CHAMP	IONSHIP				
					W	L	R	SP	5 13	9/
Herb Rushing, CA					5	0	141	228		61.8
Joe Zoglman, CA					4	1	140	252		55.6
Leo Peterson, ID					3	2	127	244		52.0
Joe Morgan, FL					2	3	133	266		50.0
Walter House, FL Herman Wieser, FL					1 0	5	100	224		44.6
1. HERB RUSHING—CA					2. JOE Z	OGLMAN—CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	9
Herman Wieser	-4	24	40	60.0	Joe Morgan	n	42-37	24	50	48.0
Joe Morgan	40-27	36	60	60.0		se	44-15	27	44	61.4
Leo Peterson	40-25	27	46	58.7		ieser	40-25	22	38	57.9
Walter House	40-6 40-26	17	22	77.3		on		33	60	55.0
les Zeelman		37	60	61.7	Herb Hushi	ing	26-40	34	60	56.
Joe Zoglman	40-20									55.0
Joe Zoglman	40-20	141	228	61.8	WINS-4 L	.OSSES-1		140	252	
Joe Zoglman	40-20	141		61.8		OSSES-1		140	252	
Joe Zoglman	Score	141 R		61.8			Score	140	252 SP	9
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House	Score 40-26	R 25	228 SP 46	% 54.3	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglma	IORGAN—FL	37-42	R 23	SP 50	46.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser	Score 40-26 41-28	R 25 22	228 SP 46 50	% 54.3 44.0	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglma Herb Rush	IORGAN—FL	37-42 27-40	R 23 31	SP 50 60	46.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40	R 25 22 23	228 SP 46 50 46	% 54.3 44.0 50.0	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglma Herb Rush Walter Hou	aningssesse	37-42 27-40 42-28	R 23 31 30	SP 50 60 56	46. 51. 53.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42	R 25 22 23 31	228 SP 46 50 46 60	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W	an	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28	R 23 31 30 30	SP 50 60 56 58	46. 51. 53. 51.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40	R 25 22 23	228 SP 46 50 46	% 54.3 44.0 50.0	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W	an	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28	R 23 31 30	SP 50 60 56	46. 51. 53. 51. 45.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42	R 25 22 23 31 26	228 SP 46 50 46 60 42	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W Leo Peters	an	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28	R 23 31 30 30 19	SP 50 60 56 58 42	46. 51. 53. 51. 45.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42 45-23	R 25 22 23 31 26	228 SP 46 50 46 60 42	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W Leo Peters WINS-2 L	an	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28 23-45	R 23 31 30 30 19	SP 50 60 56 58 42	46. 51. 53. 51. 45.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan WINS-3 LOSSES-2	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42 45-23	R 25 22 23 31 26	228 SP 46 50 46 60 42	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W Leo Peters WINS-2 L	aningsse	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28 23-45	R 23 31 30 30 19	SP 50 60 56 58 42	46. 51. 53. 51. 45.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. WALTER HOUSE—FL Opponent Leo Peterson	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42 45-23 Score 26-40	R 25 22 23 31 26 127	SP 46 50 46 60 42 244 SP 46	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9 52.0	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman Leo Peters WINS-2 L 6. HERM. Opponent Herb Rushi	an	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28 23-45	R 23 31 30 30 19 133	SP 40	46. 51. 53. 51. 45. 50 .
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. WALTER HOUSE—FL Opponent Leo Peterson Joe Zoglman	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42 45-23 Score 26-40 15-44	R 25 22 23 31 26 127	SP 46 50 46 60 42 244 SP 46 44	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9 52.0 % 43.5 40.9	4. JOE M Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W Leo Peters WINS-2 L 6. HERM. Opponent Herb Rushi Leo Peters	aningisseieseron	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28 23-45 FL Score 4-40 28-41	R 23 31 30 30 19 133	SP 50 60 56 58 42 266 SP 40 50	46. 51. 53. 51. 45. 50.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. WALTER HOUSE—FL Opponent Leo Peterson Joe Zoglman Joe Zoglman	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42 45-23 Score 26-40 15-44 28-42	R 25 22 23 31 26 127 R 20 18 27	SP 46 60 42 244 SP 46 44 56	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9 52.0 % 43.5 40.9 48.2	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm: Herb Rush Walter Hou Herman W Leo Peters WINS-2 L 6. HERM Opponent Herb Rush Leo Peters Joe Zoglm:	aningsseingsseingsseingsseingsseingsse	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28 23-45 FL Score 4-40 28-41 25-40	R 23 31 30 30 19 133	SP 50 60 56 58 42 266 SP 40 50 38	46. 51. 53. 51. 45. 50.
Joe Zoglman WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. LEO PETERSON—ID Opponent Walter House Herman Wieser Herb Rushing Joe Zoglman Joe Morgan WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. WALTER HOUSE—FL Opponent	Score 40-26 41-28 25-40 38-42 45-23 Score 26-40 15-44	R 25 22 23 31 26 127	SP 46 50 46 60 42 244 SP 46 44	% 54.3 44.0 50.0 51.7 61.9 52.0 % 43.5 40.9	4. JOE N Opponent Joe Zoglm Herb Rush Walter House Leo Peters WINS-2 L 6. HERM Opponent Herb Rush Leo Peters Joe Zoglma Joe Morgar	aningisseieseron	37-42 27-40 42-28 41-28 23-45 FL Score 4-40 28-41	R 23 31 30 30 19 133	SP 50 60 56 58 42 266 SP 40 50	46.

WINS-6 LOSSES-5

334

60.7

WINS-6 LOSSES-5

544 52.8

	EL	DER	S 30	FT.	CHAMPIONSH	IP			
					W L	R	SP		%
Don Titcomb, CA					11 0	374	488		76.6
Gerald Schneider, CA					9 2	370	536		69.0
Edward Risley, NY					9 2	343	566		60.6
Leonard Lipovsky, MN					6 5	360	578		62.3
Winfield Carnahan, PA					6 5	334	550		60.7
Sparky Hall, FL					6 5	287	544		52.8
Elwood Johnson, MN					5 6	363	582		62.4
Curley Seibold, IN					4 7	298	556		53.6
Juke Basham, CA					4 7 9	257	510 544		50.4 53.7
Harold Hoffman, OR					2 9	292 286	552		51.8
Leonard Rood, CA Lawrence Garman, CA					2 9	259	542		47.8
1. DON TITCOMB—CA					2. GERALD SCHNEI	DER_CA			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Leonard Rood	40-9	26	34	76.5	Juke Basham		22	28	78.6
Juke Basham	42-3	23	28	82.1	Curley Seibold		36	60	60.0
Curley Seibold	40-11	34	42	81.0	Sparky Hall		26	34	76.5
Sparky Hall	44-13	37	50	74.0	Harold Hoffman		43	64	67.2
Harold Hoffman	41-15	32	42	76.2	Lawrence Garman		25	34	73.5
Lawrence Garman	40-15	33	46	71.7	Leonard Rood	42-15	29	42	69.0
Winfield Carnhan	40-26	31	42	73.8	Leonard Lipovsky	44-33	41	56	73.2
Edward Risley	45-6	28	36	77.8	Winfield Carnahan		34	50	68.0
Gerald Schneider	41-19	40 46	54 66	74.1 69.7	Don Titcomb		32	54	59.3
Leonard Lipovsky Elwood Johnson	40-31	46	48	91.7	Elwood Johnson Edward Risley	34-43	43	66 48	65.2 81.3
WINS-11 LOSSES-0	41-0	374	488	76.6	WINS-9 LOSSES-2	42-14	370	536	69.0
3. EDWARD RISLEY—N	YE				4. LEONARD LIPOVS	SKY-MN			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Harold Hoffman	40-29	26	48	54.2	Sparky Hall	45-4	26	34	76.5
Lawrence Garman	42-28	30	54	55.6	Harold Hoffman		39	66	59.1
Leonard Rood	40-25	38	56	67.9	Lawrence Garman		24	32	7.0
Juke Basham	42-30	32	54	59.3	Leonard Rood		31	48	64.6
Curley Seibold	43-13	36	50	72.0	Juke Basham	42-31	26	46	56.5
Sparky Hall	44-17	35	46	76.1	Curley Seibold		30	56	53.6
Elwood Johnson	40-24	32	52	61.5	Gerald Schneider		37	56	66.1 72.2
Don Titcomb Leonard Lipovsky	6-45 40-33	16	36 56	44.4 53.6	Elwood Johnson Edward Risley		52 27	72 56	48.2
Winfield Carnahan	40-33	40	66	60.6	Don Titcomb		44	66	66.7
Gerald Schneider	14-42	28	48	58.3	Winfield Carnahan	20-41	24	46	52.2
WINS-9 LOSSES-2		343	566	60.6	WINS-6 LOSSES-5		360	578	62.3
E WINDER D CARNALIA	N D4				C CDARKY HALL				
5. WINFIELD CARNAHA Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	6. SPARKY HALL—I Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Curley Seibold	40-27	35	52	67.3	Leonard Lipovsky		13	34	38.2
Sparky Hall	13-40	28	60	46.7	Winfield Carnahan		36	60	60.0
Harold Hoffman	41-15	19	30	63.3	Gerald Schneider		14	34	41.2
Lawrence Garman	27-41	28	52	53.8	Don Titcomb	13-44	27	50	54.0
Leonard Rood	41-27	32	52	61.5	Elwood Johnson		31	50	62.0
Juke Basham	43-16	30	46	65.2	Edward Risley	17-44	26	46	56.5
Don Titcomb	26-40	26	42	61.9	Juke Basham	44-37	36	72	50.0
Gerald Schneider	28-41	30	50	60.0	Lawrence Garman		28	52	53.8
Elwood Johnson	42-28	37	54	68.5	Curley Seibold		23	38	60.5
Edward Risely Leonard Lipovsky	31-40	37	66	56.1	Leonard Rood		21	50 58	42.0
	41-20	32	46	69.6	Harold Hoffman	41-37	32	58	55.2

ELDERS—(Continued)

-	FILMO	ACCOUNTED TO	8881
		DJOHNSON	

Opponent	Score	R	SP	9/0
Lawrence Garman	43-33	39	62	62.9
Leonard Rood	25-43	20	42	47.6
Juke Basham	40-25	30	46	65.2
Curley Seibold	42-38	35	56	62.5
Sparky Hall	31-40	27	50	54.0
Harold Hoffman	40-9	23	34	67.6
Edward Risley	24-40	29	52	55.8
Leonard Lipovsky	30-41	49	72	68.1
Winfield Carnahan	28-42	32	54	59.3
Gerald Schneider	43-34	47	66	71.2
Don Titcomb	3-41	32	48	66.7
WINCE LOCCECE		262	582	62.4

8. CURLEY SEIBOLD-IN

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Winfield Carnahan	27-40	31	52	59.6
Gerald Schneider	24-44	27	60	45.0
Don Titcomb	11-40	24	42	57.1
Elwood Johnson	38-42	33	56	58.9
Edward Risley	13-43	27	50	54.0
Leonard Lipovsky	42-21	36	56	64.3
Leonard Rood	44-32	32	58	55.2
Juke Basham	20-41	19	48	39.6
Sparky Hall	22-41	16	38	42.1
Harold Hoffman	41-19	30	50	60.0
Lawrence Garman	42-23	23	46	50.0
WING A LOCCEC 7	11 6 9	200	EEC	E2 6

9. JUKE BASHAM-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Gerald Schneider	9-42	12	28	42.9
Don Titcomb	3-42	11	28	39.3
Elwood johnson	25-40	26	46	56.5
Edward Risley	30-42	29	54	53.7
Leonard Lipovsky	31-42	24	46	52.2
Winfield Carnahan	16-43	21	46	45.7
Sparky Hall	37-44	36	72	50.0
Curley Seibold	41-20	26	48	54.2
Harold Ho9ffman	41-27	25	44	56.8
Lawrence Garman	41-17	20	36	55.6
Leonard Rood	42-38	27	62	43.5
WINS-4 LOSSES-7		257	510	50.4

10. HAROLD HOFFMAN-OR

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Edward Risley	29-40	24	48	50.0
Leonard Lipovsky	35-44	37	66	56.1
Winfield Carnahan	15-41	11	30	36.7
Gerald Schneider	36-40	42	64	65.6
Don Titcomb	15-41	24	42	57.1
Elwood Johnson	9-40	13	34	38.2
Lawrence Garman	45-29	39	64	60.9
Leonard Rood	41-7	29	44	65.9
Juke Basham	27-41	20	44	45.5
Curley Seibold	19-41	22	50	44.0
Sparky Hall	37-41	31	58	53.4
WINS-2 LOSSES-9		292	544	53.7

11. LEONARD ROOD-CA

WINS-2 LOSSES-9		286	552	51.8
Juke Basham	38-42	25	62	40.3
Sparky Hall	44-19	28	50	56.0
Lawrence Garman	31-40	32	64	50.0
Harold Hoffman	7-41	20	44	45.5
Curley Seibold	32-44	29	58	50.0
Gerald Schneider	15-42	20	42	47.6
Winfield Carnahan	27-41	29	52	55.8
Leonard Lipovsky	22-41	26	48	54.2
Edward Risley	25-40	35	56	62.5
Elwood Johnhson	43-25	25	42	59.5
Don Titcomb	9-40	17	34	50.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

12. LAWRENCE GARMAN-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Elwood Johnson	33-43	35	62	56.5
Edward Risley	28-42	26	54	48.1
Leonard Lipovsky	1-41	11	32	34.4
Winfield Carnahan	41-27	31	52	59.6
Gerald Schneider	11-41	15	34	44.1
Don Titcomb	15-40	25	46	54.3
Harold Hoffman	29-45	33	64	51.6
Sparky Hall	35-40	25	52	48.1
Leonard Rood	40-31	33	64	51.6
Juke Basham	17-41	10	36	27.8
Curley Seibold	23-42	15	46	32.6
WINE 2 LOSSES 0	CE MAN	250	542	47.8

GORDON DS MED HARD

AMERICAN MEDIUM ONLY DF (E-Z GRIP) DS only DEADEYE



NEW DIAMOND TOURNAMENT HORSESHOES

DEADEYE REGULAR. NEW N.T. MODEL, FLIP GRIP CLYDESDALE PAIR

PAIR


DEADEYE

CON'T CHECK OR MONEY ORDER MUST ACCOMPANY ALL ORDERS ALL PRICES INCLUDE DELIVERY

PLAIN PINE \$ 17.00 D.A. BAKER HORSESHOE HARD CARRYING CASE WOOD

HEISTON

F. E. White, Distributor 714 Nevada Drive Erie, PA 16505 Phone (814) 455-2685 PA Res. 6% Tax



WHEELER BROS. BRASS FOUNDERS INC. 2751 FIFTH AVENUE, TROY, NEW YORK 12180

Established 1909 (518) 272-8591 ORDER FORM Golden Horseshoes in walnut box (shoes & plate engraved) A. 1 Pair \$175.00 B. 2 Pair \$300.00 Golden Horseshoes (polished & engraved) C. 1 Pair \$111.00 D. 2 Pair \$222.00 Golden Horseshoes (unpolished & not engraved) E. 1 Pair \$60.00 F. 2 Pair \$120.00 Walnut Horseshoe Box with engraved plate G. Holds one pair \$66.00 H. Holds 2 pair \$95.00 Good Luck Horseshoe mounted on walnut plaque with engraved plate I. Shoe and engraved plate \$80.00 Good Luck Shoe engraved Special Alloy J. Shoe only \$57.00 **Bronze Horseshoes** Add shipping and handling: 1st Item \$7.50 NHPA Approved Each additional item Add \$5.00 ITEM QTY. PRICE TOTAL Use Name separate Address sheet for additional items Phone (NY State Residents add 7% Sale Tax Engraved Shoes Engraved Brass Plate Check or Money Order MasterCard Visa Sorry - No COD's Card Expires Do Not Send Cash Month Signature

ELDERS 30 FT. A FINALS

					W	L	R	SP		%
Herman Anderson, MN					4	1	85	200		42.5
Ray Beardall, CA					4	1	75	200		37.5
August Ackerman, NE					3	2	74	200		37.0
Amos Wood, CA					2	3	84	200		42.0
Lee Jacobs, MI					1	4	58	200		29.0
Mat Youngblood, NM					1	4	53	200		26.5
1. HERMAN ANDERSON	-MN				2. RAY B	EARDALL-CA	A			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Ray Beardall	21-29	15	40	37.5	Herman An	derson	29-21	17	40	42.5
Mt Youngblood	42-17	15	40	37.5	Amos Woo	d	39-26	20	40	50.0
August Ackerman	34-22	19	40	47.5	Lee Jacobs		31-14	13	40	32.5
Amos Wood	30-19	18	40	45.0	August Ack	erman	26-21	14	40	35.0
Lee Jacobs	37-29	18	40	45.0	Mat Youngt	olood	17-31	11	40	27.5
WINS-4 LOSSES-1		85	200	42.5	WINS-4 L	OSSES-1		75	200	37.5
3. AUGUST ACKERMAN	-NE				4. AMOS	WOOD-CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Mat Youngblood	24-21	13	40	32.5	Lee Jacobs		40-22	18	40	45.0
Lee Jacobs	32-23	17	40	42.5	Ray Bearda	all	26-39	16	40	40.0
Herman Anderson	22-34	15	40	37.5	Mat Youngt	olood	25-16	19	40	47.5
Ray Beardall	21-26	13	40	32.5	Herman An	derson	19-30	15	40	37.5
Amos Wood	21-20	16	40	40.0	August Ack	erman	20-21	16	40	40.0
WINS-3 LOSSES-2	(S. E. S.	74	200	37.0	WINS-2 L	OSSES-3		84	200	42.0
5. LEE JACOBS-MI					6. MATY	YOUNGBLOOD	D—NM			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Amos Wood	22-40		40	27.5	August Ack	erman	21-24	9	40	22.5
August Ackerman	23-32	14	40	35.0		derson	17-42	7	40	17.5
Ray Beardall	14-31	8	40	20.0	Amos Wood	1	16-25	14	40	35.0
Mat Youngblood	30-21	13	40	32.5	Lee Jacobs		21-30	10	40	25.0
Herman Anderson	29-37	12	40	30.0		all	231-17	13	40	32.5
				29.0	WINS-1 L					26.5

WHAT A GAME THEY PITCHED

BY JERRY HOLT, NHPA CHAPLAIN

BOOKLET OF INTERVIEWS AND TIPS

SPECIAL SECTIONS ON OKLAHOMA PITCHERS
BACKGROUNDS AND WORDS FROM MANY TOP WORLD
CLASS PITCHERS

PRICE \$7.50

ORDER FROM

JERRY HOLT, P. O. BOX 27, MEDFORD, OK 73759

INTERMEDIATE CHAMPIONSHIP

	W	L	R	SP	%
Ben Fields, HI	4	1	93	200	46.5
J. J. Steffen, UT	3	2	101	200	50.5
Harry Perry, OR	3	2	83	200	41.5
Mel Reeser, CA	21/2	21/2	91	200	45.5
Lee Wallace, OR	11/2	31/2	89	200	44.5
Harry Denlinger, OH	1	4	82	200	41.0

INTERMEDIATE CLASS A FINALS

1. BEN FIELDS—HI			470	_	2. J. J. STEFFEN—UT				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Harry Denlinger	26-19	18	40	45.0	Mel Reeser	22-39	17	40	42.5
Mel Reeser	34-25	20	40	50.0	Harry Perry	36-29	22	40	55.0
Lee Wallace	31-24	19	40	47.5	Harry Denlinger	22-12	21	40	52.5
Harry Perry	29-20	17	40	42.5	Lee Wallace	21-25	21	40	52.5
J. J. Steffen	20-24	19	40	47.5	Ben Fields	24-20	20	40	50.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-1	4	93	200	46.5	WINS-3 LOSSES-2		101	200	50.5
3. HARRY PERRY—OR					4. MEL REESER—CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Lee Wallace	29-18	19	40	47.5	J. J. Steffens	39-22	22	40	55.0
J. J. Steffen	29-36	18	40	45.0	Ben Fields	25-34	17	40	42.5
Mel Reeser	31-29	15	40	37.5	Harry Perry	29-31	14	40	35.0
Ben Fields	20-29	14	40	35.0	Harry Denlinger	37-15	19	40	47.5
Harry Denlinger	29-24	17	40	42.5	Lee Wallace	22-22	19	40	47.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		83	200	41.5	WINS-21/2 LOSSES-21/2		91	200	45.5
5. LEE WALLACE—OR					6. HARRY DENLINGER	-ОН			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Harry Perry	18-29	16	40	40.0	Ben Fields	19-26	14	40	35.0
Harry Denlinger	15-34	15	40	37.5	Lee Wallace	34-15	19	40	47.5
Ben Fields	24-31	18	40	45.0	J. J. Steffen	12-22	18	40	45.0
J. J. Steffen	25-21	22	40	55.0	Mel Reeser	15-37	14	40	35.0
Mel Reeser	22-22	18	40	45.0	Harry Perry	24-29	17	40	42.5
WINS-11/2 LOSSES-31/2		89	200	44.5	WINS-1 LOSSES-4		82	200	41.0

INTERMEDIATE CLASS B FINALS

					W	L	R	SP	500	%
Mel Roberts, CA					4	1	95	200		47.5
Bruce Stuart, CA					3	2	95	200		47.5
Maurice Casteel, AZ					3	2	72	200		36.0
Roland Johnson, NE					2	3	70	200		35.0
Jim Kosterman, WA					2	3	58	200		29.0
Richard Espitee, CT					1	4	63	200		31.5
1. MEL ROBERTS—CA Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	2. BRUCE ST	JART—CA	Score	R	SP	%
Jim Kosterman	23-18	15	40	37.5	Richard Espitee		47-7	25	40	62.5
Richard Espitee	42-18	21	40	52.5	Roland Johnson		33-29	14	40	35.0
Maurice Casteel	41-8	22	40	55.0	Jim Kosterman		24-31	19	40	47.5
Roland Johnson	36-12	20	40	50.0	Maurice Casteel		28-29	19	40	47.5
Bruce Stuart	26-30	17	40	42.5	Mel Roberts		30-26	18	40	45.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-1		95	200	47.5	WINS-3 LOSS	ES-2	The state of	95	200	47.5

INTERMEDIATE—(Continued)

3. MAURICE CASTEEL—AZ							
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%			
Roland Johnson	32-31	19	40	47.5			
Jim Kosterman	22-25	10	40	25.0			
Mel Roberts	8-41	12	40	30.0			
Bruce Stuart	29-28	19	40	47.5			
Dichard Ecnitos	20.10	10	40	20.0			

A	ROI	AND	JOH	MORN	I_NE	ė
4.	HUL	MNU	JOHI	420L	a - 1.61	=

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Maurice Casteel	31-32	17	40	42.5
Bruce Stuart	29-33	12	40	30.0
Richard Espitee	29-27	14	40	35.0
Mel Roberts	12-36	12	40	30.0
Jim Kosterman	45-15	15	40	37.5
WING-2 LOSSES-3		70	200	35.0

5. JIM KOSTERMAN-WA

WINS-3 LOSSES-2

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Mel Roberts	18-23	15	40	37.5
Maurice Casteel	25-22	8	40	20.0
Bruce Stuart	31-24	20	40	50.0
Richard Espitee	18-33	9	40	22.5
Roland Johnson	15-45	6	40	15.0
WINS-2 LOSSES-3		58	200	29.0

6. RICHARD ESPITEE—CT

12 15 9	40 40 40 40	30.0 37.5 22.5
12	40	30.0
	100000	-7076
	40	35.0
14	40	35.0
13	40	32.5
R	SP	%






Designed by the best, to improve your game

200 36.0

6 TIME WORLD CHAMPION . 19 TIME CANADIAN CHAMPION



Available exclusively in the U.S.A. through N.H.P.A. distributors or write:

N.H.P.A.

P.O. Box 298, Wilkinsonville, Mass. 01590

Canadian Sales and Dealer inquiries, contact:

HOHL HORSESHOE COMPANY

P.O. Box 23, Petersburg, Ontario, Canada N0B 2H0 (519) 634-5404

- N.H.P.A. approved -

INTERMEDIATE CLASS A-1

	W	L	R	SP	%
Curley Seibold, IN	5	2	165	280	58.9
Ben Fields, HI	5	2	146	280	52.1
J J Steffen, UT	5	2	144	280	51.4
Mel Reeser, CA	4	3	133	280	47.5
Curt Restul, WI	31/2	31/2	115	280	41.1
William Barth, MT	21/2	41/2	121	280	43.2
Harry Denlinger, OH	2	5	129	280	46.1
Fred Canfield, NV	1	6	125	280	44.6

1. CURLEY SEIBOLD—IN								
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%				
Fred Canfield	40-20	23	40	57.5				
William Barth	30-18	25	40	62.5				
Harry Denlinger	51-14	28	40	70.0				
Curt Bestul	47-6	26	40	65.0				
Ben Fields	23-25	23	40	57.5				
Mol Posser	20.20	10	40	45.0				

WINS-5 LOSSES-2		165	280	58.9
J. J. Steffen	21-34	22	40	55.0
Mel Reeser	30-29	18	40	45.0
Ben Fields	23-25	23	40	57.5
Curt Bestul	47-6	26	40	65.0
Harry Denlinger	51-14	28	40	70.0

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Curt Bestul	46-20	20	40	50.0
Harry Denlinger	18-28	15	40	37.5
Fred Canfield	31-17	20	40	50.0
William Barth	34-16	20	40	50.0
Mel Reeser	37-18	22	40	55.0
Ben Fields	35-36	21	40	52.5
Curley Seibold	34-21	26	40	65.0
WINS-5 LOSSES-2		144	280	51.4

5. CURT BESTUL—WI			<u> </u>	
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
J. J. Steffen	20-46	13	40	32.5
Ben Fields	39-22	20	40	50.0
Mel Reeser	30-12	16	40	40.0
Curley Seibold	6-47C	13	40	32.5
William Barth	24-24	18	40	45.0
Harry Denlinger	27-20	20	40	50.0
Fred Canfield	16-39	15	40	37.5
WINS-31/2 LOSSES-31/2	N. Land	115	280	41.1

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Ben Fields	23-26	19	40	47.5
J. J. Steffen	28-18	18	40	45.0
Curley Seibold	14-51	16	40	40.0
Mel Reeser	15-35	15	40	37.5
Fred Canfield	31-12	24	40	60.0
Curt Bestul	20-27	16	40	40.0
William Barth	25-31	21	40	52.5
WINS-2 LOSSES-5		129	280	46.1

2. BEN FIELDS—HI		674		
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Harry Denlinger	26-23	22	40	55.0
Curt Bestul	22-39	14	40	35.0
William Barth	44-24	21	40	52.5
Fred Canfield	42-27		40	
Curley Seibold	25-23	23	40	57.5
J. J. Steffen	36-35	21	40	52.5
Mel Reeser	22-33	20	40	50.0
WINE E LOCCECO	Lagrangian	140	200	E2 1

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
William Barth	50-7	21	40	52.5
Fred Canfield	33-23	18	40	45.0
Curt Bestul	12-30	11	40	27.5
Harry Denlinger	35-15	23	40	57.5
J. J. Steffen	18-37	17	40	42.5
Curley Seibold	29-31	19	40	47.5
Ben Fields	33-22	24	40	60.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-3	10 6 10	133	280	47.5

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Mel Reeser	7-50	10	40	25.0
Curley Seibold	18-30	21	40	52.5
Ben Fields	24-44	14	40	35.0
J. J. Steffen	16-34	17	40	42.5
Curt Bestul	24-24	17	40	42.5
Fred Canfield	38-22	20	40	50.0
Harry Denlinger	31-25	22	40	55.0
WINS-21/2 LOSSES-41/2	Gelle	121	280	43.2

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Curley Seibold	20-40	16	40	40.0
Mel Reeser	23-33	16	40	40.0
J. J. Steffen	17-31	16	40	40.0
Ben Fields	27-42	20	40	50.0
Harry Denlinger	12-31	19	40	47.5
William Barth	22-38	15	40	37.5
Curt Bestul	39-16	23	40	57.5
WINS-1 LOSSES-6		125	280	44.6

INTERMEDIATE CLASS A-2

W	L	R	SP	%
7	0	146	280	52.1
4	3	143	280	51.1
4	3	135	280	48.2
4	3	108	280	38.6
3	4	125	280	44.6
3	4	115	280	41.1
2	5	118	280	42.1
1	6	85	280	30.4
	7 4 4 4 3 3 2	7 0 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 2 5 1 6 6	4 3 143 4 3 135 4 3 108 3 4 125 3 4 115 2 5 118	4 3 143 280 4 3 135 280 4 3 108 280 3 4 125 280 3 4 115 280 2 5 118 280

1.	LAWRENCE	GORMAN-C	A

WINS-7 LOSSES-0	3.73-79	146	280	52.1
William Cork	38-11	21	40	52.5
Marvin Tatchio	32-18	22	40	55.0
Harry Perry	30-22	20	40	50.0
Daniel Leary	34-20	23	40	57.5
Roy Brown	26-12	17	40	42.5
George Wilfon	40-13	25	40	62.5
Lee Wallace	24-22	18	40	45.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

2. LEE WALLACE-OR

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Lawrence Gorman	22-24	18	40	45.0
Marvin Tatchio	50-14	19	40	47.5
Harry Perry	23-32	22	40	55.0
William Cork	28-29	17	40	42.5
Daniel Leary	35-27	23	40	57.5
George Wilfon	28-21	21	40	52.5
Roy Brown	47-18	23	40	57.5
WINS-4 LOSSES-3	100	143	280	51.1

3. HARRY PERRY-OR

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Daniel Leary	24-19	18	40	45.0
Roy Brown	36-24	20	40	50.0
Lee Wallace	32-23	24	40	60.0
George Wilfon	26-21	19	40	47.5
Lawrence Gorman	22-30	19	40	47.5
William Cork	30-35	17	40	42.5
Marvin Tatchio	13-32	18	40	45.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-3		135	280	48.2

4. WILLIAM CORK-NV

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Roy Brown	23-30	11	40	27.5
Daniel Leary	15-27	13	40	32.5
George Wilfon	31-20	16	40	40.0
Lee Wallace	29-28	15	40	37.5
Marvin Tatchio	30-18	22	40	55.0
Harry Perry	35-30	18	40	45.0
Lawrence Gorman	11-38	13	40	32.5
WINS-4 LOSSES-3		108	280	38.6

5. DANIEL LEARY-WA

39-14	25	40	62.5
20-22	1.1	TU	Pr. 5 - 10
26-22	11	40	27.5
27-35	20	40	50.0
20-34	20	40	50.0
16-29	15	40	37.5
27-15	17	40	42.5
19-24	17	40	42.5
Score	R	SP	%
	19-24 27-15 16-29 20-34 27-35	19-24 17 27-15 17 16-29 15 20-34 20 27-35 20	19-24 17 40 27-15 17 40 16-29 15 40 20-34 20 40

6. MARVIN TATCHIO-OR

32-13	23	40	57.5
10-02	10	40	10.0
10.22	18	40	45.0
18-30	19	40	47.5
26-16	13	40	32.5
29-16	20	40	50.0
14-50	6	40	15.0
34-38	16	40	40.0
Score	R	SP	%
	14-50 29-16 26-16 18-30	34-38 16 14-50 6 29-16 20 26-16 13 18-30 19	34-38 16 40 14-50 6 40 29-16 20 40 26-16 13 40

7. GEORGE WILFON-NV

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Marvin Tatchio	38-34	18	40	45.0
Lawrence Gorman	13-40	17	40	42.5
William Cork	20-31	15	40	37.5
Harry Perry	21-26	16	40	40.0
Roy Brown	40-17	18	40	45.0
Lee Wallace	21-28	18	40	45.0
Daniel Leary	14-39	16	40	40.0
WINS-2 LOSSES-5		118	280	42.1

8. ROY BROWN—AZ

Daniel Leary	22-26 18-47	9	40 40	22.5
George Wilfon	17-40	10	40	25.0
Marvin Tatchio	16-26	11	40	27.5
Lawrence Gorman	12-26	14	40	35.0
Harry Perry	24-36	14	40	35.0
William Cork	30-23	15	40	37.5
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

DEADEYE HORSESHOES NOW IN FIVE MODELS

CLYDESDALES NOW IN LIGHTER WEIGHTS

	Price Per Pr.—Up to 12 Pairs	Price Per Pr.—12 Prs. & Over
CLYDESDALES	\$30.00	\$23.00 Pr.
N.T.	\$30.00 Pr.	\$23.00 Pr.
REGULAR	\$30.00 Pr.	\$23.00 Pr.
E-Z GRIP	\$35.00 Pr.	\$28.00 Pr.
"NEW" CLYDESDALE DF	\$35.00 Pr.	\$28.00 Pr.

ALL "DEADEYES" IN VARIOUS WEIGHTS

UPS shipping included in new prices—Excluding C.O.D.s. add \$2.20. Continental U.S. ONLY.

NO SHOES WILL BE SENT ON CONSIGNMENT

Missouri residents add 5.225% sales tax

All "DEADEYES" are dead soft (curved only).

All "DEADEYE" are guaranteed against breakage for 2 years from Date of Original Purchase (NOT RE-PLACEMENT DATE)

Contact (Bill Courtwright ONLY) for special prices on rejects and returned shoes (NO GUARANTEE)

DEADEYE Caps Available - \$5.00 postpaid

W. Courtwright P.O. Box 742 Fenton, MO 63026 Phone: (314) 376-5222 (314) 677-2200 (8-5) Walter Ray Williams, Jr. c/o Esther Williams 2550 North Vine Tucson, AZ 85719 Phone: (602) 323-7445

(602) 795-1389 after 6 p.m.

For Local NHPA Representative Contact:
Game Related National Buyer
Edward A. Domey,, P.O. Box 298, Wilkinsonville, Ma 01590
(617) 865-4619

ORDER DIRECT or from NHPA REPRESENTATIVE

INTERMEDIATE CLASS B-1

	W	L	R	SP	%
Maurice Castee: AZ	7	0	117	280	41.8
Roland Johnson NE	6	1	108	280	38.6
Larry Taylor, AZ	4	3	97	280	34.6
Ben Gooding OB	3	4	74	280	26.4
Lompard Sargent, MA	3	4	67	280	23.9
Wally Shipley, CA	3	4	61	280	21.8
Don Fagerness, WA	2	5	76	280	27.1
Pacer	0	7	0	280	0.0

1. MAURICE CASTE	EL-AZ			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	0/0
Wally Shipley	22-20	12	40	30.0
Don Fagerness	49-17	17	40	42.5
Lombard Sargent	49-17	23	40	57.5
Pacer	17-0	19	40	47.5
Ben Goodling	35-17	14	40	35.0
Larry Taylor	33-12	15	40	37.5
Roland Johnson	25-20	17	40	42.5

117 280

41.8

WINS-7 LOSSES-0

2. ROLAND JOHNSON—NE				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Pacer	33-0	20	40	50.0
Lombard Sargent	48-13	16	40	40.0
Wally Shipley	51-8	17	40	42.5
Don Fagerness	32-11	16	40	40.0
Larry Taylor	29-24	14	40	35.0
Ben Goodling	32-23	11	40	27.5
Maurice Casteel	20-25	14	40	35.0
WING & LOSSES 1		108	280	386

WINS-4 LOSSES-3		97	280	34.6
Ben Goodling	27-28	9	40	22.5
Maurice Casteel	12-33	11	40	27.5
Roland Johnson	24-29	1.7	40	42.5
Lombard Sargent	33-22	13	40	32.5
Pacer	22-0	14	40	35.0
Wally Shipley	36-20	15	40	37.5
Don Fagerness	37-11	18	40	45.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

4. BEN GOODLING-OR		<u> </u>		20.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Lombard Sargent	36-20	10	40	25.0
Pacer	9-0	15	40	37.5
Don Fagerness	20-22	11	40	27.5
Wally Shipley	24-25	12	40	30.0
Maurice Casteel	17-35	8	40	20.0
Roland Johnson	23-32	10	40	25.0
Larry Taylor	28-27	8	40	20.0
WINS-3 LOSSES-4		74	280	26.4

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Ben Goodling	20-36	5	40	12.5
Roland Johnson	13-48	6	40	15.0
Maurice Casteel	17-49	12	40	30.0
Larry Taylor	22-33	10	40	25.0
Wally Shipley	35-22	14	40	35.0
Pacer	7-0	9	40	22.5
Don Fagerness	25-21	11	40	27.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-4		67	280	23.9

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Maurice Casteel	20-22	8	40	20.0
Larry Taylor	20-36	7	40	17.5
Roland Johnson	8-51	5	40	12.5
Ben Goodling	25-24	10	40	25.0
Lombard Sargent	22-35	7	40	17.5
Don Fagerness	25-24	10	40	25.0
Pacer	4-0	14	40	35.0
WINS-3 LOSSES-4		61	280	21.8

6. WALLY SHIPLEY-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Larry Taylor	11-37	11	40	27.5
Maurice Casteel	17-41	10	40	25.0
Ben Goodling	22-20	12	40	30.0
Roland Johnson	11-32	11	40	27.5
Pacer	7-0	9	40	22.5
Wally Shipley	24-25	11	40	27.5
Lombard Sargent	21-25	12	40	30.0
WINS-2 LOSSES-5		76	280	27.1

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Roland Johnson	0-33	0	40	0.0
Ben Goodling	0-9	0	40	0.0
Larry Taylor	0-22	0	40	0.0
Maurice Casteel	0-17	0	40	0.0
Don Fagerness	0-7	0	40	0.0
Lombard Sargent	0-7	0	40	0.0
Wally Shipley	0-4	0	40	0.0
WINS-0 LOSSES-7		0	280	0.0

INTERMEDIATE CLASS B-2

	W	L	R	SP	%
Bruce Stuart, CA	61/2	01/2	136	280	48.6
Mel Roberts, CA	6	1	137	280	48.9
Richard Espitee, CT	51/2	11/2	107	280	38.2
Jim Kosterman, WA	4	3	101	280	36.1
Bill Stoner, OR	3	4	73	280	26.1
Allan Bond, TX	2	5	71	280	25.4
Pacer	01/2	61/2	0	280	0.0
Pacer	01/2	61/2	0	280	0.0

1. BRUCE STUART-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Pacer	17-0	19	40	47.5
Jim Kosterman	30-29	16	40	40.0
Pacer	25-0	17	40	42.5
Bill Stoner	55-6	26	40	65.0
Richard Espitee	21-21	21	40	52.5
Allan Bond	48-11	18	40	45.0
Mel Roberts	36-25	19	40	47.5
WINS-61/2 LOSSES-01/2		136	280	48.6

2. MEL ROBERTS-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Pacer	40-0	18	40	45.0
Bill Stoner	58-4	22	40	55.0
Jim Kosterman	31-11	19	40	47.5
Pacer	19-0	22	40	55.0
Alan Bond	40-17	22	40	55.0
Richard Espitee	30-22	20	40	50.0
Bruce Stuart	25-36	14	40	35.0
WINS-6 LOSSES-1		137	280	48.9

3. RICHARD ESPITEE—CT

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Bill Stoner	42-18	17	40	42.5
Pacer	37-9	14	40	35.0
Pacer	9-0	12	40	30.0
Jim Kosterman	23-22	13	40	32.5
Bruce Stuart	21-21	20	40	50.0
Mel Roberts	22-30	17	40	42.5
Alan Bond	36-31	14	40	35.0
WINS-51/2 LOSSES-11/2		107	280	38.2

4. JIM KOSTERMAN-WA

31-0 24-0 31-22	15 13 13	40 40 40	37.5 32.5 32.5
	7.7		10000000
31-0	7.7	40	37.5
22-23	12	40	30.0
11-31	14	40	35.0
29-30	16	40	40.0
35-12	18	40	45.0
Score	R	SP	%
	35-12 29-30 11-31 22-23	35-12 18 29-30 16 11-31 14 22-23 12	35-12 18 40 29-30 16 40 11-31 14 40 22-23 12 40

5. BILL STONER-OR

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Richard Espitee	18-42	9	40	22.5
Mel Roberts	4-58	6	40	15.0
Alan Bond	28-27	12	40	30.0
Bruce Stuart	6-55	12	40	30.0
Pacer	12-0	11	40	27.5
Pacer	23-0	12	40	30.0
Jim Kosterman	22-31	11	40	27.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-4		73	280	26.1

6. ALLAN BOND-TX

WINS-2 LOSSES-5		71	280	25.4
Richard Espitee	31-36	13	40	32.5
Bruce Stuart	11-48	7	40	17.5
Mel Roberts	17-40	14	40	35.0
Pacer	16-0	7	40	17.5
Bill Stoner	27-28	11	40	27.5
Pacer	7-0	9	40	22.5
Ji, Kosterman	12-35	10	40	25.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

7. PACER

WING 01% LOSSES 61%		0	280	0.0
Pacer	1-1	0	40	0.0
Bill Stoner	0-23	0	40	0.0
Jim Kosterman	0-31	0	40	0.0
Allan Bond	0-16	0	40	0.0
Bruce Stuart	0-25	0	40	0.0
Richard Espitee	0-37	0	40	0.0
Mel Roberts	0-40	0	40	0.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

8. PACER

WINS-01/2 LOSSES-61/2		0	280	0.0
Pacer	1-1	0	40	0.0
Jim Kosterman	0-24	0	40	0.0
Bill Stoner	0-12	0	40	0.0
Mel Roberts	0-19	0	40	0.0
Richard Espitee	0-9	0	40	0.0
Allan Bond	0-7	0	40	0.0
Bruce Stuart	0-17	0	40	0.0
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%

椒

GROUP I-1

	SECT	. W	L	R	SP	%		SECT.	W	L	R	SP	%
Don Titcomb, CA	12	5	0	138	200	69.0	Harry Denlinger, OH	12	2	3	79	200	39.5
Gerald Schneider, CA	15	5	0	135	200	67.5	Fred Canfield, NV	14	3	2	78	200	39.0
Winfield Carnahan, PA	14	4	1	132	200	66.0	Roy Brown, AZ	13	1	4	78	200	39.0
Leonard Lipovsky, MN	13	41/2	01/2	123	200	61.5	Lee Wallace, OR	17	21/2	21/2	77	200	
Edward Risley, NY	16	5	0	122	200	61.0	Curt Bestul, WI	11	11/2	31/2	77	200	38.5
Harold Hoffman, OR	16	4	1	113	200	56.5	Roland Johnson, NE	15	1	4	75	200	37.5
Elwood Johnson, MN	11	31/2	11/2	113	200	56.5	Bruce Stuart, CA	17	2	3	74	200	37.0
Sparky Hall, FL	13	4	1	112	200	56.0	Mel Roberts, CA	15	3	2	70	200	35.0
Juke Basham, CA	12	4	1	108	200	54.0	Maurice Casteel, AZ	11	2	3	68	200	
Leonard Rood, CA	14	4	1	105	200	52.5	Ben Goodling, OR	17	2	3	63	200	31.5
J. J. Steffen, UT	16	3	2	105	200	52.5	Alian Bond, TX	15	2	3	63	200	31.5
Lawrence Garman, CA	11	4	1	104	200	52.0	Richard Espitee, CT	16	1	4	63	200	31.5
William Cork, NV	14	3	2	104	200	52.0	Larry Taylor, AZ	12	1	4	62	200	31.0
Curley Seibold, IN	17	5	0	103	200	51.5	Don Fagerness, WA	14	1	4	56	200	28.0
Ben Fields, HI	11	4	1	93	200	46.5	Bill Stoner, OR	14	0	5	55	200	27.5
Marvin Tatchio, OR	13	31/2	11/2	89	200	44.5	Jim Kosterman, WA	17	1	4	50	200	25.0
Harry Perry, OR	12	3	2	88	200	44.0	Lombard Sargent, MA	11	0	5	50	200	25.0
Melvin Reeser, CA	16	2	3	86	200	43.0	Wally Shipley, CA	12	0	5	40	200	20.0
William Barth, MT	13	2	3	86	200	43.0	Pacer	16	0	5	0	200	0.0
Daniel Leary, WA	17	21/2	21/2	84	200	42.0	Pacer	15	0	5	0	200	0.0
George Wilfon, NV	15	4	1	83	200	41.5	Pacer	13	0	5	0	200	0.0

W. T. DATES FOR 1989-JULY 17 thru JULY 30

OUR DIRECTION IS TOWARD HORSESHOE PERFECTION

STAR





\$74 per pair postpaid

WHEN YOU SPEND HUNDREDS OF HOURS PRACTICING TO BE THE BEST HORSESHOE PITCHER YOU CAN BE. DOESN'T IT MAKE SENSE TO PITCH THE ONLY PRECISION CRAFTED HORSESHOE? YOU CAN BE SURE THAT EACH SHOE PITCHED IS LIKE IT'S MATE. NOT ONLY IN WEIGHT BUT ALSO WITHIN A FEW THOUSANDTH'S OF THEIR DESIGNED SHAPE.

ORIGINALLY HAND CRAFTED BY THE INVENTOR TO ACHIEVE THE PROPER CENTER BALANCE MAKING IT EASIER FOR EACH PLAYER TO PITCH A FLATTER MORE OPEN SHOE. ALLOWING YOU HIGHER RINGER AVERAGES.

THE LEVEL OF COMPETITION TODAY IS SO HIGH THAT EVEN THE SMALLEST IMPROVEMENT IN SHOE EQUIPMENT USED WILL BE A VERY LARGE FACTOR IN YOUR OVERALL PERFORMANCE.

"STAR STAINLESS" HORSESHOES ARE CRAFTED BY A NEW HAMPSHIRE COMPANY US-ING THE LATEST IN STATE-OF-THE-ART TECHNOLOGY. RESULTING IN A TOP QUALITY HORSESHOE FOR THE PROFESSIONAL PITCHER

ALL OF THE ABOVE IMPROVEMENTS CAN HELP YOU REACH YOUR ULTIMATE GOALS AND GIVE YOU THE COMPETITIVE EDGE

NHPA Approved, all stars are dead soft and they weigh 2 lbs. 8 oz.

Send Check or Money Order to

ALFRED R. BOUDREAU, JR., 301-A OLD IPSWICH RD., RINDGE, N.H. 03461.

(AISO AVAILABLE THROUGH YOUR N.H.P.A. DEALER)

SENIORS CHAMPIONSHIP

Miles Billion			46.5		W	L	R	SP		%
Carl Steinfeldt, FL					11	0	338	456		74.1
Raymond Hill, MI					10	1	350	498		70.3
Glenn Port, GA					9	2	286	470		60.9
Walt Robinson, CA					7	4	358	540		66.3
Orville Lokken, CA					5	6	306	570		53.7
Theodore Wright, SD					5	6	267	524		51.0
Stephen Powers, OH					4	7	260	470		55.3
Lou Fontaine, CA					4	7	278	508		54.7
John Mikota, OR					3	8	228	478		47.7
Cliff Boyer, CA					3	8	226	502		45.0
Bob Thompson, CA					3	8	211	488		43.2
Russ Grooms, NE					2	9	195	448		43.5
1. CARL STEINFELDT — Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	ND HILL—M	Score	R	SP	%
John Mikota	42-12	36	46	78.3	Theodore Wi	right	40-33	36	60	60.0
Russ Grooms	41-15	28	38	73.7	John Mikota			27	34	79.4
Cliff Boyer	43-9	27	40	67.5	Russ Grooms			24	34	70.6
Lou Fontaine	41-10	21	28	75.0	Cliff Boyer .			24	36	66.7
Orville Lokken	42-10	34	48	70.8	Lou Fontaine			32	42	76.2
Theodore Wright	40-4	26	34	76.5	Orville Lokke	n	40-35	43	64	67.2
Walt Robinson	40-30	46	64	71.9	Bob Thomps	on	41-4	27	38	71.1
Bob Thompson	41-5	23	28	82.1	Stephen Pow			25	30	83.3
Glenn Port	41-12	29	36	80.6	Walt Robinso	n	42-26	55	72	76.4
Stephen Powers	42-17	32	44	72.7	Glenn Port .		42-7	28	38	73.7
Raymond Hill	41-23	36	50	72.0	Carl Steinfeld	it	23-41	29	50	58.0
WINS-11 LOSSES-0		338	456	74.1	WINS-10 L	OSSES-1	0.00	350	498	70.3

CLAY N' SAND has the perfect Pitching Shoe versatile enough to wear EVERYDAY!

Comfortable and lightweight breathability insures exceptional balance needed to WIN GAMES and reach GOALS, versatile enough to wear EVERYDAY!

\$5995

-MAKES AN EXCELLENT GIFT-ORDER TODAY!

Mens 8 · 12½ Womens 6 · 9½

Send check or money order for \$59*5 plus \$300 shipping and handling, plus your SIZE, NAME and ADDRESS to:

6901 Sheridan Rd. Kenosha, WI 53140 414-654-6404 WI residents add 5%

CLAY N' SAND

MADE IN THE USA

19 Ridge Road Burlington, CT 06013 1-800-243-3158

CLAY 'N SAND

SENIORS CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	
Russ Grooms	42-12	24	38	63.2	Cliff Boyer	31-41	23	48	47
Cliff Boyer	40-20	27	44	61.4	Lou Fontaine	41-8	33	46	71.
Lou Fontaine	41-37	37	54	68.5	Orville Lokken	40-17	37	48	77
Orville Lokken	42-10	26	36	72.2	Theodore Wright	40-19	32	54	59
Theodore Wright	45-9 41-16	23	32 48	71.9 50.0	John Mikota	40-22	29	44 32	65 75
John Mikota Stephen Powers	40-15	26	38	68.4	Russ Grooms	30-40	43	64	67
Walt Robinson	40-13	35	58	60.3	Glenn Port	32-40	32	58	55
Carl Steinfeldt	12-41	20	36	55.6	Raymond Hill	26-42	51	72	70
Raymond Hill	7-42	17	38	44.7	Bob Thompson	40-9	24	32	75
Bob Thompson	45-31	27	48	56.3	Stephen Powers	40-16	30	42	7
WINS-9 LOSSES-2		286	470	60.9	WINS-7 LOSSES-4		358	540	66
5. ORVILLE LOKKEN—C	CA				6. THEODORE WRIGHT-	-SD			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	
Bob Thompson	40-39	30	66	45.5	Raymond Hill	33-40	33	60	55
Stephen Powers	41-37	33	56	58.9	Bob Thompson	41-16	21	46	45
Walt Robinson	17-40	29	48	60.4	Stephen Powers	24-40	20	46	43
Glenn Port	10-42	17	36	47.2	Walt Robinson	19-40	23	54	42
Carl Steinfeldt	10-42	25	48	52.1	Glenn Port	9-45	11	32	34
Raymond Hill	35-40	41	64	64.1	Carl Steinfeldt	4-40	15	34	4
Theodore Wright	41-38	36	66	54.5	Orville Lokken	38-41	36	66	5
John Mikota	42-29	23	46	50.0	Lou Fontaine	40-20	34	50	68
Russ Grooms	42-17	29	46	63.0 48.2	John Mikota	40-10 40-25	29	40 46	72
	38-41	27	56		Russ Grooms				
Cliff Boyer		16	38	121	Cliff Royer	41-18			
JOINT BOYER LOU FONTAINE WINS-5 LOSSES-6 7. STEPHEN POWERS—	17-40	16 306	38 570	53.7	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA	41-18	24 267	50 524	51
WINS-5 LOSSES-6 7. STEPHEN POWERS—	17-40 -OH	306	570	53.7	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA		267	524	
LOU FONTAINE	-OH Score	306 R	570 SP	53.7	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent	Score	267 R	524 SP	51
MINS-5 LOSSES-6 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine	17-40 -OH	306	570	53.7 % 60.0	8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers		267	524	51
7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken	17-40 OH Score 28-41	306 R 36	SP 60	53.7	8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson	Score 41-28	267 R 40	SP 60	51
MINS-5 LOSSES-6 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine	17-40 OH Score 28-41 37-41	306 R 36 32	SP 60 56	% 60.0 57.1	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port	Score 41-28 8-41	267 R 40 22	524 SP 60 46	51
WINS-5 LOSSES-6 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright	17-40 OH Score 28-41 37-41 40-24	306 R 36 32 25	SP 60 56 46	% 60.0 57.1 54.3	8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41	267 R 40 22 35	SP 60 46 54	51 66 47 64 39
Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Lou Fontaine Corville Lokken Corville Lok	OH Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19	SP 60 56 46 46 40 36	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8	8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24	SP 60 46 54 28 42 48	511 666 47 64 39 57 50
7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19	570 SP 60 56 46 46 40 36 38	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0	8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22	SP 60 46 54 28 42 48 40	51 66 47 64 39 57 50 55
T. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19	570 SP 60 56 46 46 40 36 38 30	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 20-40	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26	524 SP 60 46 54 28 42 48 40 50	511 666 47 64 39 57 50 55 55
7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20	570 SP 60 56 46 46 40 36 38 30 32	\$3.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27	524 SP 60 46 54 28 42 48 40 50 54	51 66 41 64 39 57 50 55 56 56
MINS-5 LOSSES-6 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20 24	\$P 60 56 46 46 40 36 38 30 32 44	\$3.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-24 40-39 39-42	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27 24	SP 60 46 54 28 42 48 40 50 54 48	51 66 47 64 38 57 50 55 52 50 50
7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20	570 SP 60 56 46 46 40 36 38 30 32	\$3.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27	524 SP 60 46 54 28 42 48 40 50 54	511 666 477 500 555 522 500 600
A STEPHEN POWERS— 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt Walt Robinson WINS-4 LOSSES-7	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20 24 23	\$P 60 56 46 40 36 38 30 32 44 42	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-24 40-39 39-42	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 22 26 27 24 23	SP 60 46 54 28 42 48 40 50 54 48 38	
A STEPHEN POWERS— 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt Walt Robinson WINS-4 LOSSES-7 9. JOHN MIKOTA—OR	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20 24 23	\$P 60 56 46 40 36 38 30 32 44 42 470	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 20-40 40-39 39-42 40-17	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 22 26 27 24 23 278	\$P 60 46 54 28 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508	511 666 477 500 555 522 500 600
A CONTRACTOR OF	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 13 20 24 23 260	\$P 60 56 46 40 36 38 30 32 44 42 470	\$3.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 20-39 39-42 40-17	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27 24 23 278	\$P 60 46 54 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508	511 666 47 644 38 57 50 55 52 50 60 54
AUDITION OF THE POWERS—OPPONENT OPPONENT OP	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score	306 R 366 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20 24 23 260	\$P 60 56 46 40 36 38 30 32 44 42 470 \$P 46	\$3.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27 24 23 278	SP 60 46 54 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508	511 666 47 64 38 57 50 55 52 50 60 54
A CONTRIBUTION OF THE PROPERS OF THE POWERS	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score 12-42 8-42	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 29 19 19 13 20 24 23 260	\$P 60 46 46 40 36 38 30 32 44 42 470 \$P 46 34	53.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port	\$core 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 \$core 41-31 20-40	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 22 26 27 24 23 278 R 24 21	\$P 60 46 46 48 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508	511 64 47 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
AUDITION OF THE PROPERS OF THE PROPE	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score 12-42 8-42 40-22	306 R 36 32 25 24 4 25 19 19 19 24 23 260 R 6 15 25 25	\$P 60 60 46 46 40 36 38 32 44 42 470 \$P 46 34 44	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.5 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27 24 23 278	SP 60 46 54 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508	511 664 399 575 506 506 544 424
A CONTRACTOR OF	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score 12-42 8-42 40-22 44-30	306 R 366 32 25 24 25 19 19 20 24 23 260 R 26 15 25 27	SP 60 56 46 46 40 38 30 32 44 42 470 SP 46 34 44 56	53.7 % 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Raymond Hill	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 20-40 40-39 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31 20-40 9-43	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 26 27 24 23 278 R 4 21 17	\$P 60 46 54 42 48 40 554 48 38 508	511 666 41 64 33 57 50 50 60 54
AUDITION OF THE PROPERS OF THE PROPE	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score 12-42 8-42 40-22	306 R 36 32 25 24 4 25 19 19 19 24 23 260 R 6 15 25 25	\$P 60 60 46 46 40 36 38 32 44 42 470 \$P 46 34 44	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31 20-40 9-43 20-41	267 R 400 222 35 111 244 222 26 27 24 23 278 R 24 21 17 17	\$P 60 46 54 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508 \$P 48 44 40 36 36	51 61 41 64 53 53 55 56 60 60 61 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44
AURICAL SEINFEIL AURICAL STEPHEN POWERS— T. STEPHEN POWERS— Deponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt Walt Robinson WINS-4 LOSSES-7 9. JOHN MIKOTA—OR Deponent Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-2 40-2 40-2 40-22 40-22 40-22 40-22 40-20 22-40	306 R 366 32 255 244 255 19 19 33 260 R 266 15 257 24	\$P 60 56 46 46 40 36 38 30 32 44 42 470 \$P 46 34 44 45 56 44	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Raymond Hill	\$core 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 \$core 41-31 20-40 9-43 20-41 30-42	267 R 40 22 355 11 24 24 22 66 27 24 23 278 R 24 21 17 17 17 23	\$P 60 46 54 42 48 40 50 54 48 38 508 \$P 48 44 40 36 58 36 36 38	5 6 4 6 6 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERS OF THE PRO	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 30-44 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score 12-42 8-42 40-22 44-30 22-40 16-41	306 R 36 32 25 24 42 25 19 19 20 24 23 260 R 6 15 25 27 24 18	\$P 60 60 46 46 46 470 \$P 46 34 44 45 64 44 48	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.5 54.5 54.5 55.3	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31 20-40 9-43 20-41 30-42 19-41 41-19 20-42	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 66 27 24 23 278 R 24 21 17 17 17 23 11 20 16	\$P\$ 60 46 54 42 48 40 554 48 36 508	564466355555555555555555555555555555555
A STEPHEN POWERS— 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt Walt Robinson WINS-4 LOSSES-7	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 40-21 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 16-40 Score 12-42 8-42 40-22 44-30 22-40 16-41 19-41	306 R 366 32 25 24 25 19 19 20 24 23 260 R 266 15 27 24 18 13	SP 60 56 46 46 40 38 30 32 44 42 470 SP 46 34 44 48 88 88	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3 % 56.5 44.1 56.5 44.1 56.5 44.1 56.5 44.1 56.5 44.1 56.5 56.5 44.3 56.5 56.5 46.5 56.5 56.5 56.5 56.5 56.5	WINS-5 LOSSES-6 8. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers John Mikota Russ Grooms Lou Fontaine	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 20-40 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31 20-40 9-43 20-41 30-42 41-19 20-42 39-40	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 62 27 24 23 278 R 24 21 17 17 17 23 31 11 20 16 28	\$P 60 46 42 48 40 554 48 38 508 \$P\$	51 64 46 66 35 55 56 56 60 60 44 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41
A STEPHEN POWERS— 7. STEPHEN POWERS— Opponent Lou Fontaine Orville Lokken Theodore Wright John Mikota Russ Grooms Cliff Boyer Glenn Port Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Carl Steinfeldt Walt Robinson WINS-4 LOSSES-7 9. JOHN MIKOTA—OR Opponent Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Cirl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Cliff Boyer Orville Lokken	17-40 Score 28-41 37-41 40-24 42-13 41-19 15-40 7-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-7 17-42 40-16-40	306 R 36 32 25 24 25 19 19 13 20 24 23 260 R 6 6 15 25 27 24 18 13 18	\$P 60 56 46 46 40 38 30 32 44 42 470 \$P 46 34 44 48 38 8 46	% 60.0 57.1 54.3 52.2 62.5 52.8 50.0 43.3 62.5 54.5 54.8 55.3 % 56.5 44.1 56.8 58.7 54.5 37.5 34.2 39.1	B. LOU FONTAINE—CA Opponent Stephen Powers Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Russ Grooms Theodore Wright Cliff Boyer John Mikota Orville Lokken WINS-4 LOSSES-7 10. CLIFF BOYER—CA Opponent Walt Robinson Glenn Port Carl Steinfeldt Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers John Mikota Raymond Hill Bob Thompson Stephen Powers John Mikota Russ Grooms	Score 41-28 8-41 37-41 10-41 14-40 35-42 40-24 40-39 39-42 40-17 Score 41-31 20-40 9-43 20-41 30-42 19-41 41-19 20-42	267 R 40 22 35 11 24 24 22 66 27 24 23 278 R 24 21 17 17 17 23 11 20 16	\$P\$ 60 46 54 42 48 40 554 48 36 508	5 6 4 6 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

SENIORS CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Orville Lokken	39-40	29	66	43.9
Theodore Wright	16-41	16	46	34.8
John Mikota	22-40	19	44	43.2
Russ Grooms	43-27	21	48	43.8
Cliff Boyer	42-30	27	58	46.6
Lou Fontaine	42-35	26	48	54.2
Raymond Hill	4-41	16	38	42.1
Carl Steinfeldt	5-41	11	28	39.3
Stephen Powers	7-40	10	32	31.3
Walt Robinson	9-40	13	32	40.6
Glenn Port	31-45	23	48	47.9
WINS-3 LOSSES-8	115151	211	488	43.2

10	DILICC	GROOMS-	ME
16.	nuss	GHOOMS-	-145

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Glenn Port	12-42	14	38	36.8
Carl Steinfeldt	15-41	19	38	50.0
Raymond Hill	6-40	13	34	38.2
Bob Thompson	27-43	17	48	35.4
Stephen Powers	13-42	16	40	40.0
Walt Robinson	7-40	13	32	40.6
Lou Fontaine	24-40	17	40	42.5
Cliff Boyer	42-20	22	42	52.4
Orville Lokken	17-42	22	46	47.8
Theodore Wright	25-40	18	46	39.1
John Mikota	43-18	24	44	54.5
WINS-2 LOSSES-9		195	448	43 5

NOTICE TO ALL DIGEST CONTRIBUTORS

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE PAPER OR IT WILL BE RETURNED to the sender. ALSO IF POSSIBLE IT SHOULD BE TYPED OR CLEARLY LEGIBLE and DOUBLE-SPACED. ALL XEROX COPIES SHOULD BE CLEAR. HANDWRITTEN LONG-HAND MATERIAL SHOULD BE CLEAR AND DISTINCT. REMEMBER USE ONLY ONE SIDE OF THE PAPER.

FOR THOSE WHO DESIRE THE VERY BEST

IMPERIAL

FORGED SHOE U.S.A. PRICE LIST

Postpaid

1	Pair																\$39.00
2	to 5	P	air														\$37.00
			F	r	e	įç	gŀ	nt	(3	0	II	e	C	t		
6	Pr.	&	Ov	e	r												\$31.00

Available in Dead Soft and
Medium Soft with
Hardened Hooks
and Points

CLYDE MARTZ

- WE ACCEPT . . . MASTER CARD AND VISA.
- NOTE CHANGE OF ADDRESS
- FOR QUICK SERVICE, PHONE IN YOUR ORDER, IT'S CHEAP AND EASY.
- CALL (412) 733-2031

19 SHANGRI LA CIRCLE PITTSBURGH, PA 15239



MATE SHARMS	
ADDRESS	
PHONE	
HACIENDA HORSESHOE PITCHERS TOURNAMENT November 28 - December 2, 1988	
Tournament Registration(s)	
persons @ \$50.00 each (staying at the Hacienda)	\$
persons @ \$60.00 each (not staying at the Hacienda)	\$
members of S.N.H.P.A. @ \$ 50.00 each	\$
Banquet Payment(s)	
persons @ \$15.00 each	\$
Room Deposit Arrive Depart	
One night @ \$30.00 per night plus 7% tax November 25 and 26 @ \$40.00 per night plus 7% tax All rooms 1 to 4 persons - No Rollaways	\$
Room or Camperland deposit must be received by November 1. Credit cards and Bankcards may be used to guarantee room accommodations only. Bankcards require additional fee of \$5.75	
NAME OF CARD CARD #	EXP.
Camperland Deposit Arrive Depart	
One night @ \$10.00 per night including tax	\$
TOTAL ENCLOSED	\$
Send check or money order payable to HACIENDA HOTEL. P.O. Box 98506	

Las Vegas, NV 89193-8506

NHPA SANCTION No. 26-88-100



HACIENDA HORSESHOE PITCHERS TOURNAMENT Nov. 28 (Mon) - Dec. 2 (Fri) 1988 6th ANNUAL FUNFEST AND TOURNAMENT ON

18 INDOOR - MODIFIED PORTO COURTS
AND

PALLADIUM TURF (NEW PITCHING SURFACE)

\$2360.00 - PRIZE MONEY - EACH CLASS

1st \$500.00 4th \$200.00 7th \$130.00 2nd \$350.00 5th \$170.00 8th \$110.00 3rd \$250.00 6th \$150.00 9-18 \$50.00

**Tournament Entry Fee: \$50.00 - All to prize money plus HACIENDA Added Purse

FORMAT OF PLAY:

Handicap - No Juniors - All games 50 shoe cancellation - All Classes - 36 players per class. Three 12 player groups per class - 12 player RR. Winner of each group plus 5 highest percentages from the class advance to 8 player class Championship Ladder. (Percentages to break tie) ALL Entrants play at least 2 days.

*Not staying at Hacienda - \$60.00 (Members of S.M.H.P.A. \$50.00)
**Those 8 advancing to Championship Ladders, will be assessed an additional \$25.00
Entry Fee.

30 Foot Groups May Be Mixed.

Tournament Officials Reserve The Right To Change The Format

SCHEDULE:

Monday, November 28

12:00 Noon - 5 P.M. - Entrants check in

(Matador Arena) Courts open for practice entries CLOSE 5 P.M.

7 P.M.

No Host Cocktails (Matador Arena)

Rules - Format - Classes Playing Schedule

Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday

7 A.M.

Competition

Friday, December 2

7 A.M. - 2 P.M.

Finals

,

7 P.M.

Awards Banquet

HOST COORDINATOR - CO-DIRECTOR:

DON WEAVER

CO-DIRECTORS:

DAVE LOUCKS DONNIE ROBERTS



ALL RINGER PERCENTAGES MUST BY CERTIFIED CORRECT BY A STATE OR CLUB OFFICER. ELIGIBLE MALES WHO PITCH 30 FEET MUST SUBMIT A CERTIFIED 30 FOOT PERCENTAGE.

SENIORS CLASS A FINALS

					w	L	R	SP		%
Floyd Voyce, AZ					6	1	138	280		49.3
Gene Fleek, KS					5	2	114	280		40.7
George Rachel, WA					4	3	131	280		46.8
Les Burroughs, CA					3	4	127	280		45.4
Jack Adams, CN					3	4	122	280		43.6
Merle Koeppe, OH					3	4	115	280		41.1
Roy Deffner, CA				,	3	4	99	280		35.4
naymond Craig, IL				•		0	90	280		32.1
1. FLOYD VOYCE—AZ					2. GEN	E FLEEK—KS	3			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponen	it	Score	R	SP	%
George Rachel	23-20	19	40	47.5		Craig		15	40	37.5
Les Burroughs	29-22	22	40	55.0	Roy Deffr	ner	16-38	11	40	27.5
Roy Deffner	34-20	19	40	47.5		lachel		20	40	50.0
Raymond Craig	34-15	19	40	47.5		oughs		20	40	50.0
Merle Koeppe	37-30	19	40	47.5		ms		19	40	47.5
Jack Adams	45-12 26-29	26 14	40	65.0 35.0		eppe		13 16	40	32.5
WINS-6 LOSSES-1	20-29	138	280	49.3	-	LOSSES-2	29-20	114	280	40.7
WIND-0 LUGGES-1		130	200	43.3	WING-5	LU33E3-2		114	200	40.7
3. GEORGE RACHEL—V	VA				4. LES	BURROUGHS	-CA			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponen	t	Score	R	SP	%
Floyd Voyce	20-23	18	40	45.0	Jack Ada	ms	13-35	12	40	30.0
Jack Adams	26-16	19	40	47.5	Floyd Voy	ce	22-29	20	40	50.0
Gene Fleek	15-26	17	40	42.5	Merle Koe	ерре	23-24	16	40	40.0
Merle Koeppe	31-17	23	40	57.5		ek		20	40	50.0
Roy Deffner	39-14	15	40	37.5		Craig		21	40	52.5
Less Burroughs	15-25 46-7	17 22	40	42.5 55.0		achel		20 18	40	50.0 45.0
Raymond Craig WINS-4 LOSSES-3	40-7	131	280	46.8		LOSSES-4	41.20	127	280	45.4
5. JACK ADAMS—CN					6. MER	LE KOEPPE-	-ОН			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponen		Score	R	SP	%
Les Burroughs	35-13	18	40	45.0	Roy Deffr	ner	17-38	10	40	25.0
George Rachel	16-26	14	40	35.0	Haymond	Craig	42-19	17 16	40	42.5
Raymond Craig	34-12	18	40	45.0		oughs		19	40	40.0
Roy Deffner	23-26 19-33	23	40	57.5		Rachel		17	40	42.5
Gene Fleek	12-45	15	40	37.5		ek		21	40	52.
Merle Koeppe	34-29	18	40	45.0	JackAdar			15	40	37.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-4	GIF.	122	280	43.6	WINS-3	LOSSES-4		115	280	41.
7. ROY DEFFNER—CA		122	280	43.6		MOND CRAIG	i—IL	115	280	4
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponer		Score	R	SP	
Merle Koeppe	38-17	17	40	42.5	Gene Fle	ek	24-31	14	40	35.
Gene Fleek	38-16	17	40	42.5		ерре		10	40	25.
Floyd Voyce	20-34	14	40	35.0		ıms		12	40	30.
Jack Adams	26-23	23	40	57.5	Floyd Vo	yce	15-34	15	40	37.
George Rachel	14-39	5	40	12.5	Les Burre	oughs	11-36	13	40	32.
Raymond Craig	23-26	13	40	32.5	Roy Deff	ner	26-23	15	40	37.
Les Burroughs	20-41	10	40	25.0	George F	Rachel	7-46	11	40	27.
WINS-3 LOSSES-4		99	280	35.4	WINS-1	LOSSES-6		90	280	32.

SENIORS CLASS B FINALS

		233			W	L	R	SP		%
Charles Norrie, CN					6	1	112	380		40.0
Roy Deffner, CA					5	2	89	280		31.8
George Rachel, WA					4	3	102	280		36.4
Elmer Bruer, MO					4	3	93	280		33.2
J. R. Montrose, NE					3	4	98	280		35.0
John Beharry, OH					2	5	88	280		31.4
Bud Schardine, UT Paul Mathias, OH					2 2	3	74 74	280 280		26.4
raul Maulias, Ori					-		/4	200		20.4
1. CHARLES NORRIE—	CN				2. ROY DEF	FNER-CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Bud Schardine	30-18	11	40	27.5	Elmer Breuer			11	40	27.5
George Rachel	26-24	18	40	45.0	John Beharry			13	40	32.5
Paul Mathias	32-16	16	40	40.0	J. R. Montrose			14	40	35.0
Roy Deffner	26-37 51-15	13	40	32.5 50.0	Charles Norrie Bud Schardine			16	40	40.0
J. R. Montrose	34-17	17	40	42.5	Paul Mathias			11	40	27.5
John Beharry	32-10	17	40	42.5	George Rache		35-17	16	40	40.0
WINS-6 LOSSES-1		112	280	40.0	WINS-5 LOS	A CHARLES TO SECOND		89	280	31.8
3. GEORGE RACHEL—V	VΔ				4. ELMER E	REUER-M	10			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
		40	40	40.0			21-22	11	40	27.5
J. R. Montrose	23-19 24-26	16	40	40.0 45.0	Roy Deffner . Paul Mathias			15	40	37.5
John Beharry	28-24	14	40	35.0	Bud Scharding			18	40	45.0
Elmer Breuer	14-24	9	40	22.5	George Rache			10	40	25.0
Paul Mathias	38-20	16	40	40.0	Charles Norrie			9	40	22.5
Bud Schardine	38-19	18	40	45.0	John Beharry			18	40	45.0
Roy Deffner	17-35	11	40	27.5	J. R. Montros		21-41	12	40	30.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-3		102	280	36.4	WINS-4 LOS	SSES-3		93	280	33.2
5. J. R. MONTROSE—N	E				6. JOHN BE	HARRY-0	Н			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
George Rachel	19-23	14	40	35.0	Paul Mathias		23-36	8	40	20.0
Bud Schardine	44-28	18	40	45.0	Roy Deffner .			15	40	37.5
Roy Deffner	22-38	11	40	27.5	George Rache			13	40	32.5
Paul Mathias	19-24	13	40	32.5	Bud Scharding			11	40	27.5
John Beharry	32-27	13	40	32.5	J. R. Montros			13	40	32.5
Charles Norrie	17-34 41-21	12	40	30.0 42.5	Elmer Breuer Charles Norrie			17	40	42.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-4	41-21	98	280	35.0	WINS-2 LOS		10-52	88	280	31.4
		30	200	33.0				00	200	31.
7. BUD SCHARDINE—U Opponent	Score	P	SP	%	8. PAUL MA	ATRIAS—OF		P	en	0/
Charles Norrie	18-30	R 8	40	20.0	Opponent John Beharry		Score 36-23	R 14	SP 40	35.0
J.R. Montrose	28-44	14	40	35.0	Elmer Breuer			13	40	32.5
Elmer Breur	21-45	10	40	25.0	Charles Norrie	9	16-32	9	40	22.5
John Beharry	30-35	8	40	20.0	J. R. Montros	e	24-19	13	40	32.5
Roy Deffner	33-27	13	40	32.5	George Rach	el	20-38	11	40	27.5
George Rachel	19-38 26-25	12	40	30.0 22.5	Roy Deffner . Bud Schardin			6	40	15.0
	20"20		_				23-20			_
WINS-2 LOSSES-5		74	280	26.4	WINS-2 LOS	SSES-5		74	280	26.

WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP

	W	L	R	SP	%
Diane Lopez,CA	14	1	817	980	83.4
Sandy McLachlin, CN	13	2	891	1048	85.0
Sharon Paddock, CA	11	4	866	1060	81.7
Diane Cantin, CN	11	4	812	1012	80.2
Vicki Winston, MO	10	5	760	978	77.7
Sue Snyder, IN	8	7	861	1112	77.4
Myrna Kissick, CN	8	7	678	926	73.2
Billie Sue Pennington, CA	7	8	724	990	73.1
Lois Webster, KY	7	8	673	928	72.5
Ruth Hangen, NY	7	8	711	990	71.8
Phyllis Negaard, MN	6	9	731	986	74.1
Renee Cowan, VA	5	10	682	548	71.9
Frances Carnahan, PA	5	10	634	890	71.2
Deborah Michaud, MA	5	10	634	920	68.9
Bonnie Seibold, IN	3	12	515	780	66.0
Connie Still, OH	0	15	456	740	61.6

1. DIANE LOPEZ-CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Renee Cowan	41-21	37	52	71.2
Ruth Hangen	40-7	54	60	90.0
Frances Carnahan	40-18	50	60	83.3
Deborah Michaud	44-31	58	74	78.4
Billie Sue Pennington	40-32	52	66	78.8
Connie Still	42-6	33	42	78.6
Bonnie Seibold	43-10	32	42	76.2
Lois Webster	43-7	41	46	89.1
Sandy McLachlin	42-32	73	86	84.9
Vicki Winston	26-42	86	104	82.7
Myrna Kissick	40-18	44	52	84.6
Sue Snyder	43-17	67	78	85.9
Phyllis Negaard	45-19	59	68	86.8
Sharon Paddock	42-31	70	82	85.4
Diane Cantin	40-15	61	68	89.7
WINS-14 LOSSES-1		817	980	83.4

2. SANDY McLACHLIN-CN

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Connie Still	42-17	35	44	79.5
Bonnie Seibold	42-3	44	50	88.0
Lois Webster	44-16	51	60	85.0
Billie Sue Pennington	42-5	49	52	94.2
Ruth Hangen	35-42	64	84	76.2
Frances Carnahan	40-13	46	52	88.5
Deborah Michaud	44-9	48	54	88.9
Renee Cowan	42-17	64	72	88.9
Diane Lopez	32-42	69	86	80.2
Sharon Paddock	43-34	64	78	82.1
Diane Cantin	41-19	85	96	88.5
Phyllis Negaard	40-31	91	104	87.5
Myrna Kissick	42-11	49	58	84.5
Vicki Winston	40-20	45	54	83.3
Sue Snyder	43-28	87	104	83.7
WINS-13 LOSSES-2		891	1048	85.0

3. SHARON PADDOCK—CA

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Frances Carnahan	40-28	59	74	79.7
Deborah Michaud	39-41	66	84	78.6
Renee Cowan	40-19	46	54	85.2
Ruth Hangen	42-37	70	90	77.8
Bonnie Seibold	40-24	41	54	75.9
Lois Webster	42-31	61	76	80.3
Billie Sue Pennington	40-22	61	70	87.1
Connie Still	42-13	39	44	88.6
Vicki Winston	41-24	55	68	80.9
Sandy McLachlin	34-43	61	78	78.2
Sue Snyder	45-35	83	104	79.8
Myrna Kissick	40-8	43	48	89.6
Diane Cantin	36-40	72	86	83.7
Diane Lopez	31-42	66	82	80.5
Phyllis Negaard	41-5	43	48	89.6
WINS-11 LOSSES-4		866	1060	81.7

4. DIANE CANTIN-CN

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Deborah Michaud	40-6	27	30	90.0
Renee Cowan	41-21	56	66	84.8
Ruth Hangen	40-24	41	52	78.8
Frances Carnahan	40-22	51	60	85.0
Lois Webster	45-13	48	56	85.7
Billie Sue Pennington	31-44	49	72	68.1
Connie Still	42-19	36	44	81.8
Bonnie Seibold	40-13	39	46	84.8
Sue Snyder	42-24	74	90	82.2
Myrna Kissick	42-30	49	64	76.6
Sandy McLachlin	19-41	78	96	81.3
Vicki Winston	39-40	68	92	73.9
Sharon Paddock	40-36	73	86	84.9
Phyllis Negaard	43-38	70	90	77.8
Diane Lopez	15-40	53	68	77.9
WINS-11 LOSSES-4		812	1012	80.2

WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

5.	VICKI	WINSTON-	-MO

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Lois Webster	41-12	32	38	84.2
Billie Sue Pennington	9-42	36	56	64.3
Connie Still	40-36	66	82	80.5
Bonnie Seibold	38-41	44	62	71.0
Deborah Michaud	42-20	39	52	75.0
Renee Cowan	30-42	48	66	72.7
Ruth Hangen	41-26	41	56	73.2
Frances Carnahan	42-15	38	44	86.4
Sharon Paddock	24-41	51	68	75.0
Diane Lopez	42-26	91	104	87.5
Phyllis Negaard	42-14	43	52	82.7
Diane Cantin	40-39	70	92	76.1
Sue Snyder	42-39	76	94	80.9
Sandy McLachlin	20-40	38	54	70.4
Myrna Kissick	45-22	47	58	81.0
WINS-10 LOSSES-5		760	978	77.7

6. SUE SNYDER-IN

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Bonnie Seibold	40-25	37	50	74.0
Lois Webster	39-42	77	94	81.9
Billie Sue Pennington	41-26	50	64	78.1
Connie Still	40-19	44	56	78.6
Frances Carnahan	41-15	36	46	78.3
Deborah Michaud	40-26	35	52	67.3
Renee Cowan	31-40	63	82	76.8
Ruth Hangen	42-35	49	66	74.2
Diane Cantin	24-42	67	90	74.4
Phyllis Negard	42-15	50	60	83.3
Sharon Paddock	35-45	79	104	76.0
Diane Lopez	17-43	59	78	75.6
Vicki Winston	39-42	75	94	79.8
Myrna Kissick	40-31	57	72	79.2
Sandy McLachlin	28-43	83	104	79.8
WINS-8 LOSSES-7		861	1112	77.4

W. T. DATES FOR 1989-JULY 17 thru JULY 30

NHPA APPROVED



Guaranteed one year from date of purchase on STAINLESS STEEL ONLY

THE FIRST NEW DESIGN of the PROFESSIONAL PITCHING HORSESHOE

The horseshoe with calkers on the reversed side of the toeplate. The FLIP-STYLE pitcher will now have the two calkers in a down position landing in pit.

HIGH GRADE STEEL CASTING

2.9 oz. only — \$27.00 pr., plus \$3.00 UPS STAINLESS STEEL — \$54.50 pr., plus \$3.00 UPS

2 lb. 8 and 2 lb. 9 oz. only

Send checks or money orders to:

CAL-FLIP

PETE DONOHO 704 STATE STREET REDDING, CA 96001 Ph. 916-243-5761

Allow ample time for delivery

Made in U.S.A. Richmond, Calif.

WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Billie Sue Pennington	42-36	61	86	70.9	Myrna Kissick	36-42	59	86	68.6
Connie Still	45-36	52	70	74.3	Vicki Winston	42-9	47	56	83.9
Bonnie Seibold	40-15	45	56	80.4	Sue Snyder	26-41	45	64	70.3
Lois Webster	44-18	64	80	80.0	Sandy McLachlin	5-42	36	52	69.2
Renee Cowan	40-8	29	38	76.3	Diane Lopez	32-40	49	66	74.2
Ruth Hangen	6-42	27	46	58.7	Diane Cantin	44-31	55	72	76.4
Frances Carnahan	41-36	56	78	71.8	Sharon Paddock	22-40	55	70	78.6
Deborah Michaud	41-23	42	54	77.8	Phyllis Negaard	26-40	49	68	72.1
Phyllis Negaard	42-20	55	66	83.3	Ruth Hangen	40-37	52	78	66.7
Diane Cantin	30-42	46	64	71.9	Deborah Michaud	42-35	54	74	73.0
Diane Lopez	18-40	37	52	71.2	Frances Carnahan	23-41	53	70	75.7
Sharon Paddock	8-40	32	48	66.7	Renee Cowan	42-29	68	84	81.0
Sandy McLachlin	11-42	39	58	67.2	Connie Still	41-3	20	26	76.9
Sue Snyder	31-40	53	72	73.6	Bonnie Seibold	42-35	40	58	69.0
Vicki Winston	22-45	40	58	69.0	Lois Webster	26-41	42	66	63.6
WINS-8 LOSSES-7		678	926	73.2	WINS-7 LOSSES-8	Bell	724	990	73.1

9. LOIS WEBSTER-KY			6-1		10. RUTH HANGEN-NY				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Vicki Winston	12-41	23	38	60.5	Phyllis Negaard	43-32	61	82	74.4
Sue Snyder	42-39	77	94	81.9	Diane Lopez	7-40	43	60	71.7
Sandy McLachlin	16-44	42	60	70.0	Diane Cantin	24-40	35	52	67.3
Myrna Kissick	18-44	56	80	70.0	Sharon Paddock	37-42	68	90	75.6
Diane Cantin	13-45	38	56	67.9	Sandy McLachlin	42-35	66	84	78.6
Sharon Paddock	31-42	58	76	76.3	Myrna Kissick	42-6	37	46	80.4
Phyllis Negaard	42-32	61	78	78.2	Vicki Winston	26-41	37	56	66.1
Diane Lopez	7-43	29	46	63.0	Sue Snyder	35-42	47	66	71.2
Frances Carnahan	31-42	37	56	66.1	Billie Sue Pennington	37-40	52	78	66.7
Renee Cowan	45-30	29	44	65.9	Bonnie Seibold	42-24	43	58	74.1
Deborah Michaud	30-40	47	70	67.1	Connie Still	40-17	36	48	75.0
Ruth Hangen	41-17	42	52	80.8	Lois Webster	17-41	34	52	65.4
Bonnie Seibold	44-18	53	64	82.8	Renee Cowan	42-31	51	70	72.9
Connie Still	41-20	36	48	75.0	Deborah Michaud	28-42	46	72	63.9
Billie Sue Pennington	41-26	45	66	68.2	Frances Carnahan	42-37	55	76	72.4
WINS-7 LOSSES-8	Alpha ac	673	928	72.5	WINS-7 LOSSES-8		711	990	71.8

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Ruth Hangen	32-43	59	82	72.0	Diane Lopez	21-41	32	52	61.5
Frances Carnahan	40-28	41	54	75.9	Diane Cantin	21-41	50	66	75.8
Deborah Michaud	40-18	45	58	77.6	Sharon Paddock	19-40	39	54	72.2
Renee Cowan	40-34	53	74	71.6	Phyllis Negaard	34-40	51	74	68.9
Connie Still	42-9	30	38	78.9	Myrna Kissick	8-40	19	38	50.0
Bonnie Seibold	41-22	36	46	78.3	Vicki Winston	42-30	53	66	80.3
Lois Webster	32-42	57	78	73.1	Sue Snyder	40-31	67	82	81.7
Billie Sue Pennington	40-26	53	68	77.9	Sandy McLachlin	17-42	55	72	76.4
Myrna Kissick	20-42	48	66	72.7	Connie Still	40-26	48	62	77.4
Sue Snyder	15-42	41	60	68.3	Lois Webster	30-45	25	44	56.8
Vicki Winston	14-42	34	52	65.4	Bonnie Seibold	41-25	38	54	70.4
Sandy McLachlin	31-40	88	104	84.6	Billie Sue Pennington	29-41	64	84	76.2
Diane Lopez	19-45	49	68	72.1	Ruth Hangen	31-42	48	70	68.6
Diane Cantin	38-43	66	90	73.3	Frances Carnahan	37-42	44	64	68.8
Sharon Paddock	5-41	31	48	64.6	Deborah Michaud	42-32	49	66	74.2
WINS-6 LOSSES-9		731	986	74.1	WINS-5 LOSSES-10	B-27	682	948	71.9

WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

	I—PA			_	14. DEBORAH MICHAUD	INIM			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Sharon Paddock	28-40	54	74	73.0	Diane Cantin	6-40	16	30	53.3
Phyllis Negaard	28-40	37	54	68.5	Sharon Paddock	41-39	65	84	77.4
Diane Lopez	18-40	42	60	70.0	Phyllis Negaard	18-40	38	58	65.5
Diane Cantin	22-40	45	60	75.0	Diane Lopez	31-44	53	74	71.6
Sue Snyder	15-41	27	46	58.7	Vicki Winston	20-42	31	52	59.6
Sandy McLachlin	13-40	37	52	71.2	Sue Snyder	26-40	31	52	59.6
Myrna Kissick	36-41	52	78	66.7	Sandy McLachlin	9-44	37	54	68.5
/icki Winston	15-42	29	44	65.9	Myrna Kissick	23-41	36	54	66.7
ois Webster	42-31	40	56	71.4	Bonnie Seibold	26-41	41	60	68.3
Connie Still	41-18	31	46	67.4	Billie Sue Pennington	35-42	50	74	67.6
Cillio Suo Poppington	41-23	59	70	84.3	Lois Webster	40-30	49	70	70.0
Billie Sue Pennington	40-12	25	30	83.3	Connie Still	45-7	31	40	77.5
Bonnie Seibold	38-40	59	80	73.8	Frances Carnahan	40-38	61	80	76.3
Deborah Michaud	42-37	44	64	68.8		42-28	50	72	69.4
Renee Cowan					Ruth Hangen	32-42	45	66	68.2
Ruth Hangen	37-42	53	76	69.7	Renee Cowan	32-42	634	920	68.9
VINS-5 LOSSES-10		634	890	71.2	WINS-5 LOSSES-10		034	920	00.9
15. BONNIE SEIBOLD—IN					16. CONNIE STILL—OH		1000		_
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Sue Snyder	25-40	33	50	66.0	Sandy McLachlin	17-42	26	44	59.1
Sandy McLachlin	3-42	32	50	64.0	Myrna Kissick	36-45	49	70	70.0
Myrna Kissick	15-40	38	56	67.9	Vicki Winston	36-40	64	82	78.0
/icki Winston	41-38	45	62	72.6	Sue Snyder	19-40	38	56	67.9
Sharon Paddock	24-40	37	54	68.5	Phyllis Negaard	9-42	20	38	52.6
Phyllis Negaard	22-41	30	46	65.2	Diane Lopez	6-42	22	42	52.4
Diane Lopez	10-43	22	42	52.4	Diane Cantin	19-42	28	44	63.6
Diane Cantin	13-40	30	46	65.2	Sharon Paddock	13-42	29	44	65.9
Deborah Michaud	41-26	46	60	76.7	Renee Cowan	26-40	42	62	67.7
Ruth Hangen	24-42	39	58	67.2	Frances Carnahan	18-41	25	46	54.3
Renee Cowan	25-41	33	54	61.1	Ruth Hangen	17-40	29	48	60.4
Frances Carnahan	12-40	16	30	53.3	Deborah Michaud	7-45	20	40	50.0
ois Webster	18-44	44	64	68.8	Billie Sue Pennington	3-41	8	26	30.8
	35-42	35	58	60.3	Lois Webster	20-41	29	48	60.4
Billie Sue Pennington			50	70.0	Bonnie Seibold	21-40	27	50	54.0
Connie Still				10.0	world worded a contract				-
	40-21	35		66.0	WINS-0 LOSSES-15		456	740	61.6
WINS-3 LOSSES-12		515	780	66.0	WINS-0 LOSSES-15		456	740	61.6
		515	780		ASS A FINALS			740	
WINS-3 LOSSES-12	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL.		R	456 SP	740	61.6
WINS-3 LOSSES-12 Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS	R 136		740	%
Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS 5 0	136	SP 200	740	% 68.0
Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS b 5 0 1	136 121	SP 200 200	740	% 68.0 60.5
Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS L 5 0 4 1 1 2 3	136 121 125	SP 200 200 200	740	68.0 60.5 62.5
Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS b 5 0 1	136 121	SP 200 200 200 200	740	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5
Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS L 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	136 121 125 123 101	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200	740	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5
Edi Holland, MN	٧	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL	ASS A FINALS L 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5	136 121 125 123 101 109	SP 200 200 200 200	740	
Edi Holland, MN	V	515 /OM	780 EN'S	CL.	5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL	136 121 125 123 101 109	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		% 68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN	V	515 /OMI	780 EN'S	% CL	5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 0 5 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	SP	% 68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN	Score	515 VOMI	780 EN'S SP 40	% 65.0	5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	SP 40	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22	515 /OM	780 EN'S SP 40 40	% 65.0 70.0	5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 8 8	SP 40 40	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19	515 /OMI	780 EN'S SP 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0	5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18 . 22-16	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	SP 40 40 40	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN Marge Spray, FL Margaret Byfield, WA Diana Polak, TX Marisela Mauricio, CA Loree Meier, MO 1. EDI HOLLAND—MN Opponent Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak	Score 25-24 40-22	515 /OM	780 EN'S SP 40 40	% 65.0 70.0	ASS A FINALS W 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18 . 22-16 . 35-24	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 8 8	SP 40 40	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19	515 /OMI	780 EN'S SP 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0	5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18 . 22-16 . 35-24	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	SP 40 40 40	68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5
Edi Holland, MN Marge Spray, FL Margaret Byfield, WA Diana Polak, TX Marisela Mauricio, CA Loree Meier, MO 1. EDI HOLLAND—MN Opponent Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Marge Spray WINS-5 LOSSES-0	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19	515 /OMI R 26 28 28 28	780 EN'S SP 40 40 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 70.0	ASS A FINALS W L 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18 . 22-16 . 35-24	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 27 27 25	SP 40 40 40 40 40	%68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5
Edi Holland, MN Marge Spray, FL Margaret Byfield, WA Diana Polak, TX Marisela Mauricio, CA Loree Meier, MO 1. EDI HOLLAND—MN Opponent Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Marge Spray	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19	FR 26 28 28 28 26	780 EN'S SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0	ASS A FINALS W L 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18 . 22-16 . 35-24	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 202 202 203 203	SP 40 40 40 40 40	%68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5 50.7 67.6 62.5 52.
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19	FR 26 28 28 28 26	780 EN'S SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0	ASS A FINALS W L 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score . 31-22 . 32-18 . 22-16 . 35-24	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 202 202 203 203	SP 40 40 40 40 40	% 68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5 50.7 67.6 62.5 62.5 60.6
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19 —WA Score 16-30	R 26 28 26 136 R 25	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0 68.0 % 62.5	ASS A FINALS W L 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. DIANA POLAK—TX Opponent Margaret Byfield	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score 31-22 32-18 22-16 35-24 19-31	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 201 R 20 28 27 25 21 121	SP 40 40 40 40 200	% 68.0 60.5 62.5 55.5 54.5 50.7 67.6 62.5 60.
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19 —WA Score 16-30 22-40	R 26 28 28 28 26 136 R 25 22	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0 68.0 % 62.5 55.0	ASS A FINALS W 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. DIANA POLAK—TX Opponent Margaret Byfield	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score 31-22 32-18 22-16 35-24 19-31 Score 30-16 18-32	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 201 R 20 28 27 25 21 121	SP 40 40 40 40 200 SP	% 68.0 60.5 62.5 50.5 54.5 50.7 67.6 62.5 60.
Edi Holland, MN	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19 —WA Score 16-30	R 26 28 26 136 R 25	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0 68.0 % 62.5	ASS A FINALS W 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. DIANA POLAK—TX Opponent Margaret Byfield Marga Spray Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marga Spray Loree Meier	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score 31-22 32-18 22-16 35-24 19-31 Score 30-16 18-32 28-23	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40	% 68.6 60.5 62.5 50.5 54.5 50.7 67.6 62.5 60.
Edi Holland, MN Marge Spray, FL Margaret Byfield, WA Diana Polak, TX Marisela Mauricio, CA Loree Meier, MO 1. EDI HOLLAND—MN Opponent Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Marge Spray WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARGARET BYFIELD Opponent Diana Polak Edi Holland Marge Spray	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19 —WA Score 16-30 22-40	R 26 28 28 28 26 136 R 25 22	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0 68.0 % 62.5 55.0	ASS A FINALS W 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. DIANA POLAK—TX Opponent Margaret Byfield Marga Spray Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marga Spray Loree Meier	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score 31-22 32-18 22-16 35-24 19-31 Score 30-16 18-32 28-23	200 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	%68.0.60.5.60.5.62.5.50.5.54.5.50.5.54.5.50.67.0.62.5.52.60.0
Edi Holland, MN Marge Spray, FL Margaret Byfield, WA Diana Polak, TX Marisela Mauricio, CA Loree Meier, MO 1. EDI HOLLAND—MN Opponent Loree Meier Margaret Byfield Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Marge Spray WINS-5 LOSSES-0	Score 25-24 40-22 37-19 20-17 31-19 —WA Score 16-30 22-40 16-22	FR 266 288 28 26 136 FR 25 22 24	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 65.0 70.0 70.0 65.0 68.0 62.5 55.0 60.0	ASS A FINALS W 5 0 4 1 2 3 2 3 2 3 0 5 2. MARGE SPRAY—FL Opponent Marisela Mauricio Diana Polak Margaret Byfield Loree Meier Edi Holland WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. DIANA POLAK—TX Opponent Margaret Byfield	136 121 125 123 101 109 Score 31-22 32-18 22-16 35-24 19-31 Score 30-16 18-32 28-23 17-20	SP 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	%68.0 60.5 62.5 61.5 50.5 54.5 50.7 67.6 62.5 52.

WOMEN'S FINALS—(Continued)

5. MARISELA MAURICIO)—CA			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Marge Spray	22-31	17	40	42.5
Loree Meier	26-22	20	40	50.0
Edi Holland	19-37	23	40	57.5
Margaret Byfield	12-45	18	40	45.0
Diana Polak	24-18	23	40	57.5

WINS-2 LOSSES-3

Fran Roth

Sally Grooms

Theora Schroeder

WINS-4 LOSSES-1

6. LOREE MEIER—MO				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Edi Holland	24-25	25	40	62.5
Marisela Mauricio	22-26	20	40	50.0
Diana Polak	23-28	21	40	52.5
Marge Spray	24-35	21	40	52.5
Margaret Byfield	21-35	22	40	55.0
WINS-0 LOSSES-5		109	200	54.5

R

32-11

32-16

20-26

26 40 65.0

25 40 62.5

27

128 200 64.0

40 67.5

WOMEN'S CLASS B FINALS

200 50.5

Caral Canadas CA					4	1	108	200		54.0
Carol Casados, CA Diana Polak, TX					3	2	128	200		64.0
Marisela Mauricio, CA					3	2	123	200		61.5
Fran Roth, CO					2	3	106	200		53.0
Sally Grooms, NE					2	3	104	200		52.0
Theora Schroeder, CA					1	4	99	200		49.5
1. CAROL CASADOS—C						NA POLAK-TX				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Oppone	nt	Score	R	SP	%
Diana Polak	27-24	24	40	60.0		sados		H 22	SP 40	55.0
			-		Carol Ca		. 24-27			

52.5

47.5

54.0

200



approved by NHPA

27 & 36 inch long stakes available

26-22

27-28

26-22

20 40 50.0

19 40

108

GORDON Since 1931 HORSESHOES

Sally Grooms

Theora Schroeder

Marisela Mauricio

WINS-3 LOSSES-2

Gordon Standard AISI C1035 Steel

> 3 Tempers: Hard. Medium, Dead Soft

Gordon Gold AISI 4140 Alloy

Medium Temper Only

THE QUEEN CITY
FORGING CO.
233 Tennyson St.
Cincinnati, OH 45226
(write for price list)

So. CA Representative JERRY SCHNEIDER 3144 W. Paso Robles Anaheim, CA 92804 (714) 826-0684

WOMEN'S FINALS—(Continued)

3. MARISELA MAURICIO-	-CA				4. FRAN ROTH—	-				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	0,0	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
	25-39	16	40	40.0	Theora Schroeder		24-22	21	40	52.5
Sally Grooms	19-30	20	40	50.0	Diana Polak		12-35	20	40	50.0
Caro Casados	45-12	26	40	65.0	Carol Casados		22-26	20	40	50.0
Theora Schroeder	35-11	32	40	80.0	Marisela Mauricio .		11-35	24	40	60.0
Fran Roth	26-20	29	40	72.5	Sally Grooms		28-23	21	40	52.5
Diana Polak WINS-3 LOSSES-2	20.20	123	200	61.5	WINS-2 LOSSES-		National Park	106	200	53.0
11110-0 2000202										
5. SALLY GROOMS—NE					6. THEORA SCH	ROEDEI		-	0.0	0/
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Mariseia Mauricio	39-25	21	40	52.5	Fran Roth		22-24	20	40	50.0
Theora Schroeder	28-36	20	40	50.0	Sally Grooms		36-28	22	40	55.0
Diana Polax	11-32	20	40	50.0	Marisela Mauricio .		12-45	16	40	
Caro Casados	28-27	22	40	55.0	Diana Polak		16-32	21	40	52.5
Fran Roth	23-28	21	40	52.5	Carol Casados		22-26	99	40 200	49.5
WINS-2 LOSSES-3		104	200	52.0	WINS-1 LOSSES-					
	W	OME	EN'S	CLA	ASS C FINAL	S				0/
					W L		R	SP		%
Phyllis Morten CA					5 0		128	200		64.0
Mary Fumia, CA					3 2		115	200		57.5
Janie Thompson, CO					3 2		103	200		
Janie Thompson, CO Retty Forbes, CA							101	200		50.5
Janie Thompson, CO Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT					3 2 2 3 1 4		101 92	200 200		50.5 48.0
Betty Forbes CA					3 2 3		101	200		50.5 48.0
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT	A				3 2 2 3 1 4		101 92	200 200		51.5 50.5 48.0 44.5
Betty Forbes: CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS	A Score	R	SP	%	3 2 2 3 1 4 1 4		101 92	200 200	SP	50.5 48.0
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—Co Opponent	Score				3 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 2. MARY FUMIA-Opponent	-CA	101 92 89 Score	200 200 200		50.5 48.0 44.5
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson	Score 37-4	29	40	72.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	101 92 89 Score 37-18	200 200 200 200	40	50.5 48.0 44.5 % 57.5
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes	Score 37-4 26-22	29 25	40 40	72.5 62.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	101 92 89 Score 37-18 48-19	200 200 200 200 R 23 27	40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 % 57.5 67.5
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furnia	37-4 26-22 34-18	29 25 26	40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0	3 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	92 89 Score 37-18 48-19 18-34	200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22	40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 67.5 55.0
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—Co Opponent	Score 37-4 26-22	29 25	40 40	72.5 62.5	3 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 2. MARY FUMIA-Opponent Betty Forbes Shirley Steffen Phyllis Morten Janie Thompson	-CA	101 92 89 Score 37-18 48-19	200 200 200 200 R 23 27	40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 67.5 55.0 52.5
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—Co Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18	29 25 26 22	40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0	3 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 2. MARY FUMIA-Opponent Betty Forbes Shirley Steffen Phyllis Morten Janie Thompson	-CA	92 89 Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35	200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21	40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 67.5 55.0 52.5 55.0
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furna Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26	40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0	3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20	200 200 200 200 8 8 23 27 22 21 22	40 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 67.5 55.0 52.5 55.0
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26 128	40 40 40 40 40 200	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0	3 2 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20	200 200 200 200 8 8 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 40 200	50.5 48.0 44.5 % 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26 128	40 40 40 40 40 200	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20	200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 40 200	50.5 48.0 44.5 % 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26 128 R	40 40 40 40 40 200	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20	200 200 200 200 8 23 27 22 21 22 21 5 8	40 40 40 40 40 200	% 57.5 67.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 42.4
Betty Forbes, CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—Co Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furnia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—Co Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26 128	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0 % 47.5 55.0	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 Score 18-37 22-26	200 200 200 200 8 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40	% 57.5 67.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furma Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0 % 47.5 55.0 50.0	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	_CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Fumia	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 65.0 65.0 64.0 % 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	_CA	Score 37-18 48-19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 57.5 57.5 57.5 67.5 57.5 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Fumia Betty Forbes	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17	40 40 40 40 200 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 65.0 65.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5 42.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		Score 37-18 48-19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Fumia	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 65.0 65.0 64.0 % 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		Score 37-18 48-19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	% 57.5 67.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 42.4
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Fumia Betty Forbes	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17	40 40 40 40 200 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 65.0 65.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5 42.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furma Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Furmia Betty Forbes WINS-3 LOSSES-2	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17	40 40 40 40 200 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 65.0 65.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5 42.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115 R 17 23 20 17 24	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 50.4 42.6 60.5 50.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furnia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Furnia Betty Forbes WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. SHIRLEY STEFFEN—	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17	40 40 40 40 200 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 200	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 62.5 42.5 51.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3 36-17 Score	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115 R 17 23 20 17 24	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 200	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 56.0 52.5 55.0 42.6 60.5 50.6
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furma Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Furmia Betty Forbes WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. SHIRLEY STEFFEN— Opponent Jo Tidsbury	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36 UT Score	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17 103	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 200	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5 42.5 51.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3 36-17 Score 39-18	200 200 200 200 200 R 23 27 22 21 22 115 R 17 23 20 17 24	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 50 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen Mary Fumia Betty Forbes WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. SHIRLEY STEFFEN— Opponent Jo Tidsbury Mary Fumia	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36 UT Score 18-39	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17 103	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 200	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5 42.5 51.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 36-17 Score 39-18 23-31	200 200 200 200 200 8 8 23 27 22 21 22 115 8 17 23 20 17 24 101	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 200	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5 50.0 42.6 60.
Betty Forbes. CA Shritev Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Furnia Jo Tisbury Shritev Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Shritev Steffen Mary Furnia Betty Forbes WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. SHIRLEY STEFFEN— Opponent Jo Tidsbury Mary Furnia Jo Tidsbury Mary Furnia Jo Tidsbury Mary Furnia Jonie Thompson	37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36 UT Score 18-39 19-48	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17 103	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 55.0 65.0 64.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 62.5 42.5 51.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3 36-17 Score 39-18 23-31 23-36	200 200 200 200 200 8 23 27 22 21 22 115 8 17 23 20 17 24 101	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 57.5 55.0 57.5 50 42 57.5 60 50
Betty Forbes. CA Shirley Steffen, UT Jo Tisbury, MS 1. PHYLLIS MORTEN—C. Opponent Janie Thompson Betty Forbes Mary Fumia Jo Tisbury Shirley Steffen WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. JANIE THOMPSON—C Opponent Phyllis Morten Jo Tisbury Steffen Mary Fumia Betty Forbes WINS-3 LOSSES-2 5. SHIRLEY STEFFEN— Opponent Jo Tidsbury Mary Fumia	Score 37-4 26-22 34-18 47-18 36-17 CO Score 4-37 31-23 36-25 35-19 17-36 UT Score 18-39 19-48 25-36	29 25 26 22 26 128 R 19 22 20 25 17 103 R 16 18 17	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	72.5 62.5 65.0 65.0 65.0 64.0 64.0 47.5 55.0 50.0 62.5 42.5 51.5	3 2 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	CA	Score 37-18 48-19 18-34 19-35 25-20 A Score 18-37 22-26 36-23 22-3 36-17 Score 39-18 23-31 23-36	200 200 200 200 200 8 23 27 22 21 22 21 22 115 8 17 23 20 17 24 101	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	50.5 48.0 44.5 57.5 55.0 52.5 55.0 57.5 50.4 42.6 60.5 50.6

WOMEN'S CLASS D FINALS

	REN				W	L	R	SP	473	9
Ruth Letvinchik, NC					41/2	01/2	90	200		45.
Karen Alling, CA					3	2	97	200		48.
Carol Holmes, NE					3	2	95	200		47.
Nancy Camp, CA					21/2	21/2	89	200		44.
Bonnie White, CA					2	3	70	200		35.
Edith Zoglman, CA					0	5	77	200		38.
1. RUTH LETVINCHIK—	NC				2. KAR	EN ALLING—CA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponen	t	Score	R	SP	
Carol Holmes	30-27	18	40	45.0	Bonnie W	hite	41-12	23	40	57.
Karen Alling	32-20	18	40	45.0	Ruth Letv	inchik	20-32	14	40	35.
Edith Zoglman	31-16	19	40	47.5		mes	18-34	18	40	45.
Bonnie White	26-18	17	40	42.5	Nancy Ca	mp	31-23	23	40	57.
Nancy Camp	28-28	18	40	45.0	Edith Zog	Iman	27-18	19	40	47.
WINS-41/2 LOSSES-01/2		90	200	45.0	WINS-3	LOSSES-2	ST. TELL	97	200	48.
Opponent Ruth Letvinchik	Score 27-30	R 18	SP 40	% 45.0		man	27-23	R 18	SP 40	45.0
Nancy Camp	43-25	21	40	52.5		mes	25-43	17	40	42.
Karen Alling	34-18	22	40	55.0		hite	40-14	16	40	40.
Edith Zoglman	38-12	20	40	50.0 35.0		ng	23-31 28-28	19	40	47.
Sonnie White WINS-3 LOSSES-2	18-29	95	200	47.5	11.00	LOSSES-21/2	20-20	89	200	44.
					6. EDIT	H ZOGLMAN—C	A			
5. BONNIE WHITE—CA					Opponen	t	Score	R	SP	0
5. BONNIE WHITE—CA Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	opponen					37.
Opponent	Score	R 14	SP 40	% 35.0	200	mp	23-27	15	40	01.
Opponent Karen Alling					Nancy Ca		23-27 26-29	15 16	40 40	
	12-41	14	40	35.0	Nancy Ca Bonnie W	ımp				40.
Opponent Karen Alling Edith Zoglman Nancy Camp	12-41 29-26	14 17	40 40	35.0 42.5	Nancy Ca Bonnie W Ruth Letv	imp	26-29	16 16 13	40	40 40 32
Opponent Karen Alling	12-41 29-26 14-40	14 17 8	40 40 40	35.0 42.5 20.0	Nancy Ca Bonnie W Ruth Letv Carol Hol	mp	26-29 16-31	16 16	40 40	40

WOMEN'S CLASS E FINALS

WINS-4 LOSSES-1		84	200	42.0	WINS-4 LOS	SES-1		82	200	41.0
Sandy Gilstrap	21-32	16	40	40.0	Ginger Cain .		34-16	18	40	45.0
Gayle Oglesby	29-15	15	40	37.5	Sandy Gilstrap		31-28	17	40	42.5
Pat Bryant	31-20	12	40	30.0	Gayle Oblesby		38-6	18	40	45.0
Ginger Cain	38-14	19	40	47.5	Pat Bryant		33-20	18	40	45.0
Linda Rodriguez	45-9	22	40	55.0	Nancy Lamour	eaux	9-45	11	40	27.5
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
1. NANCY LAMOUREAU	X—CA				2. LINDA RO	DRIGUEZ-	-CA			
Gayle Oglesby,CA					0	5	48	200		24.0
Pat Bryant, CA					1	4	64	200		32.0
Sandy Gilstrap, CA					3	2	76	200		38.0
Ginger Cain, OK					3	2	76	200		38.0
Linda Rodriguez, CA					4	1	82	200		41.0
Nancy Lamoureaux, CA					4	1	84	200		42.0

WOMEN'S FINALS—(Continued)

0	Coore	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	9/
Opponent	Score				Opponent				
Gayle Oglesby	42-10	17	40	42.5	Pat Bryant	30-24	15 19	40	37. 47.
Nancy Lamoureaux	14-38	12	40	30.0 45.0	Gayle Oglesby	37-13 14-40	9	40	22.
Sandy Gilstrap	40-14 30-28	18	40	45.0	Ginger Cain	28-31	15	40	37.
Pat Bryant	16-34	11	40	27.5	Nancy Lamoureaux	32-21	18	40	45.0
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		76	299	38.0	WINS-3 LOSSES-2		76	200	38.
5. PAT BRYANT—CA					6. GAYLE OBLESBY—C	A			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	9
Sandy Gilstrap	24-30	11	40	27.5	Ginger Cain	10-42	9	40	22.
Linda Rodriguez	20-33	13	40	32.5	Sandy Gilstrap	13-37	13	40	32.
Nancy Lamoureaux	20-31	9	40	22.5	Linda Rodriguez	6-38	7	40	17.
Ginger Cain	28-30	18	40	45.0	Nancy Lamoureaux	15-29	10	40	25.
Gayle Oglesby	35-16	13	40	32.5	Pat Bryant	16-35	9	40	22.
WINS-1 LOSSES-4		64	200	32.0	WINS-0 LOSSES-5		48	200	24.
	W	/OM	EN'S	S CL	ASS F FINALS				
					W L	R	SP		9/
Donna Eisma, CA					5 0	86	200		43.
Camille Bruster, CA						83	200		41.
	****		****		4 1				
Marylyn Clark, CA					2 3	65	200		32.5
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS					2 3 2 3	65 50	200 200		32.5 25.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA					2 3 2 3 1 4	65 50 54	200 200 200		32.5 25.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS					2 3 2 3	65 50	200 200		32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA					2 3 2 3 1 4	65 50 54 54	200 200 200		32.5 25.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA					2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4	65 50 54 54	200 200 200	SP	32.5 25.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA					2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER—Opponent	65 50 54 54	200 200 200 200		32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn	Score	R	SP	%	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER—	65 50 54 54 -CA Score	200 200 200 200	SP 40 40	32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks	Score 36-9	R 11	SP 40	% 27.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	65 50 54 54 54 -CA Score 33-26	200 200 200 200 8 16	40	32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Carnille Bruster	Score 36-9 58-12	R 11 21	SP 40 40	% 27.5 52.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16	200 200 200 200 200 R 16 17	40 40	32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0 40.0 42.5
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Carnille Bruster	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22	R 11 21 18	SP 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	65 50 54 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16	200 200 200 200 200 R 16 17 18	40 40 40	32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0 40.0 42.5 45.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23	R 11 21 18 18	SP 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27	200 200 200 200 200 R 16 17 18 16	40 40 40 40	32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0 40.0 42.5 45.0 40.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11	R 11 21 18 18 18	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7	200 200 200 200 200 8 16 17 18 16 16	40 40 40 40 40	32.5 27.1 27.1 40.0 42.5 45.0 40.0
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11	R 11 21 18 18 18 86	SP 40 40 40 40 40 200 SP	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 43.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7	200 200 200 200 200 81 16 17 18 16 16 16 83	40 40 40 40 40 200	99 40.0 42.4 45.0 40.0 41.4
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11	R 11 21 18 18 18 86	SP 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 43.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7	200 200 200 200 200 8 16 17 18 16 16 16 83	40 40 40 40 40 200	9, 40.0 40.0 40.0 41.1
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Letta Washburn Letta Washburn Letta Washburn	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11	R 11 21 18 18 18 86 R 14 14	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 43.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21	200 200 200 200 200 8 16 17 18 16 16 16 83	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40	32.5 25.0 27.0 27.0 40.0 42.5 45.0 40.0 41.5
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster COpponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Copponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster	36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Scare 38-19 21-32 16-27	R 11 21 18 18 18 86 R 14 14 13	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 43.0 35.0 35.0 32.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 -KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38	200 200 200 200 200 81 16 16 16 83 R 4 17 10	40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40	32. 25. 27. 27. 40.0 42. 45.0 40.0 41. 41. 42. 45.0 40.0 41.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Copponent	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29	R 11 21 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 43.0 35.0 35.0 32.5 37.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-22	200 200 200 200 200 8 16 17 18 16 16 16 83	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	32. 25. 27. 27. 40.0 42. 45. 40.0 41. 41. 25. 30.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Copponent	36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Scare 38-19 21-32 16-27	R 11 21 18 18 18 18 18 18 14 14 14 13 15 9	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 35.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 22.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster	65 50 54 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 -KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38	200 200 200 200 200 8 16 17 18 16 16 16 83	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40	99 40.0 42.1 45.0 40.0 42.2 55.30.17.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3	36-9 58-19 21-32 35-11 CA Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35	R 11 21 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 43.0 35.0 35.0 32.5 37.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster WINS-2 LOSSES-3	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-22	200 200 200 200 200 8 16 17 18 16 16 16 83	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40	99 40.0 42.1 45.0 40.0 42.2 55.30.17.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—CA	36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Scar 8-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35	R 11 21 18 18 18 86 R 14 14 13 15 9 65	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 43.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 22.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-23 7-45	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 200	32. 25. 27. 27. 27. 40. 40. 41. 41. 41. 41. 25. 30. 17. 25.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—CA Opponent	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35	R 11 21 18 18 18 86 R 14 14 13 15 9 65 R	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 200 SP	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 43.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 32.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks	65 50 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-23 7-45	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 200	32. 25. 27. 27. 40. 42. 45. 40. 40. 40. 41. 25. 30. 17. 25.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—C Opponent Camille Bruster Cathiel Bruster Cathiel Loucks Copponent Cathiel Bruster Cathiel Loucks Copponent Service Copponent Camille Bruster Cathiel Loucks Copponent Cathiel Loucks Copponent Cathiel Bruster Cathiel Loucks Copponent Cathiel Loucks—Co	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 CA Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35 Score 26-33	R 11 21 18 18 18 18 18 18 15 9 65	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 43.0 % 35.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 22.5 32.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster WINS-2 LOSSES-3 6. RUTH HIX—VA Opponent Marylyn Clark Opponent Marylyn Clark	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-23 7-45	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 200 SP 40	32. 25. 27. 27. 40.0 42.4 45.0 41.4 41.4 25. 30. 17. 25.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Bruster Cathie Loucks Copponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—CA Opponent Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Cathiel Loucks Donna Eisma	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35 Score 26-33 12-58	R 11 21 18 18 18 18 18 18 15 9 65 R R 15 5	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 35.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 22.5 32.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster WINS-2 LOSSES-3 6. RUTH HIX—VA Opponent Marylyn Clark Camille Bruster Wins-2 LOSSES-3	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-23 7-45 Score	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 200	9, 40.0 42.5 40.0 41.4 42.5 40.0 42.25.3 30.17.25.
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS CAthie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—C Opponent Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Conna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—C Opponent Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma Letta Washburn	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35 Score 26-33 12-58 38-17	R 11 21 18 18 18 86 R 14 14 13 15 5 5 16	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 22.5 32.5 % 37.5 12.5 40.0	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix. Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster WINS-2 LOSSES-3 6. RUTH HIX—VA Opponent Marylyn Clark Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks WINS-2 LOSSES-3 6. RUTH HIX—VA Opponent Marylyn Clark Camille Bruster Donna Eisma	65 50 54 54 -CA Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	99. 40.0. 42.1. 45.0. 40.0. 41.1. 25. 30. 17. 25. 27. 27. 27. 25. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27
Marylyn Clark, CA Letta Washburn, KS Cathie Loucks, CA Ruth Hix, VA 1. DONNA EISMA—CA Opponent Letta Washburn Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster Marylyn Clark WINS-5 LOSSES-0 3. MARYLYN CLARK—C Opponent Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Ruth Hix Letta Washburn Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Camille Bruster Cathie Loucks Donna Eisma WINS-2 LOSSES-3 5. CATHIE LOUCKS—CA	Score 36-9 58-12 44-22 27-23 35-11 Score 38-19 21-32 16-27 40-29 11-35 Score 26-33 12-58	R 11 21 18 18 18 18 18 18 15 9 65 R R 15 5	SP 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 27.5 52.5 45.0 45.0 45.0 35.0 35.0 32.5 37.5 22.5 32.5	2 3 2 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 2. CAMILLE BRUSTER— Opponent Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Marylyn Clark Donna Eisma Letta Washburn WINS-4 LOSSES-1 4. LETTA WASHBURN— Opponent Donna Eisma Marylyn Clark Cathie Loucks Ruth Hix Camille Bruster WINS-2 LOSSES-3 6. RUTH HIX—VA Opponent Marylyn Clark Camille Bruster Wins-2 LOSSES-3	65 50 54 54 54 Score 33-26 26-16 27-16 23-27 45-7 KS Score 9-36 32-21 17-38 32-23 7-45 Score	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	40 40 40 40 200 SP 40 40 40 40 200	32. 25. 27. 27. 40. 42. 45. 40. 41. 41. 41. 25. 30. 317. 25.

DALLAS, GEORGIA SPECIAL-28-88-008

CLASS B—Larry Morton, Columbus, 4-1-74.3; Glen Portt, Albany, 4-1-64.9; Ted Whitlock, Jefferson, 4-1-63.6; Iris Sandham, Lilburn, 2-3-48.5; Cotton Black, Tunnel Hill, 1-4-50.9; Wayne Brooks, Alpharetta, 0-5-52.0.

CLASS B—Oliver McClarty, Rockmart, 4-1-57.03; Bd Kurtz, Marietta, 3-2-57.3; Jim Waters, Ringgold, 3-2-53.2; Tommy Lawson, Acworth, 2-3-50.7; George Bradfield, Dallas, 2-3-44.4; A. W. Weatherford, Braselton, 1-4-44.9.

CLASS C—Richard Williams, Rockmart, 5-0-52.5; Merle Shipp, Dallas, 4-1-43.8; Jim Haley, Stone Mountain, 2-3-44.1. Bill McCoury, White, 2-3-39.4; Sterling King, Conyers, 2-3-37.8; Jack Shipp, Dallas, 0-5-35.4.

CLASS D—Don Hoover, Conyers, 5-0-40.5; Rusty Morgan, Aragon, 3-2-34.5; J. R. Frady, Cedartown, 3-2-30.1; Jim Wood, Jefferson, 3-2-29.2; James Spencer, Aragon, 1-4-23.4; Keith Shipp, Dallas, 0-5-23.4.

CLASS E—J. E. Brooks, Alpharetta, 5-0-31.5; Al Simpson, Catula, 3-2-39.2; Rojer Stamper, Douglasville, 3-2-33.5; Roy Whitlock, Jefferson, 2-3-28.8; Samson Marchman, Dallas, 1-4-28.0; Charles McGinness, Dallas, 1-4-25.1.

CLASS F—Dave Hylton, Alpharetta,. 4-1-27.3; Sal Bosco, Rome, 4-1-19.1; Johnny Sisson, Aragon, 3-2-20.6; Samya Vig, Lilburn, 3-2-17.6; J. W. Brumbelow, Cartersville, 1-4-21.4; Al Sandham, Lilburn, 0-5-8.97.

VT. MOTHER'S DAY OPEN TO HOLLISTER—SAN. 27-88-026

CLASS A—Kevin Hollister, 7-0-79.2; Liz Downer, 6-1-70.7; Leon O'Dell, 5-2-50.6; Gale Greene, 4-3-59.3; Dick Greene, 3-4-51.3; Bud Leavitt, 2-5-44.8; Jean Choiniere, 1-6-52.4; Don Choiniere, 0-7-42.8.

CLASS B—Sam Raymond, 7-0-45.6; Reid Brewster, 4-3-47.1; Bev Forgues, 4-3-43.8; Blakley Bigelow, 4-3-41.2; Barney Pratt, 4-3-41.1; Ray Barker, 3-4-35.9; Richard Grenier, 1-6-34.7; Bob Snide, 1-6-28.4.

CLASS C—Don Taylor, 7-0-38.4; Norman Boynton, 4-3-32.6; Elmer Moore, 4-3-31.7; Bill Boutwell, 4-3-28.7; Archie Jones, 3-4-34.7; John Coffey, 3-4-33.8; Cliff Richards, 2-5-28.4; Alton Nichols, 0-7-24.4.

CLASS D—Bill Boutwell, 5-0-29.4; Glen Norris, 3-2-28.4; Roger Forgues, 3-2-23.8; Ruth Wassong, 2-3-24.8; Bill Alexander, 2-3-23.9; Ray DeBarge, 0-5-17.9.

CLASS E—Connie Selleck, 7-0-25.1; Doug Bausch, 4-3-28.7; Kevin Straton, 4-3-27.4; Charlie Newton, 4-3-25.5; Harold Wrisley, 4-3-19.2; Phil Summer, 4-3-19.1; Art Emmons, 1-6-16.6; Stan Bigelow, 0-7-14.1.

CLASS F—Bob Marshall, 6-0-26.9; Jerry Randall, 4-2-23.9; Ben Basquez, 4-2-23.0; Ray Begins Sr., 4-2-19.3; Duane Peacock, 2-4-20.9; Jay Parizo, 1-5-12.5; Don Fales, 0-6-7.7.

CLASS G—Ray Begins Jr., 5-0-25.4; Cathy Begins, 4-1-16.9; Norm Desso, 2-3-16.4; Harold Townsend, 2-3-11.5; Myron Selleck, 2-3-9.5; Debbie Mallott, 0-5-5.7.

CLASS H—Rick Begins, 4-0-14.7; Lester Townsend, 3-1-9.2; Rae Ann Begins, 2-2-5.5; Gary Pierson, 1-3-7.6; Ed Pratt, 0-4-4.0.

DALLAS, PA. OPEN CROWN TO RUBENDALL—SAN. 17-88-021

CLASS A—Glen Rubendall, 9-0-69.2; Glenn Burris, 8-1-71.2; Dan Beshore, 7-2-64.1; Ed Bowersox, 5-4-50.5; Glenn Brown, 4-5-60.7; Dick Wilson, 4-5-52.9; Dean McCurdy, 3-6-50.0; Jack Swyers, 3-6-49.5; Keith Clark, 2-7-45.0; Al Long, 0-9-39.3.

CLASS B—George Lovell, 7-0-62.4; Jim Brenner, 6-1-50.3; Dennis Lloyd, 5-2-52.8; Wayne McCloskey, 4-3-41.9; Roy Teets, 2-5-40.2; Joe Belcavitch, 2-5-34.7; Dave Preston, 1-6-34.5; Ted Postupack, 1-6-31.6.

CLASS C—Al Yednock, 9-0-38.0; Wayne Brown, 7-2-33.9; Gene Oleski, 6-3-31.2; Wally Brown, 5-4-32.4; Bruce Corl, 4-5-31.6; Joe Shurmiatis, 3-6-27.1; Harold Becker, 3-6-27.0; Bernie Snyder, 3-6-26.7; Gerard Harris, 3-6-23.5; Bernie Wierbowski., 2-7-19.1.

CLASS D—Dick Kenney, 7-0-31.7; John Kehler, 6-1-26.9; Tom Baker, 5-2-28.0; Charles Reigles, 3-4-22.9; Bill Hanyon, 3-4-19.9; Don Wesley, 2-5-18.8; Ira Lichtman, 2-5-14.1; Steve Remetz, 0-7-6.2.

LADIES CLASS A—Charlotte Reigles, 5-0-60.2; Jean Long, 4-1-30.7; Susan Preston, 3-2-22.5; Sandy Maciejezak, 2-3-18.1; Norma McCurdy, 1-4-17.8.

NORWICH, CT. WARMUP OPEN-SAN. 27-88-019

CLASS A—N. Rioux, 7-1-62.5; A. Latouche, 7-1-55.8; W. Larkin, 5-3-55.2; P. Bergeron, 5-3-55.1; J. Festa, 4-4-55.0; C. Costello, 3-5-45.8; R. Worby, 2-6-45.0; G. Trabucchi, 2-6-52.2; T. Monigan, 1-7-48.3.

CLASS B—J. Hagan, 6-1-52.0; J. Moore, 4-3-44.5; W. Ryan, 4-3-42.0; E. Mancini, 3-4-44.9; K. Outlaw, 3-4-40.6; J. Rennie, 3-4-36.9; D. Maine, 3-4-31.9; A. Wayne, 2-5-34.4.

CLASS C—D. Colandene, 6-1-28.6; P. Kelly, 5-2-30.7; D. Nieto, 4-3-27.1; B. Crudo, 4-3-26.4; W. Fleming, 3-4-30.3; S. Wardwell, 3-4-21.8; M. Rix, 2-5-21.8; A. Jones, 1-6-17.2.

CLASS D—I. Stone, 7-0-31.4; D. Mercier, 5-2-29.7; I. Wardwell, 4-3-24.8; C. Suprenant, 4-3-21.2; P. Duff, 4-3-20.0; M. Scioletti, 2-5-20.1; K. Crosley, 1-6-24.1; S. Castleberry, 1-6-14.7.

GIRLS' CHAMPIONSHIP

					W	L	R	SP		%
Debby Bestul, WI					4	1	131	226		58.0
Alana Johnston, CN					4	1	118	262		45.0
Julie Walters, OH					3	2	116	234		49.6
Jennie Walters, OH					2	3	117	262		44.7
Celeste Polak, TX					2	3	108	252		42.9
Rebecca Manuelito, CA					0	5	92	240		38.3
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Rebecca Manuelito	41-25	27	SP 40 46	% 67.5 65.2	Jennie Wa		40-31	R 23 23	SP 48 62	47.9
Rebecca Manuelito Jennie Walters			40	67.5	Jennie Wa Julie Walte	iters	40-31 40-39	23	48	47.9
Rebecca Manuelito	41-25 40-18	27 30	40 46	67.5 65.2	Jennie Wa Julie Walte Rebecca M	ilters	40-31 40-39 40-24	23 23	48 62	47.9 37.1 42.3
Opponent Rebecca Manuelito	41-25 40-18 42-10	27 30 24	40 46 40	67.5 65.2 60.0	Jennie Walte Julie Walte Rebecca M Celeste Po	ilters	40-31 40-39 40-24 43-26	23 23 22	48 62 52	47.9 37.1 42.3 56.5 44.4

"GREEOTT GRABBER"

the ultimate ringer keeper



Soft temper 2lb. 8oz. 2lb. 9 oz.

Not JUST another horseshoe. The ultimate shock-absorbing, ringer saving design. Helps prevent loss of solidly hit ringers by rebounding.

Guaranteed against breakage for 1 year from date of purchase. Both shoes must be returned in original box.

\$28.00 pr. plus \$3.00 shipping, handling, to the 48 adjoining states. California residents add 6% tax. High grade steel casting. NOT cast iron. Send checks or money orders to:

"GREEOTT GRABBER" HORSESHOES c/o Steve Kynard, 1453 Spring Creek Dr. Woodland, CA 95695

Or from NHPA representatives



GIRLS' CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

3. JULIE WALTERS—OH			-					-	
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	0
Celeste Polak	42-18	27	44	62.4	Alana Johnston	31-40	20	48	41.
Alana Johnston	39-40	22	62	35.5	Debby Bestul	18-40	25	46	54.
Jennie Walters	32-40	19	54	35.2	Julie Walters		22	54	40.
Debby Bestul	42-28	28	46	60.9	Rebecca Manuelito		22	56	39.
Rebecca Manuelito	42-4	20	28	71.4	Celeste Polak	34-42	28	58	48.
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		116	234	49.6	WINS-2 LOSSES-3		117	262	44.
5. CELESTE POLAK—T	1000				6. REBECCA MANUEL				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	
Julie Walters	18-42	19	44	43.2	Debby Bestul		22	40	55.
Rebecca Manuelito	42-32	25	64	39.1	Celeste Polak		24	64	37.
Debby Bestul	10-42	13	40	32.5	Alana Johnston		18	52	34.
Alana Johnston	26-43	20	46	43.5	Jennie Walters		20	56 28	35. 28.
Jennie Walters	42-34	31	58	53.4		4-42	92	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
WINS-2 LOSSES-3		108	252	42.9	WINS-0 LOSSES-5		92	240	38.
		GIF	RLS'	CLA	SS A FINALS				
				2011.00	W L	R	SP	-	9
Julie Walters, OH					7 0	127	280		45.
Rebecca Manuelito, CA					5 2	94	280		33.
Sonya Hix, VA					5 2	78	280		27.
Michelle White, CA					41/2 21/2	79	280		28.
Nicole Saunders, CA					3 4	56	280		20.
Tonya Hix, VA					21/2 41/2	47	280		16.
Jaima Dalak TV			1000000		1 6	22	280		7.9
Jaime Polak, TX									
Kara Hix. VA					0 7	9	280		3.2
1. JULIE WALTERS—OH				-	0 7 2. REBECCA MANUELI		280		3.1
Kara Hix. VA		R	SP	. %		TO—CA Score	280 R	SP	3.2
1. JULIE WALTERS—OH	Score 59-1	R 17	SP 40	% 42.5	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix	Score 38-10	R 12	40	30.0
1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent	Score 59-1 64-6	R 17 24	SP 40 40	% 42.5 60.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14	R 12 14	40 40	% 30.0 35.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix. Jaime Polak Tonya Hix.	Score 59-1	R 17	SP 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14	R 12 14 15	40 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5
1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16	R 17 24 18 17	SP 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8	R 12 14 15 12	40 40 40 40	9/ 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0
Lara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17	R 17 24 18 17 15	SP 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30	R 12 14 15 12 15	40 40 40 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5
Ara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix. Jaime Polak Tonya Hix. Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26	R 12 14 15 12 15 9	40 40 40 40 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5
Lara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17	R 17 24 18 17 15	SP 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26	R 12 14 15 12 15	40 40 40 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5
Ara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix. Jaime Polak Tonya Hix. Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26	R 12 14 15 12 15 9	40 40 40 40 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5
Kara Hix, VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40	R 12 14 15 12 15 9	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5
1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 280	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.8 42.5
Ara Hix, VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127	SP 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 280	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6
Kara Hix, VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127	SP 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40	30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6
Ara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127	SP 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13	SP 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.6 42.5 33.6
Kara Hix, VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12	SP 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6 35.0 25.0 40.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8	SP 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 20.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6 35.0 25.0 40.0 30.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 20.0 25.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6 35.0 25.0 40.0 30.0 25.0
Kara Hix, VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito Mins-5 LOSSES-2	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8	SP 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 20.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julle Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.5 30.0 37.5 22.5 42.5 33.6 35.0 25.0 40.0 30.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 20.0 25.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	99 30.0 35.0 37.1 30.0 37.1 22.1 42.1 33.0 25.0 40.0 25.0 25.0
Kara Hix, VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White WINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS—Opponent	59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10 78	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 20.0 25.0	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	99 30.0 35.0 37.1 30.0 37.1 22.1 42.1 33.0 25.0 40.0 25.0 25.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito MiNS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Sonya Hix	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19 -CA Score 11-36	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10 78	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 42.5 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 20.0 27.9	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent Rebecca Manuelito	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score 10-38	R 12 14 15 12 15 19 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 79 R 4	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	9, 30.0 35.0 37.1 30.0 37.1 42.1 33.0 35.0 22.1 20.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 28.1
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito WINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19 -CA Score	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10 78 R 6 6 11	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 45.0 45.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 32.5 30.0 27.9	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent Rebecca Manuelito Sonya Hix	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score 10-38 20-29	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10 79	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	9, 30.0 35.1 37.3 30.0 37.2 22.2 42.2 33.1 25.1 40.0 25.1 28.1
Ara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White WINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Ronya Hix	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19 -CA Score 11-36 14-27 9-35	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10 78 R 6 11 2	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 45.0 37.5 35.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 20.0 27.9	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent Rebecca Manuelito Sonya Hix Julie Walters	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score 10-38 20-29 11-56	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10 79 R 4 7 5	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	9, 30.0 35.0 37.1 30.0 37.1 32.1 42.1 33.0 40.0 30.0 25.0 28.1 9, 10.0 10.0 17.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito MINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White MINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White Julie Walters	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19 -CA Score 11-36 14-27 9-35 16-37	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 10 78	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 45.0 55.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 20.0 27.9	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent Rebecca Manuelito Sonya Hix Julie Walters Michelle White	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score 10-38 20-29 11-56 27-27	R 12 14 15 12 15 19 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10 79 R 4 7 5 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	9, 35.0 35.1 37.1 30.0 37.1 22.1 42.1 33.1 42.1 25.0 25.0 10.0 17.1 12.1 25.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito MINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White WINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White Julie Walters Kara Hix Kara Hix Kara Hix	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19 -CA Score 11-36 14-27 9-35 16-37 34-5	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 12 8 10 78 R 6 6 11 2 11 7	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 45.0 45.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 27.9 % 15.0 27.5 5.0 27.5 5.0 27.5	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent Rebecca Manuelito Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ Julie Walters Michelle White Julie Walters Michelle White Jaime Polak	Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score 10-38 20-29 11-56 27-27 38-12	R 12 14 15 12 15 9 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10 79 R 4 7 5 10 11	40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 30.0 35.0 37.1 30.0 37.1 22.1 42.1 33.0 35.0 25.0 40.0 30.0 17.1 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0
Kara Hix. VA 1. JULIE WALTERS—OH Opponent Kara Hix Jaime Polak Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Sonya Hix Michelle White Rebecca Manuelito WINS-7 LOSSES-0 3. SONYA HIX—VA Opponent Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Kara Hix Jaime Polak Julie Walters Rebecca Manuelito MINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White MINS-5 LOSSES-2 5. NICOLE SAUNDERS— Opponent Sonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Michelle White Julie Walters	Score 59-1 64-6 58-11 37-16 30-17 26-19 40-19 Score 36-11 29-20 50-3 42-5 17-30 26-28 22-19 -CA Score 11-36 14-27 9-35 16-37	R 17 24 18 17 15 14 22 127 R 12 9 14 13 10 78	SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	% 42.5 60.0 45.0 45.0 55.0 55.0 45.4 % 30.0 22.5 35.0 20.0 27.9	2. REBECCA MANUELI Opponent Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Jaime Polak Kara Hix Michelle White Sonya Hix Julie Walters WINS-5 LOSSES-2 4. MICHELLE WHITE— Opponent Jaime Polak Kara Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Nicole Saunders Tonya Hix Rebecca Manuelito Julie Walters Sonya Hix WINS-4½ LOSSES-2½ 6. TONYA HIX—VA Opponent Rebecca Manuelito Sonya Hix Julie Walters Michelle White	TO—CA Score 38-10 27-14 51-14 47-8 25-30 28-26 19-40 CA Score 40-6 42-3 35-9 27-27 30-25 19-26 19-22 Score 10-38 20-29 11-56 27-27	R 12 14 15 12 15 19 17 94 R 14 9 8 10 16 12 10 79 R 4 7 5 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 280 SP 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	9, 30, 35, 37, 30, 37, 30, 37, 32, 42, 42, 33, 42, 35, 42, 20, 42, 30, 25, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40

GIRLS' CLASS A FINALS—(Continued)

7. JAIME POLAK—TX					8. KARA HIX—VA	A HIX—VA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	
Michelle White	6-40	3	40	7.5	Julie Walters	1-59	1	40	2.5	
Julie Walters	6-64	5	40	12.5	Michelle White	3-42	1	40	2.5	
Rebecca Manuelito	14-51	5	40	12.5	Sonva Hix	3-50	1	40	2.5	
Sonya Hix	5-42	1	40	2.5	Rebecca Manuelito	8-47	1	40	2.5	
Tonya Hix	12-38	1	40	2.5	Nicole Saunders	5-34	0	40	0.0	
Kara Hix	22-16	4	40	10.0	Jaime Polak	16-22	2	40	5.0	
Nicole Saunders	9-30	3	40	7.5	Tonya Hix	16-36	3	40	7.5	
WINS-1 LOSSES-6		22	280	7.9	WINS-0 LOSSES-7		9	280	3.2	

BOYS' CHAMPIONSHIP

	W	L	R	SP	%
Edward Neeb, CN	11	0	410	508	80.7
Steven Barnes, MO	8	3	463	620	74.7
Jim Walters, OH	8	3	421	572	73.6
Doug Macha, TX	8	3	416	574	72.5
Glenn Winthers, IA	7	4	415	598	69.4
Seth Maixner, OK	5	6	404	610	66.2
John Walters, OH	4	7	375	594	63.1
Kevin Kecskes, CA	4	7	355	588	60.4
Michael Davidson, OK	4	7	290	504	57.5
Brett Francis, MO	4	7	290	504	57.5
Tony Patton, FL	3	8	335	558	60.0
Alan Caldwell, MI	0	11	191	458	41.7

1. EDWARD NEEB-CN

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Glenn Winthers	43-13	34	42	81.0
Brett Francis	42-8	21	26	80.8
Tony Patton	42-11	38	46	82.6
John Walters	42-14	35	42	83.3
Alan Caldwell	41-1	27	32	84.4
Michael Davidson	40-11	36	48	75.0
Seth Maixner	40-31	51	64	79.7
Doug Macha	41-11	39	46	84.8
Steven Barnes	40-28	61	74	82.4
Jim Walters	40-16	41	52	78.8
Kevin Kecskes	41-9	27	36	75.0
WINS-11 LOSSES-0		410	508	80.7

2. STEVEN BARNES-MO

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Michael Davidson	41-17	36	46	78.3
Glenn Winthers	28-41	28	46	60.9
Brett Francis	45-18	30	42	71.4
Tony Patton	40-3	22	26	84.6
John Walters	42-33	39	56	69.6
Alan Caldwell	40-16	29	46	63.0
Doug Macha	42-31	96	110	87.3
Kevin Kecskes	41-16	39	50	78.0
Edward Neeb	28-40	57	74	77.0
Seth Maixner	39-40	39	58	67.2
Jim Walters	42-36	48	66	72.7
WINS-8 LOSSES-3		463	620	74.7

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Alan Caldwell	42-22	28	42	66.7
Michael Davidson	41-7	25	34	73.5
Glenn Winthers	41-18	52	62	83.9
Brett Francis	40-4	24	28	85.7
Tony Patton	41-32	45	66	68.2
John Walters	40-20	47	60	78.3
Kevin Kecskes	42-4	40	42	95.2
Seth Maixner	44-38	58	78	74.4
Doug Macha	8-40	22	42	52.4
Edward Neeb	16-40	34	52	65.4
Steven Barnes	36-42	46	66	69.7
WINS-8 LOSSES-3	HARWA	421	572	73.6

4.	DOUG	MACHA-	TX

T. DOUG MACTIN TA				_
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Brett Francis	40-19	35	52	67.3
Tony Patton	32-41	27	46	58.7
John Walters	40-13	27	34	79.4
Alan Caldwell	41-20	22	38	57.9
Michael Davidson	44-0	30	32	93.8
Glenn Winthers	40-18	42	50	84.0
Steven Barnes	31-42	91	110	82.7
Edward Neeb	11-41	29	46	63.0
Jim Walters	40-8	32	42	76.2
Kevin Kecskes	40-35	44	68	64.7
Seth Maixner	40-35	37	56	66.1
WINS-8 LOSSES-3		416	574	72.5

DOUGLAS COUNTY OPEN—ROSEBURG, OR.—SANC. 4-88-020

CLASS A—Ben Wiedrich, 7-1-74.6; Ridge Leggett, 6-2772.6; Lowell Davis, 5-2-68.8; Jerry Gorton, 4-3-59.4; Marge McLeod, 3-4-59.3; Barry Chapelle, 2-5-63.6; Phil Hendry, 1-6-56.6; Lee Wallace, 1-6-42.8.

CLASS B—Harold Hoffman, 5-1-48.2; Ray Meyer, 4-2-48.2; Connie Dearborn, 4-2-42.5; Len Christensen, 3-3-43.1; Marvin Tatchio, 2-4-39.1; Larry Flanary, 2-4-37.1; Roger Christianson, 1-5-37.7.

CLASS C—Denny Samples, 6-1-50.3; John Mikota, 5-2-44.7; Tom Williams, 4-2-42.9; Betty Tatchio, 3-3-47.2; Bob Fisher, 2-4-32.3; Grace Wenger, 1-5-33.7; Chuck Wenger, 1-5-27.4.

CLASS D—Lloyd Kilgore, 5-1-34.9; Harry Perry, 4-2-38.0; Carl Hiatt, 4-2-33.8; Janes Wear, 4-2-32.1; Irene Wiedrich, 2-4-32.7; O. D. McMillan, 2-4-32.4; Frank Moan, 0-6-22.8.

CLASS E—Rob Hendry, 8-1-29.7; Mary Mikle, 6-2-34.8; Barney Root, 6-2-30.4; Buck Sinyard, 4-3-34.3; Jim Wear, 3-4-28.0; Jean Hendry, 2-5-11.9; Bill Stoner, 1-6-18.9; Joe Grub, 0-7-13.4.

CLASS F—George McLeod, 5-2-25.0; Ed Ohman, 5-3-23.7; Rob Bishop, 4-3-18.9; Tim Sakraida, 3-3-24.8; F.A. Hendry, 3-3-18.8; Bill Johnson, 2-4-23.0; Charles Anderson, 1-5-18.5.

BOYS' CHAMPIONSHIP— (Continued)

Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	9
Edward Neeb	13-43	24	42	57.1	Tony Patton	42-26	34	50	68.0
Steven Barnes	41-28	32	46	69.6	John Walters	42-22	38	54	70.
Jim Walters	18-41	44	62	71.0	Alan Caldwell	41-27	20	38	52.
Kevin Kecskes	40-15	32	46	69.6	Michael Davidson	28-40	32	54	59.
Seth Maixner	41-35	43	64	67.2	Glenn Winthers	35-41	40	64	62.
Doug Macha	18-40	35	50	70.0	Brett Francis	45-4	22	30	73.
Tony Patton	42-27	37	54	68.5	Edward Neeb	31-40	48	64	75.0
Brett Francis	41-29	55	72	76.4	Jim Walters	38-44	56	78	71.
John Walters	42-36	42	62	67.7	Kevin Kecskes	26-41	40	64	62.
Alan Caldwell	41-6	21	28	75.0	Steven Barnes	40-39	38	58	65.
Michael Davidson	36-40	50	72	69.4	Doug Macha	35-40	36	56	64.
WINS-7 LOSSES-4		415	598	69.4	WINS-5 LOSSES-6		404	610	66.
7. JOHN WALTERS-OH					8. KEVIN KECSKES—CA	1			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	9
Kevin Kecskes	41-32	45	66	68.2	John Walters	32-41	43	66	65.
Seth Maixner	22-42	31	54	57.4	Alan Caldwell	41-24	19	40	47.
Doug Macha	13-40	18	34	52.9	Michael Davidson	41-39	43	68	63.
Edward Neeb	14-42	25	42	59.5	Glenn Winthers	15-40	25	46	54.
Steven Barnes	33-42	37	56	66.1	Brett Francis	41-28	32	50	64.1
Jim Walters	20-40	39	60	65.0	Tony Patton	34-40	31	58	53.4
Alan Caldwell	42-25	28	48	58.3	Jim Walters	4-42	27	42	64.
Michael Davidson	41-33	31	52	59.6	Steven Barnes	16-41	30	50	60.0
Glenn Winthers	36-42	41	62	66.1	Seth Maixner	41-26	45	64	70.
Brett Francis	33-40	38	60	63.3	Doug Macha	35-40	43	68	63.
Tony Patton	42-24	42	60	70.0	Edward Neeb	9-41	17	36	47.2
WINS-4 LOSSES-7		375	594	63.1	WINS-4 LOSSES-7		355	588	60.4
9. MICHAEL DAVIDSON-	-ок				10. BRETT FRANCIS-MC)			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Steven Barnes	17-41	28	46	60.9	Doug Macha	19-40	29	52	55.8
Jim Walters	7-41	14	34	41.2	Edward Neeb	8-42	9	26	34.6
Kevin Kecskes	39-41	42	68	61.8	Steven Barnes	18-45	21	42	50.0
Seth Maixner	40-28	36	54	66.7	Jim Walters	4-40	12	28	42.9
Doug Macha	0-44	16	32	50.0	Kevin Kecskes	28-41	27	50	54.0
Edward Neeb	11-40	26	48	54.2	Seth Maixner	4-45	9	30	30.0
Brett Francis	16-40	28	48	58.3	Michael Davidson	40-16	35	48	72.9
John Walters	33-41	28	52	53.8	Glenn Winthers	29-41	51	72	70.8
Alan Caldwell	43-23	38	62	61.3	Tony Patton	40-30	40	54	74.
Tony Patton	40-24	40	56	71.4	John Walters	40-33	39	60	65.0
Glenn Winthers	40-36	49	72	68.1	Alan Caldwell	40-23	18	42	42.9
WINS-4 LOSSES-7		345	572	60.3	WINS-4 LOSSES-7		290	504	57.5

BOYS' CHAMPIONSHIP—(Continued)

Stuart Bernett, WI Paul Kovach, CA
Howard Bryant, CA

11. TONY PATTON—FL		12. ALAN CALDWELL—MI								
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Seth Maixner	26-42	28	50	56.0	Jim Walters		22-42	22	42	52.4
Doug Macha	41-32	30	46	65.2	Kevin Kecsk	es	24-41	12	40	30.0
Edward Neeb	11-42	28	46	60.9		r	27-41	16	38	42.1
Steven Barnes	3-40	10	26	38.5		1	20-41	15	38	39.5
Jim Walters	32-41	41	66	62.1		ь	1-41	14	32	43.8
Kevin Kecskes	40-34	33	58	56.9		es	16-40	19	46	41.3
	27-42	32	54	59.3		S	25-42	23	48	47.9
Glenn Winthers			-				19-41	18	42	42.9
Alan Caldwell	41-19	26	42	61.9						
Brett Francis	30-40	36	54	66.7		idson	23-43	31	62	50.0
Michael Davidson	24-40	35	56	62.5		ers	6-41	10	28	35.7
John Walters	24-42	36	60	60.0	WINS-0 LC	3	23-40	11	42	26.2
WINS-3 LOSSES-8		335 BO	558 YS'	60.0 CLA	SS A FIN			131	450	41.
		Par			W	L	R	SP		9
James Ornelas, CA					4	1	109	200		54.
Brad Simmons, UT				at the	3	2	102	200		51.
Tom Hansen, WI					3	2	93	200		46.
Dave Cervantes, CA					2	3	92	200		46.
Travis Seal, CA					2	3	91	200		
Jose Osborn, TX					1	4	71	200		45. 35.
1. JAMES ORNELAS—C	CA				2. BRAD	SIMMONS—U	т			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	9
Tom Hansen	50-12	19	40	47.5	Travis Seal		20-43	16	40	40.
Brad Simmons	28-21	23	40	57.5	James Orne	elas	21-28	21	40	52.
Dave Cervantes	22-28	22	40	55.0		n	44-10	21		
Travis Seal	45-3	25	40	62.5	Davis Carva	II			40	52.
Inco Ochorn	32-17	20	40			intes	37-27	22	40	55.0
Jose Osborn WINS-4 LOSSES-1	32-17	100000		50.0	-	n	33-20	22	40	55.0
3. TOM HANSEN—WI		109	200	54.5	WINS-3 LO	CERVANTES—	CA	102	200	51.0
	-					JENYANIES-				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	9
James Ornelas	12-50	10	40	25.0		n		22	40	55.0
Dave Cervantes	29-27	18	40	45.0		n	27-29	17	40	42.5
Travis Seal	39-20	26	40	65.0	James Orne	elas	28-22	24	40	60.0
Jose Osborn	32-20	21	40	52.5	Brad Simmo	ons	27-37	19	40	47.
Brad Simmons	20-33	18	40	45.0	Travis Seal		17-33	10	40	25.
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		93	200	46.5	WINS-2 LO			92	200	46.0
5. TRAVIS SEAL—CA					6. JOSE	OSBORN—TX				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	0
Brad Simmons	43-20	24	40	60.0	Dave Cerva	antes	9-41	12	40	30.
Jose Osborn	27-28	17	40	42.5				17	40	42.
Tom Hansen	20-39	20	40	50.0	Brad Simm	ons	10-44	10	40	25.
James Ornelas	3-45	13	40	32.5		n		16	40	40.
Dave Cervantes	33-17	17	40	42.5		elas	17-32	16	40	40.
WINS-2 LOSSES-3	00-17	91	200	45.5	WINS-1 L		17-32	71	200	35.
WING-2 LOGGLG-3					SS B FIN			/1	200	33.
					w	L	R	SF	,	
B. J. Terry, CA					4	1	59	200		29.
Karl Hix, VA					3	2	58	200		29
Silvio Modena, CA					3	2	46	200		23.
Character Daniel Mill					2	2	46	200	1	23

2

3

3

2

200

200

200

46

51

23.0

25.5

18.0

BOYS' FINALS—(Continued)

1. B. J. TERRY—CA	433198				2. KARL HIX—VA	He was		23	
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Karl Hix	25-30	11	40	27.5	B. J. Terry	30-25	13	40	32.5
Paul Kovach	30-23	13	40	32.5	Silvio Modena	20-23	7	40	17.5
Stuart Bernett	31-21	13	40	32.5	Howard Bryant	31-11	13	40	32.5
Silvio Modena	28-19	11	40	27.5	Stuart Bernett	44-8	15	40	37.5
Howard Bryant	26-25	11	40	27.5	Paul Kovach	15-29	10	40	25.0
WINS-4 LOSSES-1		59	200	29.5	WINS-3 LOSSES-2		58	200	29.0
3. SILVIO MODENA—CA		27011			4. STUART BERNETT—	WI			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Howard Bryant	18-16	7	40	17.5	Paul Kovach	27-19	13	40	32.5
Karl Hix	23-20	9	40	22.5	Howard Bryant	18-16	8	40	20.0
Paul Kovach	41-20	16	40	40.0	B. J. Terry	21-31	9	40	22.5
B. J. Terry	19-28	8	40	20.0	Karl Hix	8-44	6	40	15.0
Stuart Bennett	15-24	6	40	15.0	Silvio Modena	24-15	10	40	25.0
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		46	200	23.0	WINS-3 LOSSES-2	N - 1 -	46	200	23.0
5. PAUL KOVACH—CA					6. HOWARD BRYANT—C	A			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent	Score	R	SP	%
Stuart Bernett	19-27	10	40	25.0	Silvio Modena	16-18	5	40	12.5
B. J. Terry	23-30	9	40	22.5	Stuart Bernett	16-18	7	40	17.5
Silvio Modena	20-41	9	40	22.5	Karl Hix	11-31	9	40	22.5
Howard Bryant	36-15	9	40	22.5	Paul Kovach	15-36	5	40	12.5
Karl Hix	29-15	14	40	35.0	B. J. Terry	25-26	10	40	25.0
WINS-2 LOSSES-3		51	200	25.5	WINS-0 LOSSES-5	BRUGGE	36	200	18.0

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION TOURNAMENTS

RON SIMMONS "C"—ORANGE—SANCTION 25-88-031—GROUP A—Jack Edwards, San Diego, 5-0-52.0; Bob Thompson, Orange, 4-1-58.4; Jim Eozzo, Los Angeles, 2-3-52.4; Howard Larson, Lakewood, 2-3-47.6; Chuck Dunning, Corona, 2-3-43.2; Art Amador, Los Alamitos, 0-5-29.6.

G. KLOEPPER OPEN—FONTANA—SANCTION 25-88-032—GROUP 1—Don Gregson, Crestline, 7-1-67.8; Jim Atwell, Los Angeles, 6-2-60.0; Ed Arionus, Westminster, 5-2-60.8; Norm Cone, Huntington Beach, 3-4-50.4; Dean Zollinger, Hemet, 3-4-47.2; Lupe Henry, Cathedran City, 2-5-45.0; Jack Edwards, San Diego, 2-5-36.4; Jo Vickery, Riverside, 1-6-33.9.

GROUP 2—Tom Buck, Sun City,6-1-45.7; Gerry Kloepfer, Yucaipa, 5-2-40.3; Dave Cervantes, Lake Mathews, 4-3-43.6; Lois Clark, Riverside, 4-3-42.5; Angelo Suppa, Canoga Park, 4-3-33.9; Jum Mudra Sr., Westminster, 2-5-42.9; Buck Lawing, Yucaipa, 3-4-33.3; Dan Cervantes, Lake Mathews, 0-7-30.4.

GROUP 3—Doc Hildebrand, Utah, 6-1-27.5; Loris Hauck, Simi Valley, 4-3-32.2; Mike Fill, Fontana, 4-3-30.6; Bill Costello, Fontana, 4-3-29.3; Loretta Chaney, Monrovia, 4-3-28.9; Smokie Anderson, Burbank, 3-4-38.2; Bear Dunlap, Fontana, 3-4-33.7; Harold Finnern, Long Beach 0-7-19.3.

GROUP 4—Ed Holden, Twenty Nine Palms, 7-0-32.1; Larry Ford, San Diego, 5-2-33.5; Dottie Suppa, Canoga Park, 4-3-31.7; Joe Holder, Yucaipa, 4-3-26.7; Ralph Clark, Riverside (30 ft.), 3-4-28.5; Bob Barela, Barstow, 3-4-28.6; Leo Mijares, Duarte, 2-5-21.5; Gene Bolsinger, Simi Valley, 0-7-18.2.

GROUP 5—Bud Billing, Palm Springs, 4-1-20.0; Chris Cervantes, Lake Mathews, 3-2-22.7; Art Binkley, Barstow, 3-2-20.0; Louis Arellano, Barstow, 3-2-18.0; Earl Hogan, San Bernardino, 2-3-12.3; Tom Nation, Hemet, 0-5-8.0.

JESSE GONZALES OPEN—SAN LUIS OBISPO—SANCTION 25-88-033—GROUP 1—Don Gregson, Crestline, 6-1-69.1; Jeff Williams, San Jose, 5-2-76.6; Walter R. Williams, Stockton, 4-2-72.8; Jesse Gonzales, Los Osos, 3-3-67.0; Billie S. Pennington, San Jose, 2-4-65.7; Jonathan Williams, Fontana, 1-5-61.5; Diane Lopez, Lompoc, 1-5-61.1.

GROUP 2—Walt Robinson, NCHPA, 8-1-47.2; Fred Lavett, Seaside, 7-2-59.4; Walt Foreman, NCHPA, 5-3-47.2; Helen Vigil, Santa Maria, 5-3-45.3; Orval Madden, Santa Maria, 4-4-45.6; Sal Ybarra, Santa Barbara, 4-4-40.6; Mary Fumia, Santa Maria, 2-6-42.8; Bill Harris, San Luis Obispo, 1-7-35.3; Pat Brady, Torrance, 1-7-32.2.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA—(Continued)

GROUP 3—Larry Garman, Lemore, 6-0-39.4; Don Weaver, Nevada, 4-2-37.2; Kent Klardie, Bakersfield, 4-2-29.1; Spencer Tidd, Santa Barbara, 3-3-37.6; Jim Ornelas, NCHPA, 3-3-33.2; Doc Hildebrand, Utah, 1-5-29.3; Al Brown, Bakersfield, 0-6-28.3.

GROUP 4—Floyd Escobar, Santa Barbara, 7-1-27.8; Jean Ornelas, NCHPA, 5-3-34.1; Jean Robinson, NCHPA, 5-3-28.8; Les Stewart, Hemet, 5-3-25.6; Linda Rodriguez, Santa Barbara, 4-4-31.9; Ernie Calzada, Santa Barbara, 3-5-26.3; Art Hernandez, Santa Barbara, 3-5-25.3; Doug Soward, Lake Isabella, 2-6-27.5; Ron Brown, Bakersfield, 2-6-23.4.

GROUP 5—George Kolach, Paso Robles, 6-0-30.7; Bill Carroll, Bakersfield, 4-2-30.3; Harold Redding, Burbank, 4-2-28.0; Fred Robles, Santa Barbara, 4-2-22.0; Steve Ornelas, NCHPA, 2-4-18.3; Ray Keohen, San Luis Obispo, 1-5-16.0; Jerry Wilson, Bakersfield, 0-6-13.0.

GROUP 6—Camille Bruster, Wofford Heights, 5-1-33.7; Pat Nulton, San Luis Obispo, 4-2-21.0; Ernie Knorp, Goleta, 3-3-20.7; Gene Medzyk, San Luis Obispo, 3-3-20.0; Elmer Landis, Bakersfield, 3-3-18.3; Al Fumia, Santa Maria, 2-4-14.7; Edith Soward, Lake Isabella, 1-5-11.3.

S.L.O. OPEN—S.L.O.—SANCTION 25-08-034—GROUP 1—Walter R. Williams, Stockton, 6-0-84.3; Diane Lopez, Lompoc, 5-1-75.7; Jesse Gonzales, Los Osos, 4-2-79.2; Don Gregson, Crestline, 3-3-66.8; Jeff Williams, San Jose, 2-4-72.0; Jonathan Williams, Fontana, 1-5-54.5; Billie S. Pennington, San Jose, 0-6-64.1.

GROUP 2—Norm Cone, Huntington Beach, 6-0-60.1; Walt Robinson, NCHPA, 3-3-50.0; Helen Vigil, Santa Maria, 3-3-46.2; Mary Fumia, Santa Maria, 3-3-43.8; Pat Brady, Torrance, 3-3-40.7; Orval Madden, Santa Maria, 2-4-43.6; Walt Foreman, NCHPA, 1-5-39.5.

GROUP 3—Jim Ornelas, NCHPA, 6-1-39.1; Larry Garman, Lemoore, 5-2-40.9; Bill Harris, San Luis Obispo, 5-2-36.6; Kent Klardie, Bakersfield, 4-3-37.7; Ralph Stegner, San Luis Obispo, 3-4-35.1; Don Weaver, Nevada, 2-5-36.3; Doc Hildebrand, Utah, 2-5-28.9; Joe Sadowski, 1-6-35.7.

GROUP 4—Floyd Brown, Exeter, 5-1-34.7; Art Hernandez, Santa Barbara, 4-2-30.7; Jean Ornelas, NCHPA, 3-2-32.0; Mike Gensler, Goleta, 3-2-26.4; Ernie Calzada, Santa Barbara, 1-4-22.4; Shana O'Conner, Visalia, 0-5-26.4.

GROUP 5—Linda Rodriguez, Santa Barbara, 5-0-37.2; Bill Carroll, Bakersfield, 4-1-29.2; Harold Redding, Burbank, 3-2-23.2; Steve Orlenas, NCHPA, 2-3-16.8; Jerry Wilson, Bakersfield, 1-4-14.8; Ray Keohen, San Luis Obispo, 0-5-18.0.

GROUP 6—Elmer Landis, Bakersfield, 4-1-17.2; Fred Robles, Santa Barbara, 3-2-21.2; Pat Nulton, San Luis Obispo, 3-2-20.4; Al Fumia, Santa Maria, 3-2-13.2; Ernie Knorp, Goleta, 2-3-25.2; Gene Medzyk, San Luis Obispo, 0-5-16.0.

TOURNAMENT ACTION IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

JOHN HAGERMAN OPEN W % MIX—SONOMA COUNTY—SANC. 26-88-027—GROUP A—Verdan Zelmar, 7-1-50.5; Yvonne Mauzey, 6-2-58.0; Marthe Dunn, 4-3-52.0; Mate McBride, 4-3-50.5; Earl Kynard, 4-3-47.1; Jack Fravel, 2-5-48.5; Chuck Farrell, 1-67-45.1; Marty Dunn, 1-6-39.7.

GROUP A-2—Ken Woolery, 6-1-40.8; Vern Gosney, 4-3-41.4; Pat Harmon, 4-3-41.4; Barak Wright, 4-3-37.7; George Greeott, 3-4-33.4; Jo Carver, 3-4-33.1; Floyd Edwards, 2-5-28.5; Gail Sluys, 2-5-26.2.

GROUP A-3—Harry Martinelli, 7-0-37.4; Norm Hagey, 5-2-36.0; John Morgan, 4-3-24.8; Wes Seidner, 3-4-30.5; Ray Beardall, 3-4-27.4; Marv Werner, 3-4-26.0; Irwin Malik, 3-4-20.5; John Saxby, 0-7-15.7.

GROUP A-4—John Hagerman, 5-0-31.2; Roger McKeay, 4-1-27.6; Ceres Perry, 3-2-21.6; Casey Sluys, 1-4-18.8; Bill Fulwider, 1-4-17.2; Gene Ferche, 1-4-15.6.

GROUP A-5—Tony Twiddy, 4-0-15.5; Roy Heyden, 3-1-9.5; Floyd Hull, 1-3-11.0; Eldon Bryhan, 1-3-7.5; Terri Brady, 1-3-5.0.

OPEN WIJ—INDIAN VALLEY—SANC. 28-88-045—GROUP A-1—Don Williams, 6-1-60.2; Richard McCutcheon, 5-2-55.1; Jack Sorg, 4-3-54.8; Foster Kenton, 4-3-54.2; Juke Basham, 4-3-50.0; Dick Hucke, 2-5-48.5; Otis Hubbard, 2-5-42.0; Lee Van Dalsen, 1-6-44.8.

GROUP A-2—George Gillett, 5-1-44.6; Joe White, 4-2-37.3; Bud Grisaffi, 3-3-44.0; Don Gore, 3-3-35.0; Don Purdum, 2-4-39.3; Jay Bush, 2-4-36.6; Fred Siemucha, 2-4-36.3.

GROUP A-3—Bill Arnold, 5-1-34.6; Cas Bower, 4-2-34.0; Harry Slentz, 4-3-33.3; Jerry Smith, 2-4-35.3; Thermon Watkins, 2-4-33.6; Jim Long, 2-4-31.3; Roy Parker, 2-4-26.6.

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA—(Continued)

GROUP A-4—R. J. Thomas, 7-0-38.5; Roy Deffner, 6-1-38.5; Gordon Brown, 5-2-28.5; Earl Wood, 3-4-24.2; Lee Henninger, 3-4-20.5; Leo Wanner, 2-5-20.0; Norm Herring, 1-6-18.5; Bob Lloyd, 1-6-17.7.

GROUP A-5—Elmer Wilson, 6-0-15.3; Ray Moss, 4-2-20.0; Alex Yandel, 4-2-19.3; Alvis Kirby, 4-2-11.3; Glen Rains, 2-4-15.0; Barry Evans, 1-5-16.3; Roger Curtis, 0-6-6.3.

GROUP W-1—Fuey Herring, 5-2-44.2; Marilyn Brown, 4-3-46.8; Annette Williams, 3-3-35.6; Lillian Lloyd, 1-5-36.3.

GROUP W-2—Janeen Curtis, 5-2-31.4; Susie Rains, 4-3-27.1; Charlene Mullen, 3-3-26.3; Delanne Moss, 1-5-26.3.

GROUP W-3—Judy Cook, 7-2-19.1; Char Sorg, 6-3-11.1; Toni Hilpert, 4-4-14.6; Joann Wanner, 2-6-17.1: Mary Yandel, 2-6-14.3.

OPEN W/J—INDIAN VALLEY—TOURN. #2—SANC. 28-88-045—GROUP A-1— *Juke Basham, 7-1-57.7; Carl Newsom, 6-2-57.2; Dick Hucke, 4-3-54.5; Richard McCutcheon, 3-4-57.1; Otis Hubbard, 3-4-46.0; Don Purdum, 3-4-42.2; Jack Sorg, 2-5-48.0; Bud Grisaffi, 1-6-46.5.*

GROUP A-2—Doyle Palmer, 7-0-47.7; George Gillett, 6-1-40.8; Harry Slentz, 3-4-38.0; Robert Gillett, 3-4-38.0; Don Gore, 3-4-34.0; Joe White, 2-5-38.8; Fred Siemucha, 2-5-33.7; Jay Bush, 2-5-30.2.

GROUP A-3—Thermon Watkins, 7-0-37.7; R. J. Thomas, 5-2-31.7; Jim Long, 5-2-28.2; Bill Arnold, 4-3-36.0; Roy Deffner, 3-4-31.4; Bill Dise, 2-5-30.2; Roy Parker, 1-6-28.8; Laurel Fisher, 1-6-21.1.

GROUP A-4—Lee Henninger, 7-0-29.7; John Rilea, 6-1-30.5; Fred Jones, 4-3-24.0; Lee Hardy, 3-4-22.8; Earl Wood, 2-5-25.7; Gordon Brown, 2-5-24.2; Mark Rilea, 2-5-22.2; Norm Herring, 2-5-20.5.

GROUP A-5—Elmer Wilson, 5-1-18.3; Leo Wanner, 4-2-19.3; Bob Lloyd, 3-3-21.6; Paul Phares, 3-3-18.3; Alex Yandel, 3-3-15.3; Glen Rains, 2-4-10.3; Roger Curtis, 1-5-9.0.

GROUP W-1—Marilyn Brown, 6-1-46.8; Fuey Herring, 5-2-39.7; Susie Rains, 2-4-26.3; Janeen Curtis, 0-6-25.0.

GROUP W-2—Mary Yandel, 6-2-13.7; Judy Cook, 5-3-16.2; Char Sorg, 5-3-10.0; Toni Hovland, 4-4-13.4; Sandy Wilson, 0-8-3.1.

HENN CAPP MEMORIAL OPEN—W/J % MIX—YUBA SUTTER—SANC. 28-88-038—GROUP A-1—Pete Manitone, 6-0-68.3; Emmett Schroeder, 4-2-51.3; Leonard Rood, 3-3-58.3; Herb Rushing, 3-3-52.3; Monte Latino, 3-3-51.6; Joe Colt, 1-5-48.6; Don Williams, 1-5-48.3.

GROUP A-2—Gerald Hollman, 5-1-51.0; Carl Newsom, 4-2-52.0; Otis Hubbard, 3-3-46.0; Juke Basham, 3-3-45.6; Mike Martinez, 3-3-36.6; Jack Fravel, 2-4-45.0; Gary Dillin, 1-5-40.0.

GROUP A-3—Owen Davis, 6-1-44.2; Don Purdum, 5-2-43.1; Bud Grisaffi, 4-2-40.3; Annette Williams, 3-3-38.0; Barak Wright, 2-4-38.0; John Sylvester, 2-4-35.6; Rosalie Tucker, 0-6-31.6.

GROUP A-4—Gail Cook, 5-1-31.3; Terry Wood, 4-2-34.6; Jim Long, 4-2-28.0; Lee Oliver, 3-3-33.3; R. J. Thomas, 3-3-31.0; Charles McDonald, 2-4-30.3; Becky Wod, 0-6-26.0.

GROUP A-5—Fred Jones, 6-2-32.1; Bill Dise, 6-3-29.7; Chick White, 5-3-23.4; Larry King, 4-3-32.5; Mel Long Sr., 4-3-30.8; Bruce Tucker, 2-5-28.0; Ralph Morrison, 2-5-18.5; Mike Yandel, 1-6-22.8.

GROUP A-6—Alma Davis, 6-1-25.7; Alex Yandel, 5-2-21.7; Paul Phares, 5-2-16.0; Dave Neifert, 4-3-14.2; Larry Thorsen, 3-4-19.7; Les Hewston, 3-4-14.5; Jim Kyker, 1-6-9.4; Phyllis Seelye, 1-6-8.2.

GROUP A-7—Enid Fisher, 6-2-20.5; Levi Wood,6-3-14.8; Bill Botelli, 5-3-17.8; Lou Gayet, 4-3-20.5; Leigh Graves, 3-4-20.2; Delmer Seelye, 3-4-14.5; Donny Williams, 2-5-10.2; George Barth, 1-6-8.0.

4TH ANNUAL WOMEN'S TOURNAMENT—TRI-VALLEY—SANC. 28-88-039—GROUP W-1—Marisela Mauricio, 5-0-61.2; Billie Sue Pennington, 4-1-68.0; Marthe Dunn, 3-2-50.0; Paige Pennington, 2-3-41.2; Marilyn Brown, 1-4-48.4; Betty Forbes, 0-5-44.4.

GROUP W-2—Genevieve Lavett, 5-1-43.0; Jo Carver, 5-2-38.8; Lillian Lloyd, 4-2-32.3; Josephine Charley, 2-3-38.4; Elsie Reeser, 1-4-33.6; Sandy Kolberg, 0-5-15.6.

GROUP W-3—Marilyn Clark, 5-0-21.6; Char Sorg, 4-1-18.0; Debbie Wilfon, 2-3-18.0; Cathie Loucks, 2-3-17.6; Joann Wanner, 1-4-17.6; Shirley Jamieson, 1-4-12.8.

"C" OPEN—SENIOR HANDICAP—SHASTA—SANC. 28-88-040—GROUP C-1—Chuck Seal, 5-1-45.0; Bud Grisaffi, 4-2-41.6; George Gillett, 4-2-41.3; Otis Hubbard, 3-3-42.3; Gerald Hollman, 3-3-38.3; Gary Dillon, 2-4-40.6; Sandy Allen, 0-6-25.3.

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA—(Continued)

GROUP C-2—Bill Arnold, 5-0-38.4; Jim Long, 4-1-37.6; John Sylvester, 3-2-33.6; Bill Terry, 2-3-37.6; Jerry Smith, 1-4-30.8; Bob Green, 0-5-27.2.

GROUP C-3—John Rilea, 5-0-32.8; R. J. Thomas, 4-1-24.8; Bill Dise, 3-2-37.2; Reese Cathey, 2-3-24.4; Marv Stapp, 1-4-25.2; Bob Chambers, 0-5-18.8.

GROUP C-4—Mel Solberg, 5-0-25.6; Lee Henninger, 4-1-20.8; Henry Clark, 3-2-12.8; Lee Hardy, 2-3-14.8; Tim Hettinger, 1-4-14.8; Walt Thomas, 0-5-4.0.

GROUP SRS-1—Leonard Rood, 5-1-56.3; Lou Fontaine, 4-2-51.3; Emmett Schroeder, 2-3-54.8; Jack Sorg, 2-3-53.6; Max Vice, 2-3-34.8; Willie Bradford, 1-4-41.6.

GROUP SRS-2—Chick White, 5-0-34.0; Fred Jones, 3-2-30.0; Stan Haught, 2-3-33.2; Roy Thomas, 2-3-28.4; Jim Keys, 2-3-26.8; Art Yount, 1-4-24.4.

GROUP SRS-3—Dwain Hettinger, 5-0-30.4; Ed Starsiak, 4-1-28.8; Phil Fisher, 3-2-14.8; George Larson, 2-3-12.0; Bob Lloyd, 1-4-26.0; Bill Padgett, 0-5-3.2.

WASHINGTON STATE ASSOCIATION TOURN. ACTION

CLASS A—Ron Dixon, Ferndale, 6-1-62.5; Leonard Weisdepp, Lacey, 5-2-56.3; Dick Lee, Seattle, 4-3-57.2; Elaine Weisdepp, Lacey, 4-3-55.2; Ray Sexton, Hoquiam, 4-3-54.7; Leo Rollick, Seattle, 3-4-51.2; Bob Clark, Port Orchard, 2-5-49.7; Joe Hardie, Spanaway, 0-7-42.5.

CLASS B—Barney Heller, Everett, 6-1-49.7; Frank Easterly, Olympia, 5-2-59.3; Bob Hughes, Burley, 4-3-48.0; Jack Bush, Marysville, 3-4-49.8; Cecil Channing, Bonney Lake, 3-4-46.0; Lloyd Reyklin, New Westminster, BC, 3-4-45.5; Les Lingel, Marysville, 2-5-45.3; Ken Kuper, Spanaway, 2-5-40.4.

CLASS C—Frank Rogers, Bellingham, 6-1-41.4; Bart Barber, Anacortes, 5-2-42.2; Bob Slaker, Hemet, CA, 5-2-39.6; Les Buchert, Seattle, 3-4-38.4; Larry Lowitz, Seattle, 3-4-33.3; George Richards, Edmonds, 2-5-37.1; Cyril Kitchen, Tacoma, 2-5-36.4; Arnold Lunnum, Edmonds, 2-5-32.8.

CLASS D—Bob Hoerner, Tacoma, 7-0-50.6; Walt Weik, Spanaway, 6-1-55.1; Stanley Garrett, Omak. 5-2-43.9; Norman Hansen, seattle, 4-3-47.0; Mac Huson, Seattle, 3-4-32.5; George Rachel, Everett. 2-5-37.7; Ray Mohre, Edmonds, 1-6-30.0; Bill Van Egdom, Lynden, 0-7-23.2.

CLASS E—Gene Beach, Marysville, 7-1-38.8; Orlean Clinton, Seattle, 6-2-36.7; Ted Bostwick, Sequim, 5-2-32.7; Don Naas, Mt. Vernon, 4-3-26.9; El Day, Blaine, 3-4-25.8; Betty Reyklin, New Westminster, BC, 2-5-26.9; Howard Oberton, Renton, 1-6-26.1; Wendell Roberts, Port Orchard, 1-6-24.0.

CLASS F—John Kronholm, Burlington, 7-0-32.0; Len Huson, Seattle, 6-1-29.6; Dick Oldfield, Mt. Vernon, 4-3-25.0; Glen Titchenal, Seattle, 3-4-20.1; CA Pappy Radfoed, Silverdale, 3-4-17.9; Dennis Hardie, Spanaway, 2-5-18.3; Lenny Richards, Spanaway, 2-5-17.6; Tom Roberts, California, 1-6-11.5.

HURRICANE RIDGE OPEN—PORT ANGELES—SAN. 4-88-039—CLASS A—Art Sperber, Bremerton, 6-1-70.2; Elaine Weisdepp, Lacey, 5-2-58.3; Gordon Butts, Victoria, B.C., 4-3-58.6; Mike Thorniley, Olympia, 4-3-57.0; Jon Reeves, Victoria, B.C., 3-4-54.6; Ray Sexton, Hoquiam, 2-5-49.7; Len Weisdepp, Lacey, 2-5-46.9; Dan Leary, Steilacoom, 2-65-44.1.

CLASS B—Terry Collins, Port Angeles, 6-1-49.4; Jack Bush, Marysville, 5-2-53.5; Barney Heller, Everett, 5-2-45.5; Bob Hughes, Burley, 4-3-42.7; Wayne Salmon, Victoria, B.C., 4-3-41.1; Art Hart, Gig Harbor, 2-5-31.2; Cyril Kitchen, Tacoma, 1-6-33.2; Bob Pederson, Seattle, 1-6-33.0.

CLASS C—Ted Bostwick, Sequim, 5-2-27.0; John Kronholm, Burlington, 5-2-31.1; Orlean Clinton. Seattle, 4-3-29.6; Howard Oberton, Renton, -3-28.9; Wendell Roberts, Port Orchard, 4-3-25.9; EL Day. Blaine, 3-4-23.9; Glen Titchenal, Seattle, 2-5-19.0; Ralph Carter, San Barnardino, CA, 1-6-21.3.

CLASS D—Janie Eldridge, Port Angeles, 6-0-30.2; TomRoberts, California, 5-1-17.0; Pappy Radford, Silverdale, 4-2-16.9; Larry Lewis, Bremerton, 3-3-18.7; Carol Sperber, Bremerton, 2-4-13.9; Frank Miribelli, Port Angeles, 1-5-4.7; David Meyers, Port Angeles, 0-6-8.4.

BLUE MOUNTAIN OPEN—WALLA WALLA, WA.—SANC. 4-88-041—CLASS A—Dean Curry, Lewiston, ID, 7-1-58.4; Howard Abbott, Pendleton, OR, 6-2-48.3; Mel Page, Walla Walla, 5-2-47.3; Mo McNally, Richland, 4-3-42.1; Ruth Welsch, Spokane, 3-4-42.1; Bill Rust, Walla Walla, 2-5-38.3; Vey Allen, Benton City, 2-5-36.5; Howard Brightman, Kennewick, 0-7-36.2.

CLASS B—Gene Kopta, Milton-Freewater, OR, 6-2-36.2; Leonard Mayfield, Walla Walla, 5-3-39.3; Helen Watson, Spokane, 4-3-37.8; Bob Ives, Kennewick, 4-3-35.5; Skip Schilperoort, Sunnyside, 3-4-

WASHINGTON—(Continued)

36.4; Ed Welsch, Spokane, 3-4-32.7; Hannay Foley, Nine Mile Falls, 2-5-37.5; Doc Cresci, Walla Walla, 2-5-35.5.

CLASS C—Ken Carvo, Yakima, 6-1-39.9; Glen Quinley, Richland, 5-2-41.9; Rick Rebman, Hermiston, OR, 5-2-39.5; Ed Lovejoy, Milton-Freewater, OR, 4-3-31.6; Mel Sherbert, Battleground, 2-5-36.1; Ed Ludwig Jr., Spokane, 2-5-35.5; Gene Mitchell, Hermiston, OR, 2-5-32.4; Shirley O'Brien, Spokane, 2-5-23.7.

CLASS D—Michelle Ives, Kennewick, 7-0-39.7; Cal O'Dell, Walla Walla, 5-2-31.7; A. J. Eichorn, Walla Walla, 4-3-31.0; Chuck O'Brien, Spokane, 3-4-31.6; Myron Buchert, Deer Creek, ID, 3-4-27.2; Patsy McNally, Richland, 3-4-25.9; Pat Quinn, Kennewick, 3-4-24.8; Ira Brickey, Kennewick, 0-7-23.2.

CLASS E—Virgil Brayette, Clarkston, 6-1-25.6; Darwin Platz, Spokane, 5-2-25.7; Bob Rebman, Hermiston, OR, 4-3-28.4; Chuck Holden, Spokane, 4-3-26.3; Leonard Sexton, Kennewick, 3-4-25.9; Bardel Van Donge, Walla Walla, 3-4-24.1; Monty Gregg, Pasco, 3-4-19.9; Larry Covey, Richland, 0-7-17.8.

CLASS F—Mike Widner, Walla Walla, 4-1-21.3; Ed Ludwig Sr., Pasco, 3-2-27.2; Ken O'Hair, Kennewick, 3-2-25.6; Dave Oman, Walla Walla, 3-2-22.5; Ross Watson, Spokane, 1-4-13.5; Don Newhall, Bickleton, 1-4-13.3.

E. SIERRA CALIF.—BISHOP OPEN, #1—SANC. 25-88-072

A-1 DIVISON—Tom McQueen, Bishop, 7-1-56.9; Darryl Lamoureaux, Boron, 6-2-51.6; Sallie Cline, Bishop, 4-3-43.2; Dorothy Yeager, Bishop, 1-6-33.9.

A-2 DIVISION—Bob Morris, Bishop, 5-2-45.5; Gary Emerick, Bishop, 3-4-36.5; Les McConnohie, Hemet, 2-5-38.8; Alan Steele, Crowley Lake, 1-6-30.0.

B DIVISION—Bill Yeager, Bishop, 6-1-34.6; Bill Perry, Bishop, 5-2-34.0; Becky Manuelito, Bishop, 4-2-35.0; George Jeff, Bishop, 4-2-29.8; Harris Riggins, Sr., Bishop, 1-5-28.0; Victor Lamoureaux, Boron, 1-5-26.9; Daniel Jeff, Bishop, 1-5-21.3.

C DIVISION—Nancy Lamoureaux, Boron, 5-1-22.3; Betty McQueen, Bishop, 4-2-34.0; Gayle Oglesby, Bishop, 4-2-28.6; John Green, Boron, 4-2-21.0; David Lamoureaux, Boron, 2-4-17.0; Jolene Gustie, Bishop, 1-5-19.0; Ray Hailey, Bishop, 1-5-18.3.

D DIVISION—Dennis Lamoureaux, Bakersfield, 5-1-28.7; Mike Story, Bishop, 4-2-23.3; Bob Moss, Bishop, 3-3-16.2; Greg Davenport, Bishop, 0-6-12.5.

E DIVISION—Steve Johnston, Bishop, 6-0-18.7; Wilma Butterbredt, Bishop, 4-2-14.1; Bruce Butterbredt, Bishop, 1-5-7.5; Steve Garcia, Bishop, 1-5-5.4.

BAKERSFIELD, CA. PITCHERS HAPPY OVER NEW FACILITY

By FRANK FRABBI

The 15-court, state-of-the-art horseshoe pitching facility at Beach Park figures to be a real boon to the 38-man Bakersfield Shoe Crew. Before the City of Bakersfield built the \$35,000 facility mainly for the Police Olympics, horseshoe players could practice their skills only at Beale Park or Jastro Park.

It's like a bowler who suddenly has a 36-lane, fully automated bowling house to play in instead of the six-

lane house with pin boys he'd grown accustomed to.

"Yeah, that's about right," said President Bill Carroll, 63, standing tall within the fenced-in confines of the facility. He wore his new powder blue team shirt. On the back was his name and Bakersfield Shoe Crew in bold letters.

"It's got everything, and it's all official with clay. It's the best you can get. We haven't had a chance to play on it too much, but yes, we feel a little spoiled."

The Beach Park facility encompasses an area of about 12,000 square feet (7,500 in the 10-court yard and 4,500 in the adjacent five-court yard) and is complete with lights. The courts, which are open to the public, were constructed under strict National Horseshoe Pitcher's Association guidelines so that Bakersfield can host national and international competitions.

Yes, there are such tournaments for horseshoe pitchers. The 1988 World Horseshoe Pitching Championships was recently concluded at Pleasanton, California, on August 13. Local pitchers, Landis Carroll,

Larry King and Jessie Martinez were there.

The Shoe Crew, whose players range in age from 25 to 79, meets every Wednesday at 7 p.m. and Sunday at 1 p.m. for informal tournaments. Some members travel just about every weekend for sanctioned tournaments.

MULE DAYS OPEN—BISHOP, CA.—SANC. 25-88-071

A DIVISION—Norm Cone, Huntington Beach, 4-1; Jonathan Williams, Chino, 3-2; Sallie Cline, Bishop, 3-2; Jim Eozzo, Los Angeles, 2-3; Darryl LaMoureaux, Boron, 3-3; Tom McQueen, Bishop, 1-4: Bill Cork forfeit.

B DIVISION—Micky Mundy, Barstown, 6-1; Bob Morris, Bishop, 5-2; Ivan Davis, Bishop, 5-2; Dorothy Yeager, Bishop, 4-3; Bert Gehrt, Bishop, 4-3; Bob Sams, Nevada, 3-4; Dave Rodriguez, Santa Barbara, forfeit; Don Beasley, forfeit.

C DIVISION—Les McConnohie, Hemet, 6-1; Bill Yeager, Bishop, 5-2; Bob Kittle, Bishop, 5-2; Harris Riggins Sr., Bishop, 5-2; Bill Perry, Bishop, 3-4; Art Hernandez, Santa Barbara, 2-5; Gary Emerick, Bishop, 2-5; Alan Steele, Bishop, 0-7.

D DIVISION—Nancy LaMoureaux, Boron, 6-1; Gerald Patterson, Bakersfield, 5-2; Carl Munz, Bakersfield, 4-3; Bob Baer, Bishop, 4-3; Ernie Calzada, Santa Barbara, 4-3; Mike Gensler, Santa Barbara, 4-3; Ray Hailey, Bishop, 1-6; Herb Barnhouse, Bishop, forfeit.

E DIVISION—Linda Rodriguez, Santa Barbara, 6-1-28.0; Betty McQueen, Bishop, 5-2-22.0; Fred Robles, Santa Barbara, 5-2-20.0; David LaMoureaux, Boron, 4-3-20.0; Steve Oglesby, Bishop, 4-3-18.0; Mick Everhart, Bishop, 3-4-15.0; Gayle Oblesby, Bishop, 1-6-11.0; Ed Agner, forfeit.

F DIVISION—Jonele Gustie, Bishop, 4-0-23.0; Mike Story, Bishop, 3-1-23.0; Jack Bonser, Bishop, 2-2-16.0; Dennis LaMoureaux, Bakersfield, 1-3-20.0; Sally Shipley, Newport Beach, 0-4-12.0.

G DIVISION—Carole Beeks, Palmdale, 5-2-17.0; Cathryn Beeks, Palmdale, 5-2-12.0; Hart Beeks, Palmdale, 4-3-15.0; Rita Eozzo, Los Angeles, 4-3-14.0; Vicky Oblesby, Bishop, 4-3-14.0; Cindy Gregory, Barstow, 4-3-13.0; Jim Bolenbaugh, Bishop, 2-5-10.0.

H DIVISION—Bob Moss, Bishop, 5-1-9.0; Steve Johnston, Bishop, 4-2-11.0; Wilma Butterbredt, Bishop, 2-4-10.0; Steve Garcia, Bishop, 1-5-4.0.

			(ROL	JP G-1					
					W	L	R	SP		9
Debby Bestul, WI					5	0	133	200		66.
Julie Walters, OH					4	1	80	200		40.0
Jenny Walters, OH					3	2	83	200		41.5
Michelle White, CA					2	3	55	200		27.5
Nicole Saunders, CA					1	4	32	200		16.0
Tonya Hix, VA					0	5	20	200		10.0
1. DEBBY BESTUL—WI	No.				2. JULIE W					
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	9
Nicole Saunders	73-4	25	40	62.5	Tonya Hix		44-10	13	40	32.
Michelle White	56-4	29	40	72.5	Nicole Saund			16	40	40.0
Julie Walters	45-8	30	40	75.0	Debby Bestu		8-45	17	40	42.
Tonya Hix	73-0	27	40	67.5	Jenny Walter	s	24-14	19	40	47.
Jenny Walters	29-23	22	40	55.0	Michelle Whit	le	47-15	15	40	37.5
WINS-5 LOSSES-0		133	200	66.5	WINS-4 LO	SSES-1		80	200	40.0
3. JENNY WALTERS-O	Н				4. MICHELL	E WHITE-C	A			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Michelle White	37-19	18	40	45.0	Jenny Walters		19-37	14	40	35.0
Tonya Hix	45-10	14	40	35.0	Debby Bestul		4-56	13	40	32.5
Nicole Saunders	35-15	14	40	35.0	Tonya Hix		38-17	10	40	25.0
Julie Walters	14-24	16	40	40.0	Nicole Saunde	ers	33-16	11	40	27.5
Debby Bestul	23-29	21	40	52.5	Julie Walters		15-47	7	40	17.5
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		83	200	41.5	WINS-2 LOS	SSES-3		55	200	27.5
5. NICOLE SAUNDERS-	-CA				6. TONYA H	6. TONYA HIX—VA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	%
Debby Bestul	4-73	4	40	10.0	Julie Walters		10-44	4	40	10.0
Julie Walters	6-41	7	40	17.5	Jenny Walters		10-45	2	40	5.0
Jenny Walters	15-35	8	40	20.0	Michelle White		17-38	4	40	10.0
Michelle White	16-33	6	40	15.0	Debby Bestul		0-73	5	40	12.5
Tonya Hix	27-16	7	40	17.5	Nicole Saunde	ers	16-27	5	40	12.5
WINS-1 LOSSES-4		32	200	16.0	WINS-0 LOS			20	200	10.0

GROUP G-2

					W	L	R	SP		%
Alana Johnston, CN					5	0	116	200		58.0
Celeste Polak, TX				4	1	113	200		56.5	
Rebecca Manuelito, CA					3	2	57	200		28.5
Sonya Hix, VA					2	3	40	200		20.0
Jaime Pokak, TX							21	200		10.5
Kara Hix, VA					0	5	10	200		5.0
1. ALANA JOHNSTON-	CN				2. CELES	TE POLAK	_тx			
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	0,0
Kara Hix	888-0	27	40	67.5	Jaime Pokak			22	40	55.0
Jaime Polak	71-1	21	40	52.5	Sonya Hix			27	40	67.5
Rebecca Manuelito	50-7	21	40	52.5	Kara Hix		75-3	21	40	52.5
Sonya Hix	54-3	23	40	57.5	Rebecca Manuelito		44-23	19	40	47.5
Celeste Polak	23-22	24	40	60.0	Alana Johnston		22-23	24	40	60.0
WINS-5 LOSSES-0		116	200	58.0	WINS-4 L	OSSES-1		113	200	56.5
3. REBECCA MANUELIT	O-CA				4. SONYA	HIX-VA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	0.0
Sonya Hix	36-20	17	40	42.5	Rebecca Manuelito		20-36	13	40	32 5
Kara Hix	28-15	6	40	15.0	Celeste Polak		3-75	6	40	15.0
Alana Johnston	7-50	8	40	20.0	Jaime Polak		21-20	6	40	150
Celeste Polak	23-44	13	40	32.5	Alana Johnston		3-54	9	40	22 5
Jaime Polak	37-15	13	40	32.5				6	40	15 0
WINS-3 LOSSES-2		57	200	28.5	WINS-2 L	OSSES-3		40	200	20.0
5. JAIME POLAK-TX					6. KARA	HIX—VA				
Opponent	Score	R	SP	%	Opponent		Score	R	SP	00
Celeste Polak	5-69	3	40	7.5	Alana Johns		0-88	0	40	0.0
Alana Johnston	1-71	0	40	0.0	Rebecca Manuelito		15-28	4	40	100
Sonya Hix	20-21	5	40	12.5	Celeste Polak		3-75		40	25
Kara Hix	21-13	6	40	15.0	Jaime Polak		13-21	4	40	100
Rebecca Manuelito	15-37	7	40	17.5	Sonya Hix		14-22	*	40	2.5
WINS-1 LOSSES-4	and the same	21	200	10.5	WINS-0 LO	20050 5		10	200	5.0

BAKER WINS PIONEER DAYS—CAYUGA, IN.—SAN. 12-88-010

CLASS A—Cliff Baker, IL, 7-0-83.6; Karl VanSant, 6-1-82.3; Dale Henry, 5-2-76.4; Ron Powell, KY, 4-3-79.2; Tari Powell, IL, 3-4-73.3; Don Peters, IL, 2-5-72.2; Ralph Maylahn, WI, 1-6-66.9; Martin Drummond, 0-7-50.8.

CLASS B (Sat.)—Doyle Maikranz, 9-1-47.3; Carl Wefler, 7-3-52.4; Harold Holle. 6-4-50.3; Harry Groce. 6-4-42.1; Harley Parks, 2-8-24.9.

CLASS B (Sun.)—Dennis Ferree, 7-0-68.4; Jerry Miller, 6-1-52.4; Steve Dunning, 4-3-55.1; Cecil Lunsford, 4-3-52.5; Claude Estelle, 4-3-45.8; Gary Poindexter, 1-6-48.4; Martin Drummond, 1-6-46.0; Bob Prohaska, 1-6-36.5.

CLASS C (Sat.)—Bernard French, 6-1-54.9; Burl Taylor, 4-3-43.4; Chet Burnett. 3-4-44.0; Sylvester Hahn, 3-4-43.5; Charles Cooke, IL, 3-4-41.5; Ray Meyers, IL. 3-4-40.4; Jim Clark, 3-4-40.9; Bob Prohaska, 3-4-37.3.

CLASS C (Sun.)—Eric Kingma, 4-1-44.9; Elden Bryant. IL. 4-1-44.1; Dale Ferguson. 3-2-39.6; Ken Summers, 2-3-43.2; Ken Tally, 2-3-39.9; Al Wray, 0-5-32.8.

CLASS D—Bob STeimel, 6-1-42.3; Jeff Clem, IL, 5-2-47.5; Cliff Marsh. 5-2-39.4; Joyce Summers. 4-3-45.3; Art Cornelius, 3-4-39.8; Bob Anderson, 3-4-38.9; Bob Sanders. 2-5-31.0; Jin Hackard. 0-7-13.4.

CLASS E—Marvin Maxwell, 4-1-30.3; Roy Franke, IL, 3-2-28.2: Charles Jarred. 3-2-28.1: Ed Atchisson. IL, 2-3-29.9; Bob Henry, 2-3-28.0; Luther Chandler, 1-4-21.8.

BAKER WINS—(Continued)

CLASS F—Guy DeBord, 7-0-26.0; Steve Sutherlin, 6-1-26.2; Glen Donaldson, 4-3-25.6; Georgia Tall, 4-3-23.3; Forrest Norman, 3-4-22.9; Allen Winkles, 3-4-20.6; Alan Lunsford, 2-5-16.5; Max Gunyon, 0-7-10.1.

JUNIORS-Georgia Henry, 6-0-38.4; Chris Estelle, 4-2-22.1; Mira Ferguson, IL, 2-4-4.9.

TENNESSEE ROUND-UP TOPPED BY D. WARD—SAN. 23-88-006

CLASS A—Don Ward, 5-0-71.1; Dick Martino, 4-1-65.5; Randy Pruitt, 3-2-66.2; Stan Smith, 2-3-55.5; Tony Norwood, 2-3-56.0; Marvin Bastion, 0-5-55.4

CLASS B—Roger Norwood, 5-0-71.3; Grady Wahley, 3-2-58.0; James Black, 2-3-52.8; O. D. Lebow, 2-3-50.0; Tom Price, 2-3-50.0; Hank Irwin, 1-4-50.9.

CLASS C—Jim Waters, 4-1-51.7; Charles Perkins, 4-1-51.0; Lloyd Wyatt, 4-1-46.9; Plummer, Hensley, 2-3-41.8; Jack Freeman, 1-4-41.1; Jack Conners, 0-5-40.1.

CLASS D—George Lewis, 5-0-50.4; Doug Self, 4-1-42.1; Jim Adkerson, 3-2-43.0; Melvin Cooper, 2-3-30.5; Sam Callahan, 1-4-31.0; Freeman Garv, 0-5-23.9.

CLASS E—Charles Coward, 4-1-32.5; Cecil Cutshaw, 4-1-28.0; Roger Toler, 4-1-26.3; James Davis, 2-3-24.5; Coy Bennett, 1-4-18.1; Dale Seibers, 0-5-20.0

CLASS F—Russell Bennett, 4-1-25.3; Frank Scott, 3-2-27.9; Herman Lones, 3-2-18.4; Dana Ward, 2-3-20.1; Tom Williams, 2-3-16.6; Arthur Gilliam, 1-4-15.6.

OH. SILVER DOLLAR OPEN TO KNISLEY—SAN, 21-88-013

CLASS A—Jim Knisley, Bremen, 6-1-76.0; George Moon, Greenfield, 6-1-72.1; Elden Sarbaugh, Adamsville, 5-2-74.5; Gary Roberts, Lucasville, 4-3-67.0; Lawrence Miller, Chillicothe, 3-4-63.7; Loren Coy, Brookville, 2-5-62.4; Max Roseberry, Marion, 1-6-58.8; Levi Miller, Plain City, 0-7-57.4.

CLASS B—Tom Guill, Shelby, 6-1-61.5; Jack Baldwin, Hollard, 5-2-58.7; John Martin, Circleville, 4-3-57.9; Hoy Hasto, Ripley, 3-4-57.0; Ansil Copeland, Akron, 3-4-52.8; Ken Smith, Wellsville, 3-4-52.7; Dick Curtis, Xenia, 3-4-50.2; Tom Bestul, Westerville, 1-6-49.4.

CLASS C—Loman Tumlin, Parkersburg, 6-1-54.9; Maurice Flaig, Lebanon, 5-2-54; Steve Powers, Xenia, 4-3-50.3; Zack Campbell, Lebanon, 4-3-49.4; Les Rose, Columbus, 3-4-46.8; Fred Brown, Defiance, 3-4-45.7; Harry Rutherford, London, 2-5-47.4; Tom King, Chillicothe, 1-6-40.9.

CLASS D—John Ackers, Ravenswood, 6-1-43.6; Dave Rose, Columbus, 5-2-42.3; Carl Casto, Pomeroy, 4-3-50.6; Harry Denlinger, Brookville, 4-3-44.3; Jim Cooper, Columbus, 4-3-38.2; Bob Garrett, Zanesville, 2-5-38.3; Jim Mossholder, Mt. Vernon, 2-5-31.0; Ed Andrus, Springfield, 1-6-29.4.

CLASS E—Carl Searls, Middleport, 6-1-52.3; Gerry Wyscarver, Westerville, 5-2-48.6; Charlie Jones, West Liberty, 5-2-43.8; Dick Smith, Lancaster, 4-3-45.6; George Thome, Oxflor, 3-4-40.0; Les Grosklos, Marietta, 2-5-36.9; Harry Baily, Pomeroy, 2-5-31.0; Lonnie Mason, Lynx, 1-6-32.1.

CLASS F—Terry Lively, Hillard, 7-0-42.8; Wayne Baker, Whitehouse, 5-2-39.4; Bernard Davis, Columbus, 4-3-38.3; Ray Boyd, Lancaster, 4-3-35.9; Charlie Myers, Columbus, 4-3-29.2; Roger Kinnamon, Frankfort, 2-5-28.9; Fred Keiger, Lancaster, 1-6-27.8; Jr. Lisk, Marietta, 1-6-26.6.

CLASS G—Russ Beeman, Mt. Vernon, 7-0-33.5; Joe Ewing, Westerville, 5-2-30.5; Wilson Burggraf, Cardington, 4-3-25.1; Larry Ferguson, West Jefferson, 3-4-24; Jack Von Dach, Westerville, 3-4-22.8; Lewis May, North Canton, 3-4-21.5; Bill Lawson, Athens, 2-5-22.8; Don Long, Cincinnati, 1-6-21.5.

WOMEN'S CLASS A—Jean Myers, Columbus, 7-0-54.3; Carol Martin, Circleville, 6-1-37.1; Sharon Jones, West Liberty, 5-2-33.3; Ava Brown, Waverly, 4-3-31.1; Gin May, North Canton, 2-5-19.5; Susan Guill, Shelby, 2-5-16.9; Beth Smith, Lancaster, 2-5-14.9; Lois Cooper, Columbus, 0-7-14.2.

NORDLAND FEST OPEN, S.D.—SANC. 8-88-004

CHAMPIONSHIP—Laverne W:Pf, 7-0-48.0; Lamoyne Helgeson, 4-3-39.7; Bob Nelson, 4-3-37.7; Dennis Kjose, 4-3-32.8; Robert Ketterer, 3-4-40.5; Gaylord Johnson, 3-4-39.7; Bob Frager, 2-5-39.1; Bruce Rollag, 1-6-37.1.

CLASS A—Lori Shiffer, 7-0-46.5; Ron Frager, 5-2-42.2; Bert Bertelson, 5-2-37.4; Milt Renville, 3-4-33.1; Alvin Hanson, 3-4-32.0; Gary Numsen, 2-5-34.5; Henry Pater, 2-5-31.1; Harry Everhart, 1-6-28.8.

NORDLAND—(Continued)

CLASS B—Rick Symens, 5-2-34; Robert Jensen, 5-2-32.8; Vincent Marks, 4-3-30.0; Ben Thompson, 4-3-28.5; Lynn Kalvig, 3-4-28.8; Clint Neilson, 3-4-25.7; Jim Paulin, 3-4-2.7; Jan Hansen, 1-6-25.1.

CLASS C—Des Johnson, 7-0-28.3; Lloyd Field, 5-2-25.3; Marv Parkinson, 5-2-24.6; Ed Gebhart,4-3-28.3; Cliff Ziehl, 3-4-21.3; John Symens, 2-5-27.0; Darrin Pater, 2-4-18.3.

CLASS D—Tom Dunham, 6-1-31.0; Robert Stiand, 5-2-26.6; Arnold Staum, 5-2-23.3; Marlow Neuberger, 4-3-26.3; Jim Jelden, 3-4-20.6; Charles Pies, 3-4-18.6; Gib Cadotte, 2-5-16.3.

CLASS E—Kevin Ketterer, 5-0-19.2; Andrew Ziehl, 4-1-13.2; Ken Winterton, 3-2-15.2; Randy Kjose, 2-3-11.6; Jack Sievers. 1-4-9.2; Rick Rath. 0-5-2.0.

CAMP HILL, PA. OPEN WON BY TYSON—SANC. 17-88-022

CLASS A—Art Tyson (NY), 8-1-72.7; Joe Schultz (NY), 7-2-64.3; Glenn Rubendall, 6-3-65.0; Dan Beshore, 6-3-61.9; Glenn Brown, 5-4-57.5; Les Putt, 4-5-54.2; Ed Bowersox, 3-6-54.2; Dean McCurdy, 3-6-52.0; Tom Decker, 3-6-50.2; Jack Swyers, 0-9-46.0.

CLASS B—Darle Esh, 9-0-51.6; Jerry Hoy, 7-1-48.1; Frank Miller, 5-3-47.4; Don Dietz, 5-3-42.2; Paul Caudill, Jr., 4-4-45.5; Dennis Lloyd, 2-6-40.4; Joe Belcavitch, 2-6-40.2; Al Long, 2-6-39.8; Guy Esh, 1-7-37.1.

CLASS C—Jack Myers, 7-1-47.7; Dennis Sieber, 6-2-48.4; Jack Griffith, 6-2-36.3; Gerry Smith; 5-3-32.8; Dave Preston, 4-4-35.4; Pete McCollum, 4-4-38.2; Roy Teets, 3-5-37.9; Ted Postupack, 1-7-27.2; Richard Scott, 0-8-22.7.

CLASS D—John Zimmerman, 6-1-31.6; Wayne Brown, 5-2-32.7; Al Yednock, 4-3-30.0; Paul Crisamore, 4-3-29.7; Marlin Zimmerman, 3-4-26.8; Wally Brown, 2-5-29.1; Joe Shurmaitis, 2-5-26.3; Bruce Corl, 2-5-25.9.

CLASS E—Terry Haverstock, 6-1-34.2; Homer Erb, 5-2-31.1; Rick Stinson, 5-2-25.5; Tony Carlin, 4-3-28.4; Gene Oleski, 3-4-31.3; Robert Loncar, 3-4-28.3; John Schimelfenig, 2-5-24.8; Ralph Weigle, 0-7-18.1.

CLASS F—Ron Rhoades, 7-0-25.3; Tom Baker, 6-1-29.9; Ned Kinard, 4-3-25.1; Ted Van Pelt, 4-3-23.6; Gerard Harris, 4-3-20.6; Ron Baker, 1-6-16.9; Walter Burtner, 1-6-16.3; Mike Fenton, 1-6-16.2.

LADIES A—Lynn Carlin, 5-0-41.1; Jean Long, 4-1-34.5; Susan Preston, 2-3-41.2; Carol Baker, 2-3-26.2; Lois Hoy, 1-4-25.2; Norma McCurdy, 1-4-20.5.

WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA TOURNAMENT ACTIVITY

LISLE WESTON MEMORIAL—Shelocta—San. 17-88-006—CLASS A—D. Beshore, 6-1-62.8; G. Burris, 6-1-70.3; R. Rugg, 5-2-61.7; E. Blum, 4-3-51.4; J. Paganelli, 3-4-50.0; J. Roebuck, 2-5-51.7; McKnight, 1-6-49.3; F. Morgan, 1-6-45.8.

CLASS B—J. Mathys, 7-0-58.7; Don Smith, 5-2-51.6; P. Gemza, 4-3-50.5; C. Dixson, 3-4-55.4; R. L. Brown, 3-4-53.0; E. Horneman, 3-4-49.1; L. White, 3-4-46.5; P. Barkley, 0-7-40.6.

CLASS C—H. Browne, 6-1-45.4; D. Harper, 5-2-46.0; J. Marusa, 4-3-46.4; J. Trayer, 4-3-46.3; T. Piscalish, 3-4-38.3; A. Liptak Sr., 2-5-44.0; V. Owens, 2-5-40.0; R. Brinkley, 1-6-39.7.

CLASS D—B. Fink, 7-0-41.3; B. Rugg, 5-2-39.6; V. Nicholson, 4-3-38.6; A. Punturi, 3-4-36.2; J. Antsberger, 3-4-36.1; R. Weiss, 3-4-41.5; F. Connell, 2-5-34.8; G. Fulton, 1-6-39.3.

CLASS E—A. Matscherz, 5-2-41.7; C. Schech, 5-2-42.9; B. Korzon, 5-2-39.1; H. Taylor, 4-3-38.2; G. Blechi, 4-3-37.7; R. Bunyak, 2-5-38.4; J. Carnahan, 2-5-37.2; H. J. Liese, 1-6-30.5.

CLASS F—R. Roush, 7-0-43.7; V. Dotts, 5-2-41.2; F. Kallay, 4-3-36.5; D. Larkins, 3-4-33.6; G. Dennison, 3-4-36.6; G. Zayac, 2-5-34.8; T. Ronan, 2-5-34.0; V. Schrengost, 2-5-28.4.

CLASS G—D. Keth, 6-1-36.7; H. Hixson, 5-2-38.7; R. Kaliber, 4-3-37.1; C. Denki, 4-3-29.8; W. Wood, 3-4-34.1; K. Turnbull, 3-4-32.6; G. Bercini, 3-4-30.7; B. Roadman, 0-7-12.2.

CLASS H—T. Zayac, 7-0-33.9; E. Fingerhoot, 5-2-30.7; B. Blashford, 3-4-25.7; T. Hamack, 3-4-25.6; T. Carnahan, 3-4-22.0; J. Rossi, 3-4-19.1; J. Miller, 2-5-18.7; C. Mock Sr., 2-5-17.3.

WESTERN PA.—(Continued)

CLASS I—J. Zdrale. 6-1-20.3: Jr. Dawson. 5-2-23.5; S. Keener, 4-3-21.9; B. Reddinger, 4-3-20.1; D. Snavely. 4-3-17.0: K. Harman. 3-4-13.5: P. Zdrale, 1-6-12.8; G. Syktich, 0-7-15.6.

CLASS J—E. Forish. 7-0-29.1: M. Shaffer. 6-1-23.2; B. McCool, 5-2-24.0; N. Painter, 4-3-22.9; B. Pierce. 3-4-14.1: Dick Kahle. 2-5-8.1: Kev Kahle, 1-6-10.6; P. Hillen, 0-7-7.5.

LADIES A—B. White. 6-1-45.7: E. Weston, 5-2-41.8; G. Rugg, 4-3-51.1; C. Reddinger, 4-3-42.5; M. Wood, 3-4-40.8: J. Reefer, 3-4-34.0: S. Carnahan, 3-4-33.3.

LADIES B.—M. Keth. 6-1-37.5; H. Morgan. 5-2-37.5; K.Wolff, 5-2-32.4; V. Kahle, 30.9; T. Keener, 4-3-34.9; R. Carnahan. 2-5-19.4; D. Dawson, 1-6-23.9; A. M. Marusa, 1-4-22.0.

JUNIORS-D. Reddinger, 6-1-31.6; R. Jeffreys Jr., 3-3-26.1; B. McCool, 2-4-2.6; D. Jeffreys, 1-5-4.7.

SPRING FLING—NEWCASTLE—San. 17-88-005—CLASS A—S. Kuchcinski, 7-0-74.6; J. Mathys, 4-3-60.8; W. W. Carnahan, 4-3-58.3; F. White, 4-3-56.9; B. McKnight, 4-3-55.8; B. Hofecker, 3-4-55.9; R. F. White, 1-6-58.6; E. Blum, 1-6-53.2.

CLASS B—V. Sedlacek. 7-1-51.2: H. Browne, 6-2-49.7; G. Rhae, 5-2-51.2; C. Dixson, 4-3-51.9; E. Horneman. 4-3-49.7: L. White. 3-4-40.4; R. Welton, 1-6-41.1; H. Hays, 0-7-31.9.

CLASS C—F. Connell. 5-2-45.0: A. Landsberger, 4-3-47.9; J. Antesberger, 4-3-41.9; R. Weiss, 4-3-41.8; J. Carnahan. 4-3-40.4: R. Kwiat. 3-4-34.9; G. Sebring, 2-5-39.4; K. Bowser, 2-5-32.8.

CLASS D—C. Scheck. 6-1-36.3; G. Dremsek, 5-2-35.7; V. Dotts, 5-2-31.1; Ken Boyles, 5-2-23.8; H. Boyer, 4-3-22.9; R. E. White, 2-5-26.9; W. Stover, 1-6-9.6.

LADIES—E. Carnahan. 7-0-79.9; B. White, 5-2-41.1; E. Weston, 5-2-39.3; M. Wood, 4-3-34.0; S. Dagan, 3-4-35.2; J. Reefer, 2-5-34.5; S. Carnahan, 2-5-34.2; D. Dawson, 0-7-22.4.

COMING EVENTS

FLORIDA SCHEDULE

FORMAT: Entry fee \$10.00 per tournament. Entry fee includes score keeping fee. Send entry fee to proper contact to arrive at least 7 days before tournament date. NHPA and State card required. Mixed tournaments unless otherwise stated. Dropouts or no shows will forfeit entry fee unless tournament is cancelled. Starting time is 9:00 am.

Oct. 1—Orlando Rec. Bureau Open. Orlando. Contact 12
Oct. 8—Clearwater Mem. Open Hdcp., Clearwater.
Contact 2

Oct. 15—Columbus Day Open, Beverly Hills. Contact 4 Oct. 22—Joe Irey Memorial Open, Winter Haven.

Contact 10
Oct. 29—Frank Stites Mem. Open, Bradenton, Contact 14

Nov. 5—Clearwater Open. Clearwater. Contact 2

Nov. 5—Osceola Open. St. Cloud. Contact 6

Nov. 12—Bee Ridge Open. Sarasota. Contact 5 Nov. 12—Hernando Open. Brooksville. Contact 1

Nov. 19—Naples Open, Naples, Contact 9

Nov. 19-American Pioneer Open, Orlando. Contact 12

Nov. 26—Geo. Atkinson Mem. Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10

Dec. 2-3—Steinfeldt Hdcp. Open, Clearwater. Contact 2
Dec. 9-10—Crossland Saving Bank Open, Bradenton.
Contact 7

Dec. 10-Palm Beach Open, Lake Worth. Contact 8

Dec. 15-Sebring Open, Sebring. Contact 11

Dec. 17—John Wortel Mem. Open, Bradenton. Contact 14

Dec. 17—Orange Blossom Open, Orange Blossom. Contact 4

Jan. 7-Windy Open, Brooksville. Contact 1

Jan. 12—Sebring Open II, Sebring. Contact 11

Jan. 14-G. T. Bray Mem. Open, Bradenton. Contact 14

HORSESHOE PRO SHOP STUDIO CITY SUITE 189 2275 WINTER PARKWAY CUYAHOGA FALLS OHIO 44221



216 - 923 - 9949



COMING EVENTS—(Continued)

Jan. 20-21—The Manatee Open, bradenton. Contact 7 Jan. 21—Beverly Hills Open, Beverly Hills. Contact 4

Jan. 28—Yogi Bear Open, Apopka. Contact 3 Feb. 4—Sarasota Open, Sarasota. Contact 5

Feb. 4—Orange Blossom Open II, Orange Blossom. Contact 4

Feb. 11—Bradenton GTB Open, Bradenton. Contact 14

Feb. 10-11—Orlando Open, Orlando. Contact 12

Feb. 13—Monday's Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10

Feb. 14—Tuesday's Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10

Feb. 15—Wednesday's Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10 Feb. 16—Thursday's Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10

Feb. 17—Friday's Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10

Feb. 17-18—Sun Coast Open, Bradenton. Contact 7 Feb. 18—Lake Worth Open, Lake Worth. Contact 8

Feb. 18-Saturday's Open, Winter Haven. Contact 10

Feb. 23—Jim Fourman Memorial Open, Sebring. Contact 11

Feb. 25-Ranger Smith Open, Apopka. Contact 3

FLORIDA SCHEDULE—(Continued)

Mar. 4—C. Lentz Mem. Open, Bradenton. Contact 14

Mar. 4—Sun Bank of Osceola Open, St. Cloud. Contact 6
Mar. 11—Hernando Open, Brooksville, Contact 1

Mar. 11—Hernando Open, Brooksville. Contact Mar 11—Cambier Open, Naples. Contact 9

Mar. 16-Lee Palmer Open, Sebring. Contact 11

Mar. 18—Apopka Open, Apopka. Contact 3

Mar. 17-18—Florida State Hdcp. Open, Clearwater. Contact 2

Mar. 24-25—The De Soto Open, Bradenton. Contact 7 Mar. 30—Central Fla. Ridge Open, Winter Haven.

Contact 10

Mar. 31-Apr. 1—April Fool's Hdcp. Open, Clearwater.

Contact 2

Apr. 8—Morris Gillispie Mem. Open, Sarasota. Contact 5
Apr. 8—Center of World Open, Beverly Hills. Contact 4

Apr. 15—Pat O'Toole Open, Bradenton. Contact 14 Apr. 22—Florida State Closed, Orlando. Contact 13

Apr. 29—Chief Charley's Open Hdcp., Clearwater. Contact 2

TOURNAMENT DIRECTORS AND CONTACT NUMBERS

- E. P. Kirk, 15549 Cortez Blvd., Brooksville, FL 33573. 904-799-7116
- Ed Senger, 1204 San Domingo Court, Clearwater, FL 34619. 813-726-6616
- Norman Davey, 3000 S. Clarcona Rd., Apopka, FL 32703. 407-886;8168
- Ralph Cullum, 1006 S. Bunting Way, Inverness, FL 32650. 904-637-3283; Alt. 904-726-1396
- Paul Scheub, 2139 Piazza Dr., Sarasota, FL 34238. 813-966-5462; Alt. 812-924-4430
 Harry Clement, 325 Rosedale Ave #9, St. Cloud, FL
- Gene Wyant, 2919 17 St. W., Bradenton, FL 34205. 813-746-2087; Alt. 813-756-4793

32769. 407-892-2749; Alt. 407-892-2051

- Robert McDonald, PO Box 1181, Boynton Beach, FL 33425, 305-732-6569
- Richard Warren, 1848 Crayton Rd., Naples, FL 33940. 813-261-5197
- Joseph R. West, 6250 Halabrin Rd., Haines City, FL 33844. 813-422-2053; Alt. 813-299-6308
- Dale Miller, 117 Leisure Acres, Sebring, FL 33870. 813-382-4289
- James Pete Peterson, 14224 Wing Foot Rd., Orlando, FL 32826. 407-275-8222
- Marvin E. Grubb, 4540 Osceola Rd., Titusville, FL 32780. 407-269-4138
- Pat O' Toole, 3613 34th Ave. Dr. W., Bradenton, FL 33505, 813-755-9359

FAYETTE COUNTY PA. SCHEDULE 233 So. Mt. Vernon Ave. Uniontown, PA 15401

Our tournaments will be a Round Robin, with all games being 40 points calcellation, and 1 point each for four dead, up to 30 points. Trophies or equivalent will be awarded for 1st and 2nd place.

Entry fee of \$10.00, payable to F.C.H.P.A. should accompany your entry 10 days prior to tournament date. You will be classed according to your state's percentage. April and May tournaments will be N.H.P.A. sanctioned.

Telephone entries will be accepted if they are called in 6 days prior to tournament start. Any telephone entries must be paid for or you will be barred from any further participation in N.H.P.A. sanctioned tournaments, until you have paid.

Oct. 28-29-30—Halloween Special. Contact Bob Roadman, 524 Ract St., Connelsville, PA 15425. Phone: 412-628-4069 or Paul Hillen, 524 Englishman Hill Rd., Connellsville, PA 15425. Ph: 412-628-2408.

Nov. 18-19-20—Ed Donawitz Memorial. Contact Bob Straitiff, Box 263, Uledi, PA 15484. Ph: 412-437-6374, or Pete Metts, Box 64-A, Rte 1, Lemont Furnace, PA 15458. Ph: 412-438-6253.

Dec. 16-17-18—Christmas Open. Contact Joe Roebuck, 603 Braddock Ave., Uniontown, PA 15401. Ph: 412-438-5670, or Joe Gibbs, Rte 1, Box AB, Vanderbilt, PA 15486. Ph: 412-529-2331.

Joe Gibbs, Rte 1, Box AB, Vanderbit, PA 15486. Ph; 412-529-2331. Jan. 27-28-29—Chuck Roball Memorial. Contact Joe Leech, Rte 3, Box 234, Smithfield, PA 15478. Ph; 412--569-2830, or Casey Danner, Box 288, Newell. PA 15466. Ph; 412-938-2397.

Feb. 24-25-26—Valentine Open. Contact Andy Liptak, Sr. or Andy Liptak, Jr., 2 Jamison St., Uniontown, PA 15401. Ph: 412-439-3224.

Mar. 17-18-19—J. B. Duchek Memorial. Contact Jerry or Lori Troycheck, Rte 1, Box 152, Adah, PA 15410. Ph: 412-737-5384.

Apr. 21-22-23—Joe Tarayko Memorial. Contact Joe Lacconi, 325 Catherine Ave., Brownsville, PA 15417. Ph: 412-785-8056, or Paul Iacconi, 606 7th St., West Brownsville, PA 15417. Ph: 412-785-4437, or Tony Iacconi, 232 Clover St., Brownsville, PA 15417. Ph. 412-785-4841.

May 19-20-21—Jim Danner Memorial. Contact Jim Solomon, 104 Industry Lane, Uniontown, PA 15401. Ph: 412-437-4392. Or Glenn Williams, Rte 4, Box 248, Uniontown, PA 15401. Ph: 412-437-7733.



THE ORIGINAL DROP-FORGED PITCHING SHOE



Two tournament tested models, forged from S.A.E. specified steel, are available. Both have hardened hooks and points, and may be ordered in your choice of medium, soft, or dead soft tempers.



AS THE FIRST MANUFACTURER OF THE DROP-FORGED PITCHING SHOE, THE OHIO HORSESHOE COMPANY IS RECOGNIZED WORLD-WIDE FOR ITS HIGH QUALITY PITCHING SHOES.

For price information and to order, contact:

OHIO HORSESHOE COMPANY

P.O. Box 21371 Columbus, Ohio 43221

614-457-4335