


# THE HORSESHOE PITCHER

*Official Organ of*  
**The National Horseshoe Pitchers Association  
of America**



THE OHIO SHOE

**JULY, 1954**

**Vol. 6**

**No. 11**

THE HORSESHOE PITCHER, published on the 15th day of each month at New York City, Box 7, Highbridge Station, New York, N. Y., Tel. JE 8-3843. Subscription price, \$2.00 per year. Forms close on the first day of each month. Advertising rates on application. BYRON JASKULEK, Editor NORMAN COMPTON, Asst. Editor

---

## EDITORIAL

They say there is nothing new under the sun. I'll buy that except when it comes to horseshoes. Have attended the last eight World tournaments and each year some one or some body wanted to change the way of play. However, nothing ever came of it—they always played the tournament the same way. But there is always a first time. This year the play will be different. When the tournament is all over and done I am afraid some of the results will have been as follows:

There won't be near the amount of entries there generally are; many who will try to get into the games will not succeed; the National Horseshoe Pitchers Association will lose out on entry fees and as an association it is bound to lose prestige. The officers probably do not give a darn what I think nevertheless here it is: I think they used very poor judgment in letting one or two pitchers influence them with their ideas as to how a world tournament should be run. I never thought the tail should wag the dog! I am not going to Utah this year or any other year, probably, but if I was I would certainly try to have them go back to the old system. I do hope though that it turns out to be the best tournament ever.

\* \* \*

## SECRETARY'S MESSAGE

By the time you get this issue, the fireworks will be ready to start in the 1954 World's Championship Tournament at Murray, Utah.

I know a lot of us are anxious to see how the new method of play will be accepted by the pitchers, the public, and to see how the sponsors react to this type of play.

I would like to emphasize one thing: That Horseshoe Pitchers and friends contact your local sporting editors and request information on the progress of this tournament. If you do not request information they have no way of knowing how many persons or if anyone is interested in what is taking place. The tournament results will be given out daily to the Associated Press and we Horseshoe fans owe it to the game to see that this news is publicized in our local sporting papers. It will help tremendously if everyone interested in Horseshoes in all localities will take time the first few days of the tournament and make a phone call to your sporting editor asking for information on this national sport.

We had a wonderful response last year from several areas, namely: New York, Missouri, Illinois, and Iowa and had daily requests for information on participants from these areas.

If you want information on how the tournament is progressing daily, it is at your finger-tips just by calling your local sports editor and asking him to pick up news on the progress of this sport.

I hope you will follow through on this and if you do, I am sure we will get a lot more publicity on our game throughout the country.

Hoping to see you in Murray and expecting a lot of requests for results during the tournament, I remain

ARCHIE J. GREGSON

\* \* \*

## CALIFORNIA

### THE WESTERN OPEN TOURNAMENT — SOUTHERN CALIF.

The So. Calif. H. P. A. placed one tournament on our schedule called the Western Open. We decided to conduct this along the pattern of the National Tournament at Murray, for this year. Although this could not be accomplished exactly, due to the smaller group of players, we set up a pattern of rules before tournament play.

The tournament cannot be considered a success. In fact we unanimously agreed that we did not like it. It was seemingly so unfair to some, that they were thoroughly disgusted. I can very well agree with their viewpoint. I think we could have perhaps set it up better.

Our experience may be of some benefit to pass along to those conducting the meet at Murray. First it is very important that a fixed set of rules and procedure is agreed on before tournament starts. This should not be verbal but be in print, to stave off misunderstandings and arguments and hard feelings later.

We brought forth three plans to determine standings of contestants when tournament was completed. The one agreed on, is as follows:

200 shoe pitch for qualification.

Sixteen high qualifiers to be divided into two eight man squads, to play two separate 8 men round robin.

High qualifier goes into squad 1, second high qualifier in squad 2, third high qualifier in squad 1, fourth high in squad 2, and so on until two full squads are made up. If tie for last place, percentage will determine.

The man winning most games in each squad, play off two out of three games for first and second place. In case of ties, for top position in each squad percentage rules.

In positions below top man in each squad, percentages determine all other positions, regardless of which squad the pitcher is in.

It so happened, as so often it does in 100 or 200 shoe qualifying, that the squads were very unevenly divided. The majority of good pitchers were in one group. The qualifying pitch does not indicate the pitchers ability. Some of the pitchers in squad 2 won more games in their squad than pitchers did in other squad, and yet their percentage put them well down in position of final standing.

It could be even more complicated in larger number of separate squads. There are bound to be many ties in games won and lost. If they go by percentage the same thing can well happen as we had happen. The man winning most of his games in his squad is likely to become eliminated in first days play.

#### SQUAD 1

	W.	L.	%
1. L. Dean, Pomona .....	7	0	76.9
2. J. Weeks, Norwalk .....	6	1	79.0
3. Geo. Sechrist, Huntington Park .....	5	2	74.4
4. R. Nichols, Long Beach .....	4	3	63.2
5. Geo. Hook, Ontario .....	3	4	60.8
6. N. Smith, Culver City .....	2	5	63.7
7. E. Beller, Redondo Beach .....	1	6	54.7
8. R. Navaro, South Gate .....	0	7	39.9

#### SQUAD 2

	W.	L.	%
1. H. Moefield, Long Beach .....	5	2	68.4
2. H. Harper, Monterey Park .....	5	2	60.4
3. H. Dolan, Fontana .....	5	2	60.1
4. W. Hagy, Long Beach .....	5	2	56.9
5. E. Keith, Cucumonga .....	3	4	55.8

6. H. Morse, South Gate .....	2	5	51.0
7. F. Burkhart, San Gabriel .....	2	5	44.3
8. F. Fabre, Baldwin Park .....	1	6	47.5

Dean and Moeffield played off for first and second place. Two games out of three. Dean won two straight. Last game tied at 49 each before Dean won.

All other positions determined by percentage, regardless of squad.

\* \* \*

### TOURNAMENT FOR 60 YEARS OR OVER

Long Beach Calif, June 6, 1954

	W.	L.	%
1. Henry Harper, Monterey Park .....	4	1	60.7
2. John Frizzell, Long Beach .....	3	2	56.4
3. Elmer Beller, Redondo Beach .....	3	2	54.6
4. Wm. Green, South Gate .....	3	2	54.5
5. Harry Dolan, Fontana .....	2	3	54.2
6. Ray Arnold, Santa Barbara .....	0	5	51.9

\* \* \*

### OAKLAND HORSESHOE CLUB

16 Man Round Robin Handicap Tournament

#### GROUP 1

	W.	L.	%
1. Don Titcomb, Mountain View .....	4	2	71.0
2. P. Foley, Oakland .....	4	2	21.8
3. H. Elmore, Oakland .....	4	2	33.0
4. V. Porter, Albany .....	4	2	34.0
5. J. Smith, Oakland .....	3	3	23.3
6. W. Blexrude, Oakland .....	2	4	61.3
7. C. Peterson, Oakland .....	0	6	25.6

#### GROUP 2

	W.	L.	%
1. L. Selk, San Francisco .....	6	0	56.0
2. I. Malig, Santa Rosa .....	4	2	39.0
3. S. K. Lanyon, Oakland .....	3	3	50.0
4. E. Morrison, San Pablo .....	3	3	11.6
5. E. Bradt, Oakland .....	2	4	50.3
6. V. Rasmussen, Alameda .....	2	4	44.0
7. H. Nielson, Oakland .....	1	5	33.3

D. Titcomb won the play-off with L. Selk and is declared the Champ of the month.

\* \* \*

### GOLDEN GATE HORSESHOE CLUB

The following are the results of the Annual Memorial Day Horseshoe Tournament held in Golden Gate Park, San Francisco under the auspices of the Golden Gate Horseshoe Club.

	W.	L.	%
1. Guy Zimmerman, Danville, Calif. ....	15	0	81.8
2. P. Mori, San Francisco .....	14	1	75.4
3. D. Titcomb, Mountain View .....	13	2	78.5
4. B. Fraser, San Francisco .....	12	3	67.4
5. B. Zumwalt, Santa Rosa .....	10	5	65.4
6. B. Blexrude, Oakland .....	9	6	59.5
7. G. Callas, San Francisco .....	8	7	57.5
8. L. Bridges, Santa Rosa .....	7	8	61.8
9. C. Marceovich, Oakland .....	7	8	57.8
10. L. Martin, Vallejo .....	7	8	52.4

11. H. Martin, So. San Francisco .....	5	10	54.4
12. J. O'Brien, San Francisco .....	5	10	48.5
13. R. Stevenson, So. San Francisco .....	3	12	50.0
14. A. Almeida, Vallejo .....	3	12	47.6
15. G. Hoxmier, So. San Francisco .....	2	13	52.0
16. W. O'Hara, So. San Francisco .....	0	16	46.3

\* \* \*

### NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

In an interclub horseshoe match played on June 13, between the Mosswood Horseshoe Club of Oakland and the Vallejo Horseshoe Club of Vallejo, Calif. Below are the results:

#### VALLEJO HORSESHOE CLUB

	W.	L.
Val .....	6	2
Martin .....	5	2
Alameda .....	7	1
Cochran .....	3	5
Mr. Butler .....	2	6
Westbrook .....	0	2
Barclay .....	4	3
Sonny .....	7	1
Mrs. Butler .....	1	6
	—	—
	36	28

#### OAKLAND HORSESHOE CLUB

	W.	L.
K. Berge .....	7	1
W. Blexrude .....	3	1
V. Rassmusen .....	1	3
H. Elmore .....	1	2
E. Morrison .....	0	5
C. Peterson .....	1	7
J. Smith .....	2	6
E. Bradt .....	7	1
H. Neilson .....	3	5
L. Hill .....	3	5
	—	—
	28	36

Vallejo Club winning 36—28.

\* \* \*



### HORSESHOE AWARDS

TROPHIES

MEDALS

BUTTONS

EMBLEMS

*Catalog on Request*

## JOHN RITCHIE COMPANY

198 Broadway, New York 38, N. Y.

CLASS "B" CHAMPIONSHIP OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
By ELMER O. BELLER

	W.	L.	%
1. R. Nichols, Long Beach .....	7	0	67.3
2. W. Hagy, Long Beach .....	5	2	53.3
3. R. Hearn, Norwalk .....	4	3	58.7
4. E. Keith, Cucamonga .....	4	3	57.6
5. N. Smith, Culver City .....	3	4	54.9
6. E. Beller, Redondo Beach .....	3	4	53.5
7. R. Metcalfe, Ontario .....	2	5	47.3
8. Wm. Meader, Los Angeles .....	0	7	46.3

\* \* \*

**KANSAS**


ARE YOU AN ASSET OR LIABILITY?

By An Old Timer

Being able to pitch 80 per cent or even 90 per cent ringers is quite an accomplishment to be proud of—but the horseshoe game goes much deeper than that. What about the vast majority of pitchers who plug along year after year supporting the game in more ways than one.

To be considered an asset to the grand old game—means much more than the mere winning of a Tournament—the cash and possibly a trophy. It means building and maintaining courts—membership and such—it means accepting an office in your local state organization to help relieve your brother pitcher of all the duties attendant with that job. It means going to Meets where the prizes might not be cash but only medals or trophies. It means writing letters to the Sponsors thanking them for a

**Dixon Victory Horseshoe**



This shoe has been tried and approved by the best pitchers of the nation.

Designed for easier grip and natural turn. Will not raise sharp places to cut hands.

**N.H.P.A. APPROVED**

**PRICE PER PAIR \$3.75**

**WRITE FOR QUANTITY PRICES**

*Dixon Victory Horseshoe Co.*

2620 FORTY-NINTH STREET

• DES MOINES, IOWA

nice time and hoping that they will have another tourney sometime in the future. If you can answer all these questions with YES you are an asset to the game—if you can answer part of them in the affirmative you are an asset—but if you can answer only NO to every one of them you can put yourself down as a Liability. This article is intended to wake up certain pitchers who seemed to be like Rip Van Winkle, that is sleeping for a good many years.

In the past all of you have noticed no doubt that many a pitcher when asked to take a State Office—he comes up with various excuses. Here they are to wit:

I just do not have the time. If he is a cityman he says his job is too important and if he is a farmer he gives the same reason—but all the while the same fellows have time to go to the meets and win the Lions share of the prizes. They do not have time to work on courts because in doing so they might get a cramp in their supinator (forearm muscles) or they might wrench their Sacroiliac. For them that would be a tragedy—how about that of their brother pitcher.

Next time you go to a State meet and are asked to fill an office—take up your share of the load. You will appreciate the game much more by having done so. Of course if you want to be a drone and let the game die just go on your lazy ways letting some other man do the job. In closing what category do you fit in

\* \* \*

## MAINE

Hop-Skipping the Sidelines of the June Issue

By C. GERRISH

Ted Allen, our friend and world champion, earns a great hand for getting out those 800 messages in the mail last year. If each player would get after his newspaper to get and give the national meet horse-shoe news, as Ted urges, there should be some results. By the way Mr. Editor or someone, kindly let us know in the July issue how we may get current news of the goings on in Utah. Can't a daily tournament report be mailed anyone, for a fee. Please arrange something for us easterners who hunger to hear the round-up of each day. Some pitchers do not get news of the National Meet until months afterwards.

The Connecticut narrative of Mrs. Wagner strikes a most sympathetic chord in the heart of any experienced scorekeeper. Her thoughts are so well put and so right. Something ought to be done to show material appreciation for our scorekeepers, and scorekeeping ought to be made more attractive so to gain workers at it. So far they are just martyrish and transhuman personalities. Hatsoff to-em. (Conversely and reciprocally, the scorekeepers should call out the players' scores totals each inning right after the pitcher states his points made for the inning.)

The Handicap tryout of Oakland, tabled on page 1 of our June Horseshoe Pitcher gives a reaction. It's an odd picture to see a 26 per cent pitcher win all his games, while a 68 per cent man comes in third, and a 60 per cent player lands in fifth place. Also a 63 per cent pitcher gets only second place in the B group, and a 30 per cent man tops the B's and then becomes "Champ of the Month" by beating the A champ. Maybe it seems to make an unreality of things. It has similarity to the spirit in New York (pg. 10) where "identical trophies and medals will be awarded to all participants in each class." What does all this mean? Is horseshoes trying to raise recognition of its poorer players equal to or over that of the real champs? What is going to be the incentive for one to excell Why learn to play better than others, why put in that long hard practice, time and work grind it takes to become a champion, if, after all, the casual players or the inactive and indifferent tossers can so easily walk off with the prizes and glory? One may well wonder if

**JOIN and SUPPORT . .**

**THE  
NATIONAL HORSESHOE  
PITCHER'S ASSOCIATION**

ACCEPT NO HORSESHOES

UNLESS YOU SEE

THE

N.H.P.A. OFFICIAL STAMP

ON THE PACKAGE

These are the only "Official" Pitching Shoes Approved by  
THE NATIONAL HORSESHOE PITCHER'S ASSOCIATION

---

Dale Dixon, President  
2640 49th Street  
Des Moines, Iowa

Leon Harmon, 1st V. P.  
3890 S. State  
Salt Lake City, Utah

John Lindemeier, 2nd V. P.  
2030 S. 18th Avenue  
Maywood, Ill.

Anna Lindquist, 3rd V. P.  
305 6th Street  
Morgantown, W. Va.

Cletus Chapelle, 4th V. P.  
7018 N. Greenwich  
Portland, Ore.

Mary Jones, Treasurer  
Random Lake,  
Wisconsin

Archie Gregson, Secretary  
Crestline, California



this is not a misdirected effort to cater to the novice or even indolent players.

At the same time, blessings on New York and Doc Harrison for saying "There was no time wasted qualifying; all men were placed on past performances" It is overdue that the 100-shoe Elimination should be discarded. It is a scheme for disqualifying players. As has been preached so often, a pitcher should be qualified by playing games against opponents, not selected or eliminated, qualified or disqualified by what he does with 100 solo shoes under conditions of stress and pressure.

Speaking of "no time wasted." Well said, that it is. Some persons are so infiltrated with the necessity of the 100-shoe solo that it would be amusing, if it were not so (to use mild words) pitifully backward. There's been at least one case in my experience where 12 entries were to be allowed for round robin, and the 100-shoe prelim was dutifully observed and required when there were only 8 or 9 who showed up to enter. (You couldn't print what the writer may think of that.) Two hours wasted here that could have been so well put to game playing, lessen fatigue and boresome waiting, and could have so well shortened the day's work.

Surprise—Boy, it was something to see our friends Steinfeldt and Fulton in 5th and 6th places in the "Split the Stakes" event, with more losses than wins. It restores my spirit within me, to see that those sterling players can sometimes do what I can do. Like the man said, on one day you're a champ, on another a chump. . . . Well, look out for them next time.

\* \* \*  
**NEW JERSEY**

**NEW JERSEY OPEN CLASS "B"**

	W.	L.	%
1. Barney Knapp, Midland Park, N. J.....	7	0	50.2
2. S. Kaplan, Elizabeth, N. J.....	5	2	39.3
3. A. Ward, Paterson, N. J. ....	5	2	48.6
Kaplan won play off			
4. W. Darling, Madison, N. J.....	3	4	42.4
5. W. Haring, Westwood, N. J. ....	3	4	40.5
6. J. McCrink, W. Orange, N. J. ....	3	4	36.6
7. P. Puglise, Clifton, N. J. ....	1	6	38.9

\* \* \*  
**JOHN ROSSELET MEMORIAL HORSESHOE TOURNAMENT**  
**CLASS "A"**

	W.	L.	%
1. Vito Fileccia, New York City .....	6	1	
2. Joe Zichella, New York City .....	5	2	
3. Dale Carson, Baltimore, Md. ....	4	3	
4. John Fulton, Carlisle, Pa. ....	4	3	
5. Gene Durham, Baltimore, Md. ....	3	4	
6. Lee R. Davis, Ridgefield, N. J. ....	3	4	
7. William A. Kolb, Newark, N. J. ....	2	5	
8. Sol Berman, Elizabeth, N. J. ....	1	6	

**CLASS "B"**

	W.	L.	%
1. Walter Bagley, Bridgeport, Conn. ....	6	1	44.5
2. Alfred Ward, Paterson, N. J. ....	6	1	42.5
3. Wilbur Darling, Madison, N. J. ....	5	2	37.6
4. Frank Wagner, Stamford, Conn. ....	4	3	47.0
5. George Hart, New York City .....	3	4	34.3
6. Walter Haring, Westwood, N. J. ....	2	5	35.7
7. Oreste J. Waltz, Keansburg, N. J. ....	1	6	31.8
8. Paul Pugliese, Clifton, N. J. ....	1	6	31.1

### CLASS "C"

	W.	L.	%
1. Al Gerber, Paterson, N. J. ....	3	1	35.8
2. Steve Kaplin, Jr., Linden, N. J. ....	3	1	35.3
3. Joseph T. McCrink, West Orange, N. J. ....	3	1	35.5
4. Pete Daniels, Passaic, N. J. ....	1	3	22.6
5. Bruce B. MacDonald, Mount Vernon, N. Y. ...	0	4	28.0

### NEW YORK

Carl Steinfeldt and Tommy Brownell broke the record for shoes pitched in one game, 146 shoes pitched Carl had 126 ringers, Tommy 123 ringers both pitching over 80 per cent. There were four dead 38 times.

Carl Steinfeldt also established a record with a 96.4 per cent game. Also a 95.2 per cent game—missing only one ringer in each contest.


The same pattern followed this year as last year, Steinfeldt winning all 15 games in the round robin, but losing the championship in the playoffs.

### CLASS "A"

	W.	L.	%
1. C. Steinfeldt, Rochester .....	15	0	83.1
2. T. Brownell, Amsterdam .....	14	1	77.9
3. A. Sauro, Syracuse .....	12	3	69.1
4. V. Fileccia, New York .....	11	4	73.3
5. J. Zichella, New York .....	11	4	72.1
6. G. La Rose, Fayetteville .....	9	6	67.2
7. F. Michalek, Binghampton .....	8	7	66.9

## PITCH THE OHIO

The shoe that has made history and **WORLDS RECORDS** and is the choice of many **CHAMPIONS** who are making those records or have helped make them during the thirty-four (34) years of continuous marketing and service. **YOU** can now have your **CHOICE** of the 1953 narrow shoe or the wide 1954 design (similar to the 1950 model) with better hooks.



4 Tempers—Dead Soft, Soft, Medium and Hard.  
Write for quantity prices in lots of 4 pairs or more.

### OHIO HORSESHOE COMPANY

(Makers of quality pitching shoes for 34 years.)

Station F

Columbus, Ohio

8. A. Natale, Rochester	8	7	66.9
9. F. King, Rochester	7	8	63.5
10. S. White, Rochester	6	9	58.1
11. S. Fenicchia, Rochester	5	10	60.3
12. R. O'Connell, Rochester	5	10	57.2
13. J. Hardwick, New York	4	11	58.8
14. T. Earley, Jr., New York	3	12	59.1
15. C. Graves, Rochester	2	13	53.6
16. A. Cope, Rochester			Forfeit

A. Cope triped over the foul line and aggravated an old knee injury forcing him to retire after pitching only a few games.

#### "A" FINALS

1. Brownell	5	1	81.8
2. Steinfeldt	5	1	80.2
3. Fileccia	2	4	76.7
4. Sauro	0	6	65.7

Brownell won the playoff game pitching 81.4 per cent to Steinfeldt's 68.5 per cent.

#### CLASS "B"

	W.	L.	%
1. H. Harrison, Hoosick Falls	13	2	57.8
2. A. Brennan, Brooklyn	13	2	56.3
3. R. Lashier, Binghamton	11	4	57.2
4. N. Compton, Brooklyn	11	4	53.2
5. W. Delahanty, Rochester	11	4	46.8
6. G. Hart, New York City	9	6	48.1
7. T. Distefano, New York City	8	7	54.3
8. W. Le Fleur, Syracuse	8	7	45.8
9. R. O'Connell, New York City	8	7	45.5
10. R. Harjung, N. Y. Mills	7	8	47.9
11. H. Eddy, Rexford	6	9	42.8
12. J. Werle, East Meadow, L. I.	6	9	41.1
13. C. Stevens, Hoosick Falls	4	11	38.7
14. A. Hackett, Hoosick Falls	4	11	38.2
15. C. Seacord, New York City			Forfeit
16. W. Mauney, Brooklyn			Forfeit

#### "B" FINALS

1. Lashier	6	0	61.5
2. Harrison	2	4	53.3
3. Brennan	2	4	52.1
4. Compton	2	4	52.9

In the playoff for second, third and fourth each man won one game and lost one game with ringer percentages of these games deciding the order of finish.


\* \* \*

#### FORT GEORGE HORSESHOE CLUB OPEN CLASS "A"

	W.	L.	%
1. Fileccia	6	1	70.1
2. Carson	5	2	68.2
3. Zichella	5	2	67.1
4. Durham	4	3	67.3
5. Hardwick	4	3	53.7
6. Early, Jr.	3	4	64.3
7. Farmer	1	6	53.7
8. O'Connell	0	7	48.1




# PITCHING HORSESHOES



*Perfectly  
Balanced for  
Easy Control  
. . . Shaped to  
Catch the Stake*

Diamond pitching horseshoes and outfits are the finest ever made. Each shoe is drop forged from special carbon steel and heat treated without destroying dead-falling qualities. Ask your dealer.



*"There is nothing finer than a Diamond"*

# DIAMOND CALK HORSESHOE COMPANY

4615 GRAND AVENUE

• DULUTH, MINNESOTA

CLASS "B"

	W.	L.	%
1. Knapp .....	5	2	46.6
2. Wagner .....	4	3	50.8
3. Ward .....	4	3	47.4
4. Brumek .....	4	3	43.5
5. Earley, Sr. ....	4	3	41.0
6. Hart .....	3	4	39.3
7. Rehfeld .....	3	4	35.7
8. Haring .....	1	6	31.3

CLASS "C"

1. Lugo .....	2	1	34.4
2. Seacord .....	2	1	34.3
3. Daniels .....	1	2	27.8
4. Werle .....	1	2	24.6

\* \* \*

W. L. %

HILLTOP HORSESHOE CLUB OPEN

CLASS "A"

1. V. Fileccia, New York .....	7	0	72.9
2. J. Zichella, New York .....	6	1	65.7
3. J. Durham, Maryland .....	5	2	65.6
4. D. Carson, Maryland .....	4	3	68.6
5. W. Kolb, New Jersey .....	3	4	63.0
6. J. Hardwig, New York .....	1	6	56.1
7. T. DiStefano, New York .....	1	6	55.5
8. O. Farmer, New York .....	1	6	54.8

CLASS "B"

1. A. Ward, New Jersey .....	7	0	56.7
2. A. Brennan, New York .....	5	2	51.1
3. F. Brumek, New York .....	5	2	48.3
4. F. Wagner, Conn. ....	4	3	50.2
5. R. O'Connell, New York .....	3	4	49.7
6. F. Lugo, New York .....	3	4	51.1
7. G. Hart, New York .....	1	6	37.9
8. F. Rehfeld, New York .....	0	7	34.4

\* \* \*

OKLAHOMA

By G. GODDARD

Using handicap procedure borrowed from the Oakland, California Club (thanks to S. K. Lanyon) the Oklahoma City Club held its first handicap round robin tournament on June 20th. Instead of ringer percentages we are giving you the average number of actual points made by each player in 50-shoe games. Handicaps were based on the 1953 record of each contestant.

GROUP "A"

	W.	L.	
1. Wallace Uhlig .....	7	0	95
2. Frederick Kamp .....	6	1	58
3. Loy Ross .....	5	2	103
4. John Miller .....	4	3	65
5. John Griffith .....	3	4	61
6. Guy Goddard .....	2	5	62
7. Leonard Best .....	1	6	67
8. James Cox .....	0	7	55

### GROUP "B"

	W.	L.	
1. Ted Livengood .....	6	1	90
2. Tony Genone .....	5	2	42
3. Ernest Miller .....	5	2	50
4. Albert Rhoades .....	5	2	90
5. Wayne Felgenhauer .....	3	4	52
6. Roger Griffith .....	2	5	48
7. Wilson Knoles .....	2	5	50
8. George McCann .....	0	7	57

In the playoff Livengood won a pair of official horseshoes by beating Uhlig who received a monogrammed horseshoe pitchers shirt.

\* \* \*

### PENNSYLVANIA


PENNSYLVANIA OPEN—NEW FREEDOM, PA.

By JOHN FULTON

CLASS "A"

	W.	L.	%
1. J. Zichella, New York .....	6	0	65.7
2. J. Fulton, Carlisle, Pa. ....	5	1	61.5
3. H. Sibert, Ohio .....	4	2	63.2
4. J. Durham, Baltimore, Md. ....	3	3	59.0
5. P. Focht, Ohio .....	2	4	60.1
6. F. Lutter, New Freedom, Pa. ....	1	5	53.3
7. C. Falk, Mt. Lebanon, Pa. ....	0	6	49.4

### GORDON SPIN-ON HORSESHOES



"IT'S HERE"!

The new 1954 Gordon Spin-On Horseshoes are now available. Treat yourself to the very best, the shoe used by discriminating pitchers everywhere.

WRITE FOR PRICES.

**THE GORDON HORSESHOE COMPANY**

**235 Tennyson Street**

**Cincinnati 26, Ohio**

**CLASS "B"**

1. L. Davis, New Jersey .....	7	0	51.9
2. A. Zadroga, Pa. ....	5	2	52.8
3. D. Mayes, Pa. ....	5	2	52.3
4. Doc Berman, New Jersey .....	4	3	48.3
5. H. Clippinger, Pa. ....	4	3	42.7
6. P. Miller, Pa. ....	2	5	39.7
7. E. Fulton, Pa. ....	1	6	33.3
8. G. Sides, Pa. ....	0	7	18.6

Due to strong winds the ringer percentage was not too high.

\* \* \*

**COMING EVENTS**

- July 22-29—Murray, Utah, World's Tournament
- July 25—Bridgeport, Connecticut Open
- July 25—Clifton, N. J., N. J. Open, Class C
- July 31-Aug. 1—Northern Ind. Tournament qualifying round, Wabash, Ind.
- Aug. 1—Ontario, So. Calif. Amateur
- Aug. 1—New York City, St. Mary Open
- Aug. 8—Anona, N. J., N. J. State, Class C
- Aug. 8—Bennington, Vermont, SoSuthern Vermont Tournament
- Aug. 14-15—Northern Indiana Tournament finals at Wabash, Ind.
- August 15—New York City, Fort George Open
- Aug. 15—Long Beach, So. Calif. Championship "A"
- Aug. 15—Grays Harbor County A & B
- Aug. 17-18—Springfield, Ill., State Tournament
- Aug. 22—Clifton, N. J., N. J. State, Class B
- Aug. 22—Cheyenne, Wyo., Tri State Tournament
- Aug. 22—Burlington, Vermont, Vermont State Tournament
- Aug. 23 to 25—Sedalia, Mo., State Tournament
- Aug. 27 to 29—Gridley, Cal., Gridley Tournament
- Aug. 27-29—Columbus, O., Ohio State Championship
- Aug. 29—Ontario, So. Calif. Added Class "B"
- August 29—Central Park, N. Y. C., Hilltop Open
- Aug. 31-Sept. 2—Columbus, O., Eastern National
- Sept. 4 to 6—Seattle, Wash., State A, B & C
- Sept. 4-5-6—Frankfort, Ind., State Tournament
- Sept. 5—Brattleboro, Vermont, New England Tournament
- Sept. 5-6—Rawlins, Wyo., State Tournament
- Sept. 5-6—Tulsa, Okla., State Tournament
- Sept. 11-12—Dunbar, W. Va., Fair Grounds, State Tournament
- Sept. 12—Long Beach, So. Calif. Added Class "C"
- Sept. 12—Newark, N. J., N. J. State, Classes A & D
- Sept. 12-19—Gary City Tournament, Gary, Ind.
- Sept. 17 to 19—San Jose, Cal., California State
- Sept. 19—Newark, N. J., N. J. State Doubles
- Sept. 26—Huntington Pk., Boys (Under 18 years)
- Oct. 3—Clifton, N. J., Invitation, Classes A & B
- Oct. 10—South Gate, California, Open Championship

## WHERE TO PLAY WHEN YOU'RE AWAY

- ARIZONA—Peart Park, Casa Grande; Rendesvous Park, Mesa; Encanto Park, Phoenix.
- ARKANSAS—Fair Park, Boyle Park, MacArthur Park, Little Rock.
- CALIFORNIA—Community Center, Compton; Exposition Park, Los Angeles; Union Pacific Courts, Long Beach; Mosswood Park, Oakland; Horseshoe Grounds, Ontario, Golden Gate Courts, Candlestick Cove, Crocker-Amazon Courts, San Francisco; Memorial Park, South San Francisco; Lincoln Park, Santa Monica; McNear Park, Petaluma; Ives Memorial Park, Sebastopol.
- CANADA—Dieppe Park, East York.
- COLORADO—City Park and Washington Park, Denver; City Park, Greeley.
- CONNECTICUT—Bearsley Park, Bridgeport; Pope Park, Hartford.
- DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA—Commerce Courts, Washington.
- FLORIDA—South Waterfront Park, St. Petersburg; Bradenton Trailer Park, Bradenton.
- ILLINOIS—Welles Park, Chicago; Athletic Park, Canton; Riverside Park, Moline; Mineral Springs Park, Pekin; Reservoir Park, Quincy; Long View Park, Rock Island. Big Creek Park, Canton; Laura Branley Park, Peoria; Bradley Park, Peoria.
- INDIANA—Brookside Park, Indianapolis; Dorney Park, Frankfort; Columbia Park, Lafayette; Forest Park, Noblesville; 3rd Street Park, Bloomington; Greendale Park, Lawrenceburg; Jackson Park, Gary; Tower Park, Valparaiso.
- IOWA—Birdland Park, Des Moines; Riverside Park, Ottumwa; Crapo Park, Burlington; Island Park, Cedar Falls; Ellis Park, Cedar Rapids; LeClaire Park, Davenport. Byrnes Park, Waterloo; Crapo Park, Burlington.
- KANSAS—Forest Park, Ottawa; Gage Park, Topeka; Katy Park, Chanute; Huntress Park, Clay Center; Riverside Park, Iola; Klamm Park, Kansas City; South Park, Lawrence; City Park, Manhattan; Prospect Park, Wichita.
- KENTUCKY—Shady Shores, Covington.
- MAINE—Auburn, Riverside Courts, Bangor; Bangor Club, Farmington; City Park, Hebron; Community Courts, Portland; Deering Oaks, Rumford; High School, So. Portland; Wilkinson Park.
- MARYLAND—Carroll Park, Baltimore; Magruder Park, Hyattsville.
- MASSACHUSETTS—Municipal Playgrounds, Westfield.
- MICHIGAN—Grand Rapids, Franklin Park.
- MINNESOTA—Como & Ely, St. Paul; Soldier Memorial Field, Rochester.
- MISSOURI—Municipal Park, Carthage; Neosho, Fair Grounds, Springfield; Grant Beach Park; St. Joseph, Noyes Blvd. at Edmond; Forest Park, St. Louis; Liberty Park, Sedalia; Memorial Park, Sweet Springs.
- NEBRASKA—Harmon Park, Kearney; Dewey Park, Omaha.
- NEW HAMPSHIRE—South Playground, Portsmouth; Ryan H. S. Club, Dover Point; Pop Ryans, 14 Central Ave., Portsmouth; White's Courts, 942 Woodberry Ave., Portsmouth.
- NEW JERSEY—Warinanco Park, Elizabeth; Branch Brook Park, Newark; Wessel Brook Park, Wessel Brook Playground; Nash Park, Clifton.
- NEW YORK—Central Park, Fort George, 193 Fort George Ave., Inwood Hill Park, New York City; St. Mary's Park, Williamsbridge Oval Park, Woodlawn, Van Cortlandt Park, all in the Bronx; Parade Grounds, Fort Green Park, Brooklyn; Belmont Lake State Park, Babylon, L. I.; Johnson City, Endicott-Johnson Courts; Kirk Park, Syracuse; Recreation Park, Port Chester; Edgerton Park, Rochester; K of C Courts Hoosick Falls.
- OHIO—Jermain Park, Toledo; Cedar Point, Sandusky; Williams Memorial Pk., Wilmington. Community Park, Cedarville.
- OKLAHOMA—Oklahoma City, Wiley Post Park; Sapulpa, City Park; Tulsa, Central Park.
- OREGON—Laurelhurst Park, Portland; Bush Pasture Park, Salem; Atkinson Park, Oregon City; Columbia Park, Portland.
- PENNSYLVANIA—District Courts, Pittsburgh; Pt. Marion, Frank Murphy's Courts; Joe Mett's Courts, Revere; Oakhurst Courts, Johnstown; Playground Cts., New Freedom.
- RHODE ISLAND—Columbus Square, W. Warwick; Olney Courts, Washington.
- SOUTH DAKOTA—McKenna Park, Sioux Falls.
- TEXAS—Will Rogers Park, Amarillo; Elwood Park, Amarillo; Mason Park, Houston; Bellvue Park, Wichita Falls.
- UTAH—County Fair Grounds, Murray; Liberty Park, Salt Lake City.
- VERMONT—Stolte Field, Brattleboro; Memorial Park, Bennington; Ethan Allen Park, Burlington; Local Athletic Field, Springfield.
- WASHINGTON—Zelasko Park, Aberdeen; City Park, Bremerton; Woodland Park, Seattle; Wright Park, Tacoma; Fair Grounds, Yakima; Lions Park, Hoquiam.
- WEST VIRGINIA—Burr B-G Courts, East Nitro; Wheeling Island, Wheeling; Midelburg Park, Logan; Winisla Coal Corp., Chapmanville.
- WISCONSIN—Washington Park, Milwaukee; Jones Park, Fort Atkinson.
- WYOMING—Union Park, Cheyenne.

**'IF YOU ARE NOT LISTED HERE, GET BUSY!'**



## **THE PERFECT SCORE SHEET**

Now is the time to stock up on your club supplies.  
Don't wait until the last minute. You can have  
the name, city and state of your club, printed on  
each sheet. Write for sample.

**The price is \$8.00 per 1,000, in pads.**

**Cash with order. Your Editor.**

Postage Extra



**N.H.P.A. EMBLEMS FOR YOUR BUTTONHOLE OR ONE WITH A PIN  
FOR YOUR SHIRT — \$1.00 CASH WITH ORDER.**



*Orders Now Being Taken For*

## **POCKET SIZE PERCENTAGE CHARTS**

**PRICE 25 CENTS EACH**

**SEND CASH OR CHECK WITH EACH ORDER—NO STAMPS, PLEASE!**

**THE HORSESHOE PITCHER**

**BOX 7, HIGHBRIDGE STATION**

**NEW YORK 32, N. Y.**